

# From algebras to superalgebras via tensor categories

---



Alberto Elduque

Non-associative day in Madrid

June 6, 2025

# Outline

---

- 1 Symmetric tensor categories
- 2 Semisimplification
- 3 From algebras to superalgebras
- 4 Examples

# From algebras to superalgebras via tensor categories

- 1 Symmetric tensor categories
- 2 Semisimplification
- 3 From algebras to superalgebras
- 4 Examples

# Monoidal categories

A **monoidal category** is a category  $\mathcal{C}$  with a bifunctor

$$\otimes : \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$$

such that:

- There is a **unit object**  $\mathbf{1}$  with natural isomorphisms (**unitors**)

$$X \otimes \mathbf{1} \simeq X \simeq \mathbf{1} \otimes X.$$

- There are natural isomorphisms (**associators**)

$$a_{X,Y,Z} : (X \otimes Y) \otimes Z \simeq X \otimes (Y \otimes Z).$$

- Natural coherence conditions for the unitors and associators hold.

# Monoidal functors

A functor  $F : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}$  between monoidal categories is a **monoidal functor** if  $F(\mathbf{1}) \simeq \mathbf{1}$  and there are natural isomorphisms

$$J_{X,Y} : F(X) \otimes F(Y) \longrightarrow F(X \otimes Y)$$

with natural coherence conditions with associators.

# Symmetric monoidal categories

A **braiding** in a monoidal category  $\mathcal{C}$  is a natural isomorphism

$$c_{X,Y} : X \otimes Y \longrightarrow Y \otimes X$$

satisfying natural compatibility conditions with unitors and associators.

A **symmetric monoidal category** is a monoidal category endowed with a **symmetric** braiding:  $c_{Y,X} \circ c_{X,Y} = \text{id}_{X \otimes Y}$ .

# Rigid symmetric monoidal categories

A symmetric monoidal category is **rigid** if every object  $X$  has a dual object  $X^*$  with

- an **evaluation**  $\text{ev}_X : X^* \otimes X \rightarrow \mathbf{1}$ ,
- a **coevaluation**  $\text{coev}_X : \mathbf{1} \rightarrow X \otimes X^*$ ,

such that the following compositions are the identity morphisms:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X & \xrightarrow{\text{coev}_X \otimes \text{id}_X} & X \otimes X^* \otimes X & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_X \otimes \text{ev}_X} & X \\ X^* & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_{X^*} \otimes \text{coev}_X} & X^* \otimes X \otimes X^* & \xrightarrow{\text{ev}_X \otimes \text{id}_{X^*}} & X^* \end{array}$$

(Unitor and associator morphisms are omitted.)

# Symmetric tensor categories

A **symmetric tensor category**  $\mathcal{C}$  over a field  $\mathbb{F}$  is a rigid symmetric monoidal category with the following extra properties:

- It is abelian and even more: it is  $\mathbb{F}$ -linear and  $\otimes$  is ‘bilinear’.
- It is locally finite: objects have ‘finite length’ and morphism spaces are finite-dimensional.
- $\text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{1}) = \mathbb{F} \text{id}_{\mathbf{1}}$ .



# Examples

$\text{Vec}_{\mathbb{F}}$ : The category of finite-dimensional vector spaces.

$\text{Rep} H$ : The category of finite-dimensional representations of a triangular Hopf algebra.

$\text{Rep} G$ : The category of finite-dimensional representations of an affine group scheme.

$\text{sVec}_{\mathbb{F}}$ : The category of finite-dimensional vector superspaces.

# Algebras in a symmetric tensor category

An **algebra** in a symmetric tensor category  $\mathcal{C}$  is an object  $\mathcal{A}$  endowed with a morphism  $\mu : \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ .

The algebra  $(\mathcal{A}, \mu)$  is

- commutative if  $\mu \circ c_{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}} = \mu$ ,
- associative if  $\mu \circ (\mu \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{A}}) = \mu \circ (\text{id}_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes \mu)$  (associator morphisms are omitted),
- Lie if it is anticommutative:  $\mu \circ c_{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}} = -\mu$ , and

$$\mu \circ (\mu \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{A}}) \circ (\text{id}_{\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A}} + c_{\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}} + c_{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A}}) = 0,$$

- Jordan if ....
- .....

Superalgebras are algebras in  $\text{sVec}_{\mathbb{F}}$ .

