

Norm-attainment in projective tensor products

Luis C. García-Lirola

Joint works with S. Dantas, J. Guerrero-Viu, M. Jung, A. Rueda Zoca

Universidad de Zaragoza

II Encuentro de la Red de Análisis Matemático y Aplicaciones
Cullera, 7 de marzo 2025



- S. Dantas, L. C. García-Lirola, M. Jung, A. Rueda Zoca. On norm-attainment in (symmetric) tensor products. *Quaest. Math.* 46 (2023), no. 2, 393–409.
- L. C. García-Lirola, J. Guerrero-Viu, A. Rueda Zoca. Projective tensor products where every element is norm-attaining. *Banach J. Math. Anal.*, 19 (2025), article 19.

Outline

- Tensor products
- Projective tensor products where every tensor attains its norm
- Denseness of norm-attaining tensors

Tensor products

$X, Y, Z =$ vector spaces

A map $A: X \times Y \rightarrow Z$ is **bilinear** if

- $A(\alpha x + \beta x', y) = \alpha A(x, y) + \beta A(x', y)$
- $A(x, \alpha y + \beta y') = \alpha A(x, y) + \beta A(x, y')$

$B(X \times Y, Z)$ denotes the space of bilinear maps $A: X \times Y \rightarrow Z$

Tensor products

$X, Y, Z =$ vector spaces

A map $A: X \times Y \rightarrow Z$ is **bilinear** if

- $A(\alpha x + \beta x', y) = \alpha A(x, y) + \beta A(x', y)$
- $A(x, \alpha y + \beta y') = \alpha A(x, y) + \beta A(x, y')$

$B(X \times Y, Z)$ denotes the space of bilinear maps $A: X \times Y \rightarrow Z$

We would like to **linearize** bilinear maps. That is, to find a vector space W and a linear embedding $\delta: X \times Y \rightarrow W$ such that for any $A \in B(X \times Y, Z)$ there is a linear map $T_A: W \rightarrow Z$ such that this diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X \times Y & \xrightarrow{A} & Z \\ \delta \downarrow & \nearrow T_A & \\ W & & \end{array}$$

Tensor products

Given $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$, consider the linear functional

$$\begin{aligned}x \otimes y: B(X \times Y, \mathbb{K}) &\rightarrow \mathbb{K} \\ A &\mapsto A(x, y)\end{aligned}$$

The **tensor product** $X \otimes Y$ is defined as

$$X \otimes Y = \text{span}\{x \otimes y : x \in X, y \in Y\} \subset B(X \times Y, \mathbb{K})^\#$$

Tensor products

Given $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$, consider the linear functional

$$\begin{aligned}x \otimes y: B(X \times Y, \mathbb{K}) &\rightarrow \mathbb{K} \\ A &\mapsto A(x, y)\end{aligned}$$

The **tensor product** $X \otimes Y$ is defined as

$$X \otimes Y = \text{span}\{x \otimes y : x \in X, y \in Y\} \subset B(X \times Y, \mathbb{K})^\#$$

A **tensor** $u \in X \otimes Y$ has the form $u = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \otimes y_i$ where $x_i \in X$ and $y_i \in Y$.

Tensor products

Given $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$, consider the linear functional

$$\begin{aligned}x \otimes y: B(X \times Y, \mathbb{K}) &\rightarrow \mathbb{K} \\ A &\mapsto A(x, y)\end{aligned}$$

The **tensor product** $X \otimes Y$ is defined as

$$X \otimes Y = \text{span}\{x \otimes y : x \in X, y \in Y\} \subset B(X \times Y, \mathbb{K})^\#$$

A **tensor** $u \in X \otimes Y$ has the form $u = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \otimes y_i$ where $x_i \in X$ and $y_i \in Y$. This representation is **NOT UNIQUE**.

Indeed,

- $(\alpha x + \beta x') \otimes y = \alpha x \otimes y + \beta x' \otimes y.$
- $x \otimes (\alpha y + \beta y') = \alpha x \otimes y + \beta x \otimes y'$

Tensor products

Define $\otimes: X \times Y \rightarrow X \otimes Y$ by $\otimes(x, y) = x \otimes y$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X \times Y & \xrightarrow{A} & Z \\ \otimes \downarrow & \nearrow T_A & \\ X \otimes Y & & \end{array}$$

Tensor products

Define $\otimes: X \times Y \rightarrow X \otimes Y$ by $\otimes(x, y) = x \otimes y$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X \times Y & \xrightarrow{A} & Z \\ \otimes \downarrow & \nearrow T_A & \\ X \otimes Y & & \end{array}$$

Given $A \in B(X \times Y, Z)$, define

$$T_A\left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \otimes y_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n A(x_i, y_i).$$

Tensor products

Define $\otimes: X \times Y \rightarrow X \otimes Y$ by $\otimes(x, y) = x \otimes y$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X \times Y & \xrightarrow{A} & Z \\ \otimes \downarrow & \nearrow T_A & \\ X \otimes Y & & \end{array}$$

Given $A \in B(X \times Y, Z)$, define

$$T_A\left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \otimes y_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n A(x_i, y_i).$$

Conversely, if $T: X \otimes Y \rightarrow Z$ is linear, define $A \in B(X \times Y, Z)$ by

$$A(x, y) = T(x \otimes y).$$

The projective norm

$X, Y =$ Banach spaces.

We would like to define a norm on $X \otimes Y$.

The projective norm

$X, Y =$ Banach spaces.

We would like to define a norm on $X \otimes Y$. It is reasonable to require that

$$\|x \otimes y\| \leq \|x\| \cdot \|y\|$$

The projective norm

$X, Y =$ Banach spaces.

We would like to define a norm on $X \otimes Y$. It is reasonable to require that

$$\|x \otimes y\| \leq \|x\| \cdot \|y\|$$

If $u = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \otimes y_i \in X \otimes Y$, it must be $\|u\| \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \|x_i\| \|y_i\|$ and this should hold for every representation of u .

The projective norm

$X, Y =$ Banach spaces.

We would like to define a norm on $X \otimes Y$. It is reasonable to require that

$$\|x \otimes y\| \leq \|x\| \cdot \|y\|$$

If $u = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \otimes y_i \in X \otimes Y$, it must be $\|u\| \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \|x_i\| \|y_i\|$ and this should hold for every representation of u .