# From algebras to superalgebras via tensor categories

- 1 Symmetric tensor categories
- 2 Semisimplification**
- 3 From algebras to superalgebras
- 4 Examples

# Traces in symmetric tensor categories

Given a morphism  $f \in \text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(X)$  in a symmetric tensor category, its **trace**  $\text{tr}_X(f)$  is the following element in  $\text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{1}) \simeq \mathbb{F}$ :

$$\mathbf{1} \xrightarrow{\text{coev}_X} X \otimes X^* \xrightarrow{f \otimes \text{id}_{X^*}} X \otimes X^* \xrightarrow{c_{X, X^*}} X^* \otimes X \xrightarrow{\text{ev}_X} \mathbf{1}$$

The **dimension** of an object  $X$  is  $\text{dim}_{\mathcal{C}}(X) := \text{tr}_X(\text{id}_X)$ .

# Negligible morphisms

A morphism  $f \in \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}}(X, Y)$  in a symmetric tensor category is said to be **negligible** if

$$\text{tr}_Y(f \circ g) = 0 \quad \text{for all } g \in \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}}(Y, X).$$

Denote by  $\mathcal{N}(X, Y)$  be the subspace of negligible morphisms in  $\text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}}(X, Y)$ .

The subspaces  $\mathcal{N}(X, Y)$  form a **tensor ideal**.

# Semisimplification of a symmetric tensor category

This means that we can define a new category  $\mathfrak{C}^{ss}$  with the same objects as  $\mathfrak{C}$ , but with morphisms given by the quotient with the subspace of negligible morphisms:

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}^{ss}}(X, Y) := \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}}(X, Y) / \mathcal{N}(X, Y).$$

$\mathfrak{C}^{ss}$  is called the **semisimplification** of  $\mathfrak{C}$ .

The natural functor  $S : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}^{ss}$  which is the identity on objects, and sends any morphism  $f$  to its class  $[f]$  modulo negligible morphisms is a braided, monoidal,  $\mathbb{F}$ -linear functor.

# Semisimplification

The semisimplification  $\mathfrak{C}^{ss}$  is **semisimple**: any object is a direct sum of finitely many simple objects.

The simple objects in  $\mathfrak{C}^{ss}$  correspond to the indecomposable objects in  $\mathfrak{C}$  of nonzero dimension.

Any indecomposable object in  $\mathfrak{C}$  with  $\dim_{\mathfrak{C}}(X) = 0$  becomes isomorphic to the zero object in  $\mathfrak{C}^{ss}$ .

# Verlinde category

## Definition

Let  $\mathbb{F}$  be a field of characteristic  $p > 0$  and let  $\text{Rep } C_p$  be the category of finite-dimensional representations of the cyclic group of order  $p$  (or of the associated constant group scheme).

This is a symmetric tensor category and its semisimplification is called the **Verlinde category**  $\text{Ver}_p$ .

The Verlinde category  $\text{Ver}_p$  also appears as the semisimplification of

$$\text{Rep } \alpha_p \cong \text{Rep } \mathbb{F}[t]/(t^p).$$

An algebra in  $\text{Rep } \alpha_p$  is just an algebra with a nilpotent derivation  $d$  such that  $d^p = 0$ .



# From algebras to superalgebras via tensor categories

- 1 Symmetric tensor categories
- 2 Semisimplification
- 3 From algebras to superalgebras**
- 4 Examples

# Semisimplification of $\text{Rep } C_p$

Fix a generator  $\sigma$  of  $C_p$ .

The indecomposable objects in  $\text{Rep } C_p$  are, up to isomorphism, the modules

$$L_i = \text{span} \{v_0, \dots, v_{i-1}\}$$

for  $i = 1, \dots, p$ , with

$$\sigma(v_j) = v_j + v_{j+1}, \quad j = 0, \dots, i-2, \quad \sigma(v_{i-1}) = v_{i-1}.$$

Any object  $\mathcal{A}$  in  $\text{Rep } C_p$  decomposes, nonuniquely, as

$$\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_1 \oplus \mathcal{A}_2 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{A}_p,$$

where  $\mathcal{A}_i$  is a direct sum of copies of  $L_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$ .