Given $u \in X \otimes Y$, we define the **projective norm** as follows

$$\|u\|_{\pi} = \inf \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \|x_i\| \|y_i\| : u = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \otimes y_i \right\}$$

The projective norm

$X, Y =$ Banach spaces.

We would like to define a norm on $X \otimes Y$. It is reasonable to require that

$$\|x \otimes y\| \leq \|x\| \cdot \|y\|$$

If $u = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \otimes y_i \in X \otimes Y$, it must be $\|u\| \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \|x_i\| \|y_i\|$ and this should hold for every representation of u .

Given $u \in X \otimes Y$, we define the **projective norm** as follows

$$\|u\|_{\pi} = \inf \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \|x_i\| \|y_i\| : u = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \otimes y_i \right\}$$

However, $(X \otimes Y, \|\cdot\|_{\pi})$ is not complete unless X or Y is finite dimensional.

The projective norm

$X, Y =$ Banach spaces.

We would like to define a norm on $X \otimes Y$. It is reasonable to require that

$$\|x \otimes y\| \leq \|x\| \cdot \|y\|$$

If $u = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \otimes y_i \in X \otimes Y$, it must be $\|u\| \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \|x_i\| \|y_i\|$ and this should hold for every representation of u .

Given $u \in X \otimes Y$, we define the **projective norm** as follows

$$\|u\|_{\pi} = \inf \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \|x_i\| \|y_i\| : u = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \otimes y_i \right\}$$

However, $(X \otimes Y, \|\cdot\|_{\pi})$ is not complete unless X or Y is finite dimensional.

The **projective tensor product** $X \hat{\otimes}_{\pi} Y$ is the completion of $(X \otimes Y, \|\cdot\|_{\pi})$.

The projective norm

$X, Y =$ Banach spaces.

We would like to define a norm on $X \otimes Y$. It is reasonable to require that

$$\|x \otimes y\| \leq \|x\| \cdot \|y\|$$

If $u = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \otimes y_i \in X \otimes Y$, it must be $\|u\| \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \|x_i\| \|y_i\|$ and this should hold for every representation of u .

Given $u \in X \otimes Y$, we define the **projective norm** as follows

$$\|u\|_{\pi} = \inf \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \|x_i\| \|y_i\| : u = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \otimes y_i \right\}$$

However, $(X \otimes Y, \|\cdot\|_{\pi})$ is not complete unless X or Y is finite dimensional.

The **projective tensor product** $X \hat{\otimes}_{\pi} Y$ is the completion of $(X \otimes Y, \|\cdot\|_{\pi})$.

Important example: $L_1(\mu) \hat{\otimes}_{\pi} X = L_1(\mu, X)$

The dual of $X \hat{\otimes}_\pi Y$

A bilinear map $A: X \times Y \rightarrow Z$ is said to be **bounded** if there is $C > 0$ such that

$$\|A(x, y)\| \leq C\|x\|\|y\|, \quad \forall x \in X, y \in Y.$$

$\mathcal{B}(X \times Y, Z)$ denotes the Banach space of bounded bilinear mappings with the norm $\|A\| = \sup\{\|A(x, y)\| : \|x\| \leq 1, \|y\| \leq 1\}$.

The dual of $X \widehat{\otimes}_\pi Y$

A bilinear map $A: X \times Y \rightarrow Z$ is said to be **bounded** if there is $C > 0$ such that

$$\|A(x, y)\| \leq C\|x\|\|y\|, \quad \forall x \in X, y \in Y.$$

$\mathcal{B}(X \times Y, Z)$ denotes the Banach space of bounded bilinear mappings with the norm $\|A\| = \sup\{\|A(x, y)\| : \|x\| \leq 1, \|y\| \leq 1\}$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X \times Y & \xrightarrow{A} & Z \\ \downarrow \otimes & \nearrow T_A & \\ X \widehat{\otimes}_\pi Y & & \end{array}$$

The dual of $X \hat{\otimes}_\pi Y$

A bilinear map $A: X \times Y \rightarrow Z$ is said to be **bounded** if there is $C > 0$ such that

$$\|A(x, y)\| \leq C \|x\| \|y\|, \quad \forall x \in X, y \in Y.$$

$\mathcal{B}(X \times Y, Z)$ denotes the Banach space of bounded bilinear mappings with the norm $\|A\| = \sup\{\|A(x, y)\| : \|x\| \leq 1, \|y\| \leq 1\}$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X \times Y & \xrightarrow{A} & Z \\ \downarrow \otimes & \nearrow T_A & \\ X \hat{\otimes}_\pi Y & & \end{array}$$

$$\mathcal{B}(X \times Y, Z) = \mathcal{L}(X \hat{\otimes}_\pi Y, Z)$$

$$\mathcal{B}(X \times Y, \mathbb{R}) = \mathcal{L}(X, Y^*) = (X \hat{\otimes}_\pi Y)^*$$

The dual of $X \widehat{\otimes}_\pi Y$

A bilinear map $A: X \times Y \rightarrow Z$ is said to be **bounded** if there is $C > 0$ such that

$$\|A(x, y)\| \leq C \|x\| \|y\|, \quad \forall x \in X, y \in Y.$$

$\mathcal{B}(X \times Y, Z)$ denotes the Banach space of bounded bilinear mappings with the norm $\|A\| = \sup\{\|A(x, y)\| : \|x\| \leq 1, \|y\| \leq 1\}$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X \times Y & \xrightarrow{A} & Z \\ \downarrow \otimes & \nearrow T_A & \\ X \widehat{\otimes}_\pi Y & & \end{array}$$

$$\mathcal{B}(X \times Y, Z) = \mathcal{L}(X \widehat{\otimes}_\pi Y, Z)$$

$$\mathcal{B}(X \times Y, \mathbb{R}) = \mathcal{L}(X, Y^*) = (X \widehat{\otimes}_\pi Y)^*$$

Since $\|A\| = \sup\{|\langle A, x \otimes y \rangle| : x \in B_X, y \in B_Y\}$, it follows that

$$B_{X \widehat{\otimes}_\pi Y} = \overline{\text{conv}}(B_X \otimes B_Y)$$

Norm-attainment

Every $u \in X \widehat{\otimes}_\pi Y$ can be written as $u = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i \otimes y_i$ with $x_i \in X$, $y_i \in Y$.

Norm-attainment

Every $u \in X \widehat{\otimes}_\pi Y$ can be written as $u = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i \otimes y_i$ with $x_i \in X$, $y_i \in Y$. Then

$$\|u\|_\pi = \inf \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \|x_i\| \|y_i\| : \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \|x_i\| \|y_i\| < \infty, u = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i \otimes y_i \right\}.$$

Norm-attainment

Every $u \in X \widehat{\otimes}_\pi Y$ can be written as $u = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i \otimes y_i$ with $x_i \in X$, $y_i \in Y$. Then

$$\|u\|_\pi = \inf \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \|x_i\| \|y_i\| : \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \|x_i\| \|y_i\| < \infty, u = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i \otimes y_i \right\}.$$

When is that infimum a minimum?

Norm-attainment

Every $u \in X \widehat{\otimes}_\pi Y$ can be written as $u = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i \otimes y_i$ with $x_i \in X$, $y_i \in Y$. Then

$$\|u\|_\pi = \inf \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \|x_i\| \|y_i\| : \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \|x_i\| \|y_i\| < \infty, u = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i \otimes y_i \right\}.$$

When is that infimum a minimum?

Dantas-Jung-Roldán-Rueda Zoca (2022)

We say that $u \in X \widehat{\otimes}_\pi Y$ **attains its projective norm** if

$$u = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i \otimes y_i \quad \text{and} \quad \|u\|_\pi = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \|x_i\| \|y_i\|.$$

The set of those u is denoted by $\text{NA}_\pi(X \widehat{\otimes}_\pi Y)$.

Norm-attainment

Every $u \in X \widehat{\otimes}_\pi Y$ can be written as $u = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i \otimes y_i$ with $x_i \in X$, $y_i \in Y$. Then

$$\|u\|_\pi = \inf \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \|x_i\| \|y_i\| : \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \|x_i\| \|y_i\| < \infty, u = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i \otimes y_i \right\}.$$

When is that infimum a minimum?

Dantas-Jung-Roldán-Rueda Zoca (2022)

We say that $u \in X \widehat{\otimes}_\pi Y$ **attains its projective norm** if

$$u = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i \otimes y_i \quad \text{and} \quad \|u\|_\pi = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \|x_i\| \|y_i\|.$$

The set of those u is denoted by $\text{NA}_\pi(X \widehat{\otimes}_\pi Y)$.

Most of the results can be translated to other settings:

- Nuclear operators (tensors in $X^* \widehat{\otimes}_\pi Y$ correspond to operators $X \rightarrow Y$)
- Symmetric tensors ($\widehat{\otimes}_{\pi,s,N} X$ is a predual of $\mathcal{P}(^N X)$)

Norm-attainment

Definition (Dantas-Jung-Roldán-Rueda Zoca, 2022)

We say that $u \in X \hat{\otimes}_\pi Y$ **attains its projective norm** if

$$u = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i \otimes y_i \quad \text{and} \quad \|u\|_\pi = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \|x_i\| \|y_i\|.$$

The set of those u is denoted by $\text{NA}_\pi(X \hat{\otimes}_\pi Y)$.

Norm-attainment

Definition (Dantas-Jung-Roldán-Rueda Zoca, 2022)

We say that $u \in X \hat{\otimes}_\pi Y$ **attains its projective norm** if

$$u = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i \otimes y_i \quad \text{and} \quad \|u\|_\pi = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \|x_i\| \|y_i\|.$$

The set of those u is denoted by $\text{NA}_\pi(X \hat{\otimes}_\pi Y)$.

In the finite-dimensional case, it follows from Caratheodory theorem that every u has an optimal representation with at most $\dim(X) \dim(Y)$ terms (or $2 \dim(X) \dim(Y)$ for $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$).

Theorem (Pełczyński - Tomczak-Jaegermann, 1988)

Given n, m , there are spaces X and Y with $\dim(X) = n$ and $\dim(Y) = m$ and $u \in X \hat{\otimes}_\pi Y$ such that all the optimal representations of u have nm terms (resp. $2nm$ for $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$).

When does every tensor attain its projective norm?

Definition (Dantas, Jung, Roldán, Rueda Zoca, 2022)

$\text{NA}_\pi(X \widehat{\otimes}_\pi Y) = X \widehat{\otimes}_\pi Y$ in the following cases:

- a) X and Y are finite dimensional.
- b) $X = \ell_1(I)$ and Y is any Banach space.
- c) X and Y are complex Hilbert spaces.

When does every tensor attain its projective norm?

Definition (Dantas, Jung, Roldán, Rueda Zoca, 2022)

$\text{NA}_\pi(X \widehat{\otimes}_\pi Y) = X \widehat{\otimes}_\pi Y$ in the following cases:

- a) X and Y are finite dimensional.
- b) $X = \ell_1(I)$ and Y is any Banach space.
- c) X and Y are complex Hilbert spaces.

Sketch of the proof.

- a) Compactness

When does every tensor attain its projective norm?

Definition (Dantas, Jung, Roldán, Rueda Zoca, 2022)

$\text{NA}_\pi(X \widehat{\otimes}_\pi Y) = X \widehat{\otimes}_\pi Y$ in the following cases:

- X and Y are finite dimensional.
- $X = \ell_1(I)$ and Y is any Banach space.
- X and Y are complex Hilbert spaces.

Sketch of the proof.

- Compactness
- $\ell_1(I) \widehat{\otimes}_\pi Y = \ell_1(I, Y)$

When does every tensor attain its projective norm?

Definition (Dantas, Jung, Roldán, Rueda Zoca, 2022)

$\text{NA}_\pi(X \widehat{\otimes}_\pi Y) = X \widehat{\otimes}_\pi Y$ in the following cases:

- a) X and Y are finite dimensional.
- b) $X = \ell_1(I)$ and Y is any Banach space.
- c) X and Y are complex Hilbert spaces.

Sketch of the proof.

- a) Compactness
- b) $\ell_1(I) \widehat{\otimes}_\pi Y = \ell_1(I, Y)$
- c) Diagonalization

When does every tensor attain its projective norm?

- Given $u \in X \hat{\otimes}_\pi Y$ with $\|u\| = 1$, we have that $u \in \text{NA}_\pi(X \hat{\otimes}_\pi Y)$ if and only if $u = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n x_n \otimes y_n$ with $\|x_n\| = 1 = \|y_n\|$, $\lambda_n \geq 0$, $\sum_n \lambda_n = 1$.

When does every tensor attain its projective norm?

- Given $u \in X \hat{\otimes}_\pi Y$ with $\|u\| = 1$, we have that $u \in \text{NA}_\pi(X \hat{\otimes}_\pi Y)$ if and only if $u = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n x_n \otimes y_n$ with $\|x_n\| = 1 = \|y_n\|$, $\lambda_n \geq 0$, $\sum_n \lambda_n = 1$.
- Now, given $T \in (X \hat{\otimes}_\pi Y)^* \equiv \mathcal{L}(X, Y^*)$ with $\langle T, u \rangle = 1 = \|T\|$, it follows that $T(x_n)(y_n) = \|x_n\| \|y_n\| \quad \forall n$.

When does every tensor attain its projective norm?

- Given $u \in X \hat{\otimes}_\pi Y$ with $\|u\| = 1$, we have that $u \in \text{NA}_\pi(X \hat{\otimes}_\pi Y)$ if and only if $u = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n x_n \otimes y_n$ with $\|x_n\| = 1 = \|y_n\|$, $\lambda_n \geq 0$, $\sum_n \lambda_n = 1$.
- Now, given $T \in (X \hat{\otimes}_\pi Y)^* \equiv \mathcal{L}(X, Y^*)$ with $\langle T, u \rangle = 1 = \|T\|$, it follows that $T(x_n)(y_n) = \|x_n\| \|y_n\| \quad \forall n$.

Dantas, Jung, Roldán, Rueda Zoca (2022)

If every tensor on $X \hat{\otimes}_\pi Y$ attains its projective norm, then every operator $T: X \rightarrow Y^*$ can be approximated by norm-attaining ones.

When does every tensor attain its projective norm?

- Given $u \in X \hat{\otimes}_\pi Y$ with $\|u\| = 1$, we have that $u \in \text{NA}_\pi(X \hat{\otimes}_\pi Y)$ if and only if $u = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n x_n \otimes y_n$ with $\|x_n\| = 1 = \|y_n\|$, $\lambda_n \geq 0$, $\sum_n \lambda_n = 1$.
- Now, given $T \in (X \hat{\otimes}_\pi Y)^* \equiv \mathcal{L}(X, Y^*)$ with $\langle T, u \rangle = 1 = \|T\|$, it follows that $T(x_n)(y_n) = \|x_n\| \|y_n\| \forall n$.

Dantas, Jung, Roldán, Rueda Zoca (2022)

If every tensor on $X \hat{\otimes}_\pi Y$ attains its projective norm, then every operator $T: X \rightarrow Y^*$ can be approximated by norm-attaining ones.

Thus, there are tensors not attaining its projective norm in $L_1(\mathbb{T}) \hat{\otimes}_\pi \ell_2^2$, $L_1[0, 1] \hat{\otimes}_\pi L_1[0, 1]$, $\ell_p \hat{\otimes}_\pi G$ ($1 < p < +\infty$),...

When does every tensor attain its projective norm?

- Given $u \in X \hat{\otimes}_\pi Y$ with $\|u\| = 1$, we have that $u \in \text{NA}_\pi(X \hat{\otimes}_\pi Y)$ if and only if $u = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n x_n \otimes y_n$ with $\|x_n\| = 1 = \|y_n\|$, $\lambda_n \geq 0$, $\sum_n \lambda_n = 1$.
- Now, given $T \in (X \hat{\otimes}_\pi Y)^* \equiv \mathcal{L}(X, Y^*)$ with $\langle T, u \rangle = 1 = \|T\|$, it follows that $T(x_n)(y_n) = \|x_n\| \|y_n\| \forall n$.

Dantas, Jung, Roldán, Rueda Zoca (2022)

If every tensor on $X \hat{\otimes}_\pi Y$ attains its projective norm, then every operator $T: X \rightarrow Y^*$ can be approximated by norm-attaining ones.

Thus, there are tensors not attaining its projective norm in $L_1(\mathbb{T}) \hat{\otimes}_\pi \ell_2^2$, $L_1[0, 1] \hat{\otimes}_\pi L_1[0, 1]$, $\ell_p \hat{\otimes}_\pi G$ ($1 < p < +\infty$),...

Rueda Zoca (2023)

$\text{NA}_\pi(c_0 \hat{\otimes}_\pi \ell_2) \subset c_0 \otimes \ell_2$.

When does every tensor attain its projective norm?

Dantas, G.L., Jung, Rueda Zoca (2023)

Let X be a finite-dimensional polyhedral Banach space and Y is any dual Banach space. Then $\text{NA}_\pi(X \hat{\otimes}_\pi Y) = X \hat{\otimes}_\pi Y$

When does every tensor attain its projective norm?

Dantas, G.L., Jung, Rueda Zoca (2023)

Let X be a finite-dimensional polyhedral Banach space and Y is any dual Banach space. Then $\text{NA}_\pi(X \hat{\otimes}_\pi Y) = X \hat{\otimes}_\pi Y$

Sketch of the proof.

$$B_{X \hat{\otimes}_\pi Y} = \overline{\text{conv}}(B_X \otimes B_Y)$$

When does every tensor attain its projective norm?

Dantas, G.L., Jung, Rueda Zoca (2023)

Let X be a finite-dimensional polyhedral Banach space and Y is any dual Banach space. Then $\text{NA}_\pi(X \hat{\otimes}_\pi Y) = X \hat{\otimes}_\pi Y$

Sketch of the proof.

$$\begin{aligned} B_{X \hat{\otimes}_\pi Y} &= \overline{\text{conv}}(B_X \otimes B_Y) \\ &= \overline{\text{conv}}\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n \{x_i\} \otimes B_Y\right) \end{aligned}$$

When does every tensor attain its projective norm?

Dantas, G.L., Jung, Rueda Zoca (2023)

Let X be a finite-dimensional polyhedral Banach space and Y is any dual Banach space. Then $\text{NA}_\pi(X \hat{\otimes}_\pi Y) = X \hat{\otimes}_\pi Y$

Sketch of the proof.

$$\begin{aligned} B_{X \hat{\otimes}_\pi Y} &= \overline{\text{conv}}(B_X \otimes B_Y) \\ &= \overline{\text{conv}}\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n \{x_i\} \otimes B_Y\right) \\ &= \text{conv}\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n \{x_i\} \otimes B_Y\right) \end{aligned}$$

When every tensor attains its projective norm?

Note that B_X is a polytope if and only if B_{X^*} is a polytope.

When every tensor attains its projective norm?

Note that B_X is a polytope if and only if B_{X^*} is a polytope. If $B_{X^*} = \text{conv}\{x_1^*, \dots, x_n^*\}$ then the mapping

$$\begin{aligned} X &\longrightarrow \ell_\infty^n \\ x &\longmapsto (x_1^*(x), \dots, x_n^*(x)) \end{aligned}$$

is a linear isometry since

$$\|x\| = \sup\{|x^*(x)| : x^* \in B_{X^*}\} = \max\{|x_i^*(x)| : i \in \{1, \dots, n\}\}$$

When every tensor attains its projective norm?

Note that B_X is a polytope if and only if B_{X^*} is a polytope. If $B_{X^*} = \text{conv}\{x_1^*, \dots, x_n^*\}$ then the mapping

$$\begin{aligned} X &\longrightarrow \ell_\infty^n \\ x &\longmapsto (x_1^*(x), \dots, x_n^*(x)) \end{aligned}$$

is a linear into isometry since

$$\|x\| = \sup\{|x^*(x)| : x \in B_{X^*}\} = \max\{|x_i^*(x)| : i \in \{1, \dots, n\}\}$$

Therefore, X is a subspace of Z with $Z^* = \ell_1^n$.

G.L., Guerrero-Viu, Rueda Zoca (2025)

Let Z be such that $Z^* = \ell_1(I)$, $X \subset Z$ and Y be any dual space. If either X^* or Y has the approximation property, then $\text{NA}_\pi(X^* \hat{\otimes}_\pi Y) = X^* \hat{\otimes}_\pi Y$.

When every tensor attains its projective norm?

G.L., Guerrero-Viu, Rueda Zoca (2025)

Let Z be such that $Z^* = \ell_1(I)$, $X \subset Z$ and Y be any dual space. If either X^* or Y has the approximation property, then $\text{NA}_\pi(X^* \hat{\otimes}_\pi Y) = X^* \hat{\otimes}_\pi Y$.

These hypotheses are satisfied for $X \subset C(K)$ with K compact and scattered, such that X^* or Y has the AP.

When every tensor attains its projective norm?

G.L., Guerrero-Viu, Rueda Zoca (2025)

Let Z be such that $Z^* = \ell_1(I)$, $X \subset Z$ and Y be any dual space. If either X^* or Y has the approximation property, then $\text{NA}_\pi(X^* \hat{\otimes}_\pi Y) = X^* \hat{\otimes}_\pi Y$.

These hypotheses are satisfied for $X \subset C(K)$ with K compact and scattered, such that X^* or Y has the AP.

The hypothesis of K being scattered cannot be removed, since

$$\text{NA}_\pi(C(\mathbb{T})^* \hat{\otimes}_\pi \ell_2^2) \neq C(\mathbb{T})^* \hat{\otimes}_\pi \ell_2^2$$

When every tensor attains its projective norm?

G.L., Guerrero-Viu, Rueda Zoca (2025)

Let Z be such that $Z^* = \ell_1(I)$, $X \subset Z$ and Y be any dual space. If either X^* or Y has the approximation property, then $\text{NA}_\pi(X^* \hat{\otimes}_\pi Y) = X^* \hat{\otimes}_\pi Y$.

These hypotheses are satisfied for $X \subset C(K)$ with K compact and scattered, such that X^* or Y has the AP.

The hypothesis of K being scattered cannot be removed, since

$$\text{NA}_\pi(C(\mathbb{T})^* \hat{\otimes}_\pi \ell_2^2) \neq C(\mathbb{T})^* \hat{\otimes}_\pi \ell_2^2$$

We can take X^* the **Lipschitz-free space** $\mathcal{F}(M)$ for a metric space M satisfying one of the following conditions:

- M is countable and compact.
- M is uniformly discrete, countable, and there is a compact Hausdorff topology τ on M such that d is τ -lower semicontinuous, and $V = \{d(x, y) : (x, y) \in M^2\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}_0^+$ is a compact set.

When every tensor attains its projective norm?

G.L., Guerrero-Viu, Rueda Zoca (2025)

Let Z be such that $Z^* = \ell_1(I)$, $X \subset Z$ and Y be any dual space. If either X^* or Y has the approximation property, then $\text{NA}_\pi(X^* \hat{\otimes}_\pi Y) = X^* \hat{\otimes}_\pi Y$.

When every tensor attains its projective norm?

G.L., Guerrero-Viu, Rueda Zoca (2025)

Let Z be such that $Z^* = \ell_1(I)$, $X \subset Z$ and Y be any dual space. If either X^* or Y has the approximation property, then $\text{NA}_\pi(X^* \widehat{\otimes}_\pi Y) = X^* \widehat{\otimes}_\pi Y$.

Sketch of the proof.

- Say $Y = E^*$.
- $T: X \widehat{\otimes}_\varepsilon E \rightarrow Z \widehat{\otimes}_\varepsilon E$ given by $T(u) = u$ is a linear (into) isometry.

When every tensor attains its projective norm?

G.L., Guerrero-Viu, Rueda Zoca (2025)

Let Z be such that $Z^* = \ell_1(I)$, $X \subset Z$ and Y be any dual space. If either X^* or Y has the approximation property, then $\text{NA}_\pi(X^* \widehat{\otimes}_\pi Y) = X^* \widehat{\otimes}_\pi Y$.

Sketch of the proof.

- Say $Y = E^*$.
- $T: X \widehat{\otimes}_\varepsilon E \rightarrow Z \widehat{\otimes}_\varepsilon E$ given by $T(u) = u$ is a linear (into) isometry.
- $T^*: Z^* \widehat{\otimes}_\pi Y \rightarrow X^* \widehat{\otimes}_\pi Y$ is an adjoint quotient operator.

When every tensor attains its projective norm?

G.L., Guerrero-Viu, Rueda Zoca (2025)

Let Z be such that $Z^* = \ell_1(I)$, $X \subset Z$ and Y be any dual space. If either X^* or Y has the approximation property, then $\text{NA}_\pi(X^* \widehat{\otimes}_\pi Y) = X^* \widehat{\otimes}_\pi Y$.

Sketch of the proof.

- Say $Y = E^*$.
- $T: X \widehat{\otimes}_\varepsilon E \rightarrow Z \widehat{\otimes}_\varepsilon E$ given by $T(u) = u$ is a linear (into) isometry.
- $T^*: Z^* \widehat{\otimes}_\pi Y \rightarrow X^* \widehat{\otimes}_\pi Y$ is an adjoint quotient operator.
- Given $u \in X^* \widehat{\otimes}_\pi Y$ it follows that there is $\tilde{u} \in Z^* \widehat{\otimes}_\pi Y = \ell_1(I) \widehat{\otimes}_\pi Y$ with $T^*(\tilde{u}) = u$ and the same norm.

When every tensor attains its projective norm?

G.L., Guerrero-Viu, Rueda Zoca (2025)

Let Z be such that $Z^* = \ell_1(I)$, $X \subset Z$ and Y be any dual space. If either X^* or Y has the approximation property, then $\text{NA}_\pi(X^* \widehat{\otimes}_\pi Y) = X^* \widehat{\otimes}_\pi Y$.

Sketch of the proof.

- Say $Y = E^*$.
- $T: X \widehat{\otimes}_\varepsilon E \rightarrow Z \widehat{\otimes}_\varepsilon E$ given by $T(u) = u$ is a linear (into) isometry.
- $T^*: Z^* \widehat{\otimes}_\pi Y \rightarrow X^* \widehat{\otimes}_\pi Y$ is an adjoint quotient operator.
- Given $u \in X^* \widehat{\otimes}_\pi Y$ it follows that there is $\tilde{u} \in Z^* \widehat{\otimes}_\pi Y = \ell_1(I) \widehat{\otimes}_\pi Y$ with $T^*(\tilde{u}) = u$ and the same norm.
- \tilde{u} admits an optimal representation \Rightarrow the same holds for u .

When every tensor attains its projective norm?

Recall that every tensor in $\ell_1(I) \widehat{\otimes}_\pi Y$ attains its projective norm for any Y .

When every tensor attains its projective norm?

Recall that every tensor in $\ell_1(I) \widehat{\otimes}_\pi Y$ attains its projective norm for any Y .

What are the spaces X such that $\text{NA}_\pi(X \widehat{\otimes}_\pi Y) = X \widehat{\otimes}_\pi Y$ for every Y ?

When every tensor attains its projective norm?

Recall that every tensor in $\ell_1(I) \widehat{\otimes}_\pi Y$ attains its projective norm for any Y .

What are the spaces X such that $\text{NA}_\pi(X \widehat{\otimes}_\pi Y) = X \widehat{\otimes}_\pi Y$ for every Y ?

G.L., Guerrero-Viu, Rueda Zoca (2025)

Assume that X is separable and $\text{NA}_\pi(X^* \widehat{\otimes}_\pi Y) = X^* \widehat{\otimes}_\pi Y$ for any Y .
Then $B_X = \overline{\text{conv}}(\text{exp } B_X)$.

Denseness of norm-attaining tensors

Denseness of norm-attaining tensors

Let $f = \sum_{n=1}^N \chi_{E_n} \cdot y_n \in L_1(\mu, Y) = L_1(\mu) \hat{\otimes}_{\pi} Y$ be a simple function with (E_n) pairwise disjoint sets.

Denseness of norm-attaining tensors

Let $f = \sum_{n=1}^N \chi_{E_n} \cdot y_n \in L_1(\mu, Y) = L_1(\mu) \hat{\otimes}_{\pi} Y$ be a simple function with (E_n) pairwise disjoint sets. Then

$$\|f\|_1 = \sum_{n=1}^N \mu(E_n) \|y_n\| = \sum_{n=1}^N \|\chi_{E_n}\|_1 \cdot \|y_n\|$$

Denseness of norm-attaining tensors

Let $f = \sum_{n=1}^N \chi_{E_n} \cdot y_n \in L_1(\mu, Y) = L_1(\mu) \hat{\otimes}_\pi Y$ be a simple function with (E_n) pairwise disjoint sets. Then

$$\|f\|_1 = \sum_{n=1}^N \mu(E_n) \|y_n\| = \sum_{n=1}^N \|\chi_{E_n}\|_1 \cdot \|y_n\|$$

That is, $f \in \text{NA}_\pi(L_1(\mu) \hat{\otimes}_\pi Y)$. It follows that

$$\overline{\text{NA}_\pi(L_1(\mu) \hat{\otimes}_\pi Y)} = L_1(\mu) \hat{\otimes}_\pi Y$$

Denseness of norm-attaining tensors

Let $f = \sum_{n=1}^N \chi_{E_n} \cdot y_n \in L_1(\mu, Y) = L_1(\mu) \widehat{\otimes}_\pi Y$ be a simple function with (E_n) pairwise disjoint sets. Then

$$\|f\|_1 = \sum_{n=1}^N \mu(E_n) \|y_n\| = \sum_{n=1}^N \|\chi_{E_n}\|_1 \cdot \|y_n\|$$

That is, $f \in \text{NA}_\pi(L_1(\mu) \widehat{\otimes}_\pi Y)$. It follows that

$$\overline{\text{NA}_\pi(L_1(\mu) \widehat{\otimes}_\pi Y)} = L_1(\mu) \widehat{\otimes}_\pi Y$$

Dantas, Jung, Roldán, Rueda Zoca (2022)

There exist X, Y such that $\text{NA}_\pi(X \widehat{\otimes}_\pi Y)$ is **NOT** dense in $X \widehat{\otimes}_\pi Y$.

Denseness of norm-attaining tensors

Dantas, Jung, Roldán, Rueda Zoca (2022)

Let X, Y be reflexive Banach spaces. Is $\text{NA}_\pi(X \hat{\otimes}_\pi Y)$ dense in $X \hat{\otimes}_\pi Y$?

Denseness of norm-attaining tensors

Dantas, Jung, Roldán, Rueda Zoca (2022)

Let X, Y be reflexive Banach spaces. Is $\text{NA}_\pi(X \hat{\otimes}_\pi Y)$ dense in $X \hat{\otimes}_\pi Y$?

Dantas, G.L., Jung, Rueda Zoca (2023)

Assume X and Y are dual spaces with the RNP and at least one of them has the approximation property. Then $\text{NA}_\pi(X \hat{\otimes}_\pi Y)$ dense in $X \hat{\otimes}_\pi Y$.

Denseness of norm-attaining tensors

Dantas, Jung, Roldán, Rueda Zoca (2022)

Let X, Y be reflexive Banach spaces. Is $\text{NA}_\pi(X \hat{\otimes}_\pi Y)$ dense in $X \hat{\otimes}_\pi Y$?

Dantas, G.L., Jung, Rueda Zoca (2023)

Assume X and Y are dual spaces with the RNP and at least one of them has the approximation property. Then $\text{NA}_\pi(X \hat{\otimes}_\pi Y)$ dense in $X \hat{\otimes}_\pi Y$.

Sketch of the proof

Given $u \in B_{X \hat{\otimes}_\pi Y}$ with $\|u\|_\pi = 1$, take $A \in (X \hat{\otimes}_\pi Y)^*$ with $\langle A, u \rangle = 1$.

Dantases of norm-attaining tensors

Dantas, Jung, Roldán, Rueda Zoca (2022)

Let X, Y be reflexive Banach spaces. Is $\text{NA}_\pi(X \hat{\otimes}_\pi Y)$ dense in $X \hat{\otimes}_\pi Y$?

Dantas, G.L., Jung, Rueda Zoca (2023)

Assume X and Y are dual spaces with the RNP and at least one of them has the approximation property. Then $\text{NA}_\pi(X \hat{\otimes}_\pi Y)$ dense in $X \hat{\otimes}_\pi Y$.

Sketch of the proof

Given $u \in B_{X \hat{\otimes}_\pi Y}$ with $\|u\|_\pi = 1$, take $A \in (X \hat{\otimes}_\pi Y)^*$ with $\langle A, u \rangle = 1$.

Consider $F = \{z \in B_{X \hat{\otimes}_\pi Y} : \langle A, z \rangle = 1\}$.

Denseness of norm-attaining tensors

Dantas, Jung, Roldán, Rueda Zoca (2022)

Let X, Y be reflexive Banach spaces. Is $\text{NA}_\pi(X \hat{\otimes}_\pi Y)$ dense in $X \hat{\otimes}_\pi Y$?

Dantas, G.L., Jung, Rueda Zoca (2023)

Assume X and Y are dual spaces with the RNP and at least one of them has the approximation property. Then $\text{NA}_\pi(X \hat{\otimes}_\pi Y)$ dense in $X \hat{\otimes}_\pi Y$.

Sketch of the proof

Given $u \in B_{X \hat{\otimes}_\pi Y}$ with $\|u\|_\pi = 1$, take $A \in (X \hat{\otimes}_\pi Y)^*$ with $\langle A, u \rangle = 1$.

Consider $F = \{z \in B_{X \hat{\otimes}_\pi Y} : \langle A, z \rangle = 1\}$.

$F = \overline{\text{conv}}(\text{ext } F)$.

Denseness of norm-attaining tensors

Dantas, Jung, Roldán, Rueda Zoca (2022)

Let X, Y be reflexive Banach spaces. Is $\text{NA}_\pi(X \hat{\otimes}_\pi Y)$ dense in $X \hat{\otimes}_\pi Y$?

Dantas, G.L., Jung, Rueda Zoca (2023)

Assume X and Y are dual spaces with the RNP and at least one of them has the approximation property. Then $\text{NA}_\pi(X \hat{\otimes}_\pi Y)$ dense in $X \hat{\otimes}_\pi Y$.

Sketch of the proof

Given $u \in B_{X \hat{\otimes}_\pi Y}$ with $\|u\|_\pi = 1$, take $A \in (X \hat{\otimes}_\pi Y)^*$ with $\langle A, u \rangle = 1$.

Consider $F = \{z \in B_{X \hat{\otimes}_\pi Y} : \langle A, z \rangle = 1\}$.

$F = \overline{\text{conv}}(\text{ext } F)$. Since F is a face of $B_{X \hat{\otimes}_\pi Y}$, $\text{ext } F \subset \text{ext } B_{X \hat{\otimes}_\pi Y}$.

Denseness of norm-attaining tensors

Dantas, Jung, Roldán, Rueda Zoca (2022)

Let X, Y be reflexive Banach spaces. Is $\text{NA}_\pi(X \hat{\otimes}_\pi Y)$ dense in $X \hat{\otimes}_\pi Y$?

Dantas, G.L., Jung, Rueda Zoca (2023)

Assume X and Y are dual spaces with the RNP and at least one of them has the approximation property. Then $\text{NA}_\pi(X \hat{\otimes}_\pi Y)$ dense in $X \hat{\otimes}_\pi Y$.

Sketch of the proof

Given $u \in B_{X \hat{\otimes}_\pi Y}$ with $\|u\|_\pi = 1$, take $A \in (X \hat{\otimes}_\pi Y)^*$ with $\langle A, u \rangle = 1$.

Consider $F = \{z \in B_{X \hat{\otimes}_\pi Y} : \langle A, z \rangle = 1\}$.

$F = \overline{\text{conv}}(\text{ext } F)$. Since F is a face of $B_{X \hat{\otimes}_\pi Y}$, $\text{ext } F \subset \text{ext } B_{X \hat{\otimes}_\pi Y}$.

Under these hypotheses, $\text{ext}(B_{X \hat{\otimes}_\pi Y}) \subset B_X \otimes B_Y$ (Collins-Ruess (1983))

Denseness of norm-attaining tensors

Dantas, Jung, Roldán, Rueda Zoca (2022)

Let X, Y be reflexive Banach spaces. Is $\text{NA}_\pi(X \hat{\otimes}_\pi Y)$ dense in $X \hat{\otimes}_\pi Y$?

Dantas, G.L., Jung, Rueda Zoca (2023)

Assume X and Y are dual spaces with the RNP and at least one of them has the approximation property. Then $\text{NA}_\pi(X \hat{\otimes}_\pi Y)$ dense in $X \hat{\otimes}_\pi Y$.

Sketch of the proof

Given $u \in B_{X \hat{\otimes}_\pi Y}$ with $\|u\|_\pi = 1$, take $A \in (X \hat{\otimes}_\pi Y)^*$ with $\langle A, u \rangle = 1$.

Consider $F = \{z \in B_{X \hat{\otimes}_\pi Y} : \langle A, z \rangle = 1\}$.

$F = \overline{\text{conv}}(\text{ext } F)$. Since F is a face of $B_{X \hat{\otimes}_\pi Y}$, $\text{ext } F \subset \text{ext } B_{X \hat{\otimes}_\pi Y}$.

Under these hypotheses, $\text{ext}(B_{X \hat{\otimes}_\pi Y}) \subset B_X \otimes B_Y$ (Collins-Ruess (1983))

Thus,

$$u \in \overline{\text{conv}}\{x \otimes y \in S_X \otimes S_Y : A(x, y) = 1\}$$

Denseness of norm-attaining tensors

A space X is said to have the **metric π -property** if given $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \subset S_X$, we can find a finite-dimensional 1-complemented subspace $M \subset X$ and points $x'_i \in M$ with $\|x_i - x'_i\| < \varepsilon \forall i$.

Denseness of norm-attaining tensors

A space X is said to have the **metric π -property** if given $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \subset S_X$, we can find a finite-dimensional 1-complemented subspace $M \subset X$ and points $x'_i \in M$ with $\|x_i - x'_i\| < \varepsilon \forall i$.

Equivalently, there are finite-rank projections $P_\alpha: X \rightarrow X$ with $\|P_\alpha\| = 1$ and $P_\alpha x \rightarrow x$ for every $x \in X$.

Denseness of norm-attaining tensors

A space X is said to have the **metric π -property** if given $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \subset S_X$, we can find a finite-dimensional 1-complemented subspace $M \subset X$ and points $x'_i \in M$ with $\|x_i - x'_i\| < \varepsilon \forall i$.

Equivalently, there are finite-rank projections $P_\alpha: X \rightarrow X$ with $\|P_\alpha\| = 1$ and $P_\alpha x \rightarrow x$ for every $x \in X$.

monotone FDD \Rightarrow metric π -property \Rightarrow metric approximation property

Denseness of norm-attaining tensors

A space X is said to have the **metric π -property** if given $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \subset S_X$, we can find a finite-dimensional 1-complemented subspace $M \subset X$ and points $x'_i \in M$ with $\|x_i - x'_i\| < \varepsilon \forall i$.

Equivalently, there are finite-rank projections $P_\alpha: X \rightarrow X$ with $\|P_\alpha\| = 1$ and $P_\alpha x \rightarrow x$ for every $x \in X$.

monotone FDD \Rightarrow metric π -property \Rightarrow metric approximation property

Key point: If M is 1-complemented in X then $M \hat{\otimes}_\pi Y$ is (isometrically) a subspace of $X \hat{\otimes}_\pi Y$.

Denseness of norm-attaining tensors

Let X be a space with the metric π -property. $\overline{\text{NA}_\pi(X \hat{\otimes}_\pi Y)} = X \hat{\otimes}_\pi Y$ if

- Y has the metric π -property or Y is uniformly convex (Dantas-Jung-Roldán-Rueda Zoca, 2022).
- X is polyhedral and Y is a dual space (Dantas-G.L.-Jung-Rueda Zoca, 2023).

Denseness of norm-attaining tensors

Let X be a space with the metric π -property. $\overline{\text{NA}_\pi(X \hat{\otimes}_\pi Y)} = X \hat{\otimes}_\pi Y$ if

- Y has the metric π -property or Y is uniformly convex (Dantas-Jung-Roldán-Rueda Zoca, 2022).
- X is polyhedral and Y is a dual space (Dantas-G.L.-Jung-Rueda Zoca, 2023).

These results are implied by the following theorem:

G.L., Guerrero-Viu, Rueda Zoca (2025)

Suppose that for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$, there exists a finite dimensional 1-complemented subspace $M \subseteq X$ and $x'_i \in M$ with $\|x_i - x'_i\| < \varepsilon$ for each $i = 1, \dots, n$. Assume that $\overline{\text{NA}_\pi(M \hat{\otimes}_\pi Y)} = M \hat{\otimes}_\pi Y$. Then,

$$\overline{\text{NA}_\pi(X \hat{\otimes}_\pi Y)} = X \hat{\otimes}_\pi Y.$$

Denseness of norm-attaining tensors

Let X be a space with the metric π -property. $\overline{\text{NA}_\pi(X \hat{\otimes}_\pi Y)} = X \hat{\otimes}_\pi Y$ if

- Y has the metric π -property or Y is uniformly convex (Dantas-Jung-Roldán-Rueda Zoca, 2022).
- X is polyhedral and Y is a dual space (Dantas-G.L.-Jung-Rueda Zoca, 2023).

These results are implied by the following theorem:

G.L., Guerrero-Viu, Rueda Zoca (2025)

Suppose that for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$, there exists a finite dimensional 1-complemented subspace $M \subseteq X$ and $x'_i \in M$ with $\|x_i - x'_i\| < \varepsilon$ for each $i = 1, \dots, n$. Assume that $\overline{\text{NA}_\pi(M \hat{\otimes}_\pi Y)} = M \hat{\otimes}_\pi Y$. Then,

$$\overline{\text{NA}_\pi(X \hat{\otimes}_\pi Y)} = X \hat{\otimes}_\pi Y.$$

As a consequence, $\overline{\text{NA}_\pi(X \hat{\otimes}_\pi Y)} = X \hat{\otimes}_\pi Y$ in the following cases:

- $X^* = L_1(\mu)$ and Y is 1-complemented in Y^{**} .
- X has the metric π -property and Y is a dual space with the RNP.

Some related questions

Is every extreme point of $B_{X \hat{\otimes}_\pi Y}$ of the form $x \otimes y$ with $x \in B_X, y \in B_Y$?

Some related questions

Is every extreme point of $B_{X \hat{\otimes}_\pi Y}$ of the form $x \otimes y$ with $x \in B_X$, $y \in B_Y$?

Are there X, Y such that the set of operators attaining its nuclear norm is **NOT** dense in $\mathcal{N}(X, Y)$?

Some related questions

Is every extreme point of $B_{X \hat{\otimes}_{\pi} Y}$ of the form $x \otimes y$ with $x \in B_X$, $y \in B_Y$?

Are there X, Y such that the set of operators attaining its nuclear norm is **NOT** dense in $\mathcal{N}(X, Y)$?

Is there X such that the set of symmetric tensors attaining its projective norm is **NOT** dense in $\hat{\otimes}_{\pi, S, N} X$?



Thank you for your attention!