# Semisimplification of $\text{Rep } C_p$ . Properties

- $L_1, \dots, L_{p-1}$  are simple objects in  $\text{Ver}_p$ , while  $L_p$  is isomorphic to 0.
- $\text{Ver}_p$  is semisimple: any object is isomorphic to a direct sum of copies of  $L_1, \dots, L_{p-1}$ .
- $\text{End}_{\text{Ver}_p}(L_i) = \mathbb{F}[\text{id}_{L_i}] \neq 0$  for  $i = 1, \dots, p-1$ ,  $\text{End}_{\text{Ver}_p}(L_p) = 0$ , and  $\text{Hom}_{\text{Ver}_p}(L_i, L_j) = 0$  for  $1 \leq i \neq j \leq p-1$ .
- $L_1 \otimes L_i$  and  $L_i \otimes L_1$  are isomorphic to  $L_i$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, p$ , both in  $\text{Rep } C_p$  and in  $\text{Ver}_p$ .
- $L_{p-1} \otimes L_{p-1}$  is isomorphic to  $L_1$  in  $\text{Ver}_p$ .

The  $\mathbb{F}$ -linear functor

$$\begin{aligned} F : \text{sVec}_{\mathbb{F}} &\longrightarrow \text{Ver}_p \\ X_{\bar{0}} \oplus X_{\bar{1}} &\mapsto X_{\bar{0}} \oplus (X_{\bar{1}} \otimes L_{p-1}) \\ f_{\bar{0}} \oplus f_{\bar{1}} &\mapsto [f_{\bar{0}} \oplus (f_{\bar{1}} \otimes \text{id}_{L_{p-1}})], \end{aligned}$$

provides an equivalence of symmetric tensor categories between  $\text{sVec}$  and the full tensor subcategory of  $\text{Ver}_p$  generated by  $L_1$  and  $L_{p-1}$ .

This subcategory is the whole  $\text{Ver}_p$  if  $p = 3$ .

# From algebras in $\text{Rep } C_p$ to superalgebras

If  $(\mathcal{A}, \mu)$  is an algebra in  $\text{Rep } C_p$  (i.e., **an algebra endowed with an automorphism of order  $p$** ), then  $(\mathcal{A}, [\mu])$  is an algebra in  $\text{Ver}_p$ .

Fix a decomposition  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{A}_p$ , where  $\mathcal{A}_i$  is a direct sum of copies of  $L_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, p$ .

$\mathcal{A}' := \mathcal{A}_1 \oplus \mathcal{A}_{p-1}$  is endowed with a natural multiplication  $\mu'$  inherited from  $\mu$  such that

$(\mathcal{A}', [\mu'])$  is a subalgebra of  $(\mathcal{A}, [\mu])$  in  $\text{Ver}_p$ , that lies in the subcategory “ $\text{sVec}_{\mathbb{F}}$ ”.

# From algebras in $\text{Rep } C_p$ to superalgebras

Write  $A_{\bar{0}} = \mathcal{A}_1$ , and fix a subspace  $A_{\bar{1}}$  of  $\mathcal{A}_{p-1}$  such that  $\mathcal{A}_{p-1} = A_{\bar{1}} \oplus (\sigma - 1)(\mathcal{A}_{p-1})$ .

Then,  $A := A_{\bar{0}} \oplus A_{\bar{1}}$  is an object in  $\text{sVec}_{\mathbb{F}}$ , and the image of the morphism in  $\text{Rep } C_p$ :

$$\begin{aligned}\iota_{\mathcal{A}} : F(A) = A_{\bar{0}} \oplus (A_{\bar{1}} \otimes L_{p-1}) &\longrightarrow \mathcal{A} \\ a_{\bar{0}} &\mapsto a_{\bar{0}} \in \mathcal{A}_1, \\ a_{\bar{1}} \otimes v_i &\mapsto \delta^i(a_{\bar{1}}) \in \mathcal{A}_{p-1}\end{aligned}$$

is  $\mathcal{A}' := \mathcal{A}_1 \oplus \mathcal{A}_{p-1}$ .

Through the equivalence  $F$ , the multiplication in the “superalgebra”  $(\mathcal{A}', [\mu'])$  induces a multiplication in the vector superspace  $A = A_{\bar{0}} \oplus A_{\bar{1}}$ .

**What this multiplication looks like?**

# From algebras in $\text{Rep } C_p$ to superalgebras. Recipe

Recall our decomposition

$$\mathcal{A} = A_{\bar{0}} \oplus \mathcal{A}_2 \oplus \cdots \mathcal{A}_{p-2} \oplus A_{\bar{1}} \oplus (\sigma - 1)(\mathcal{A}_{p-1}) \oplus \mathcal{A}_p.$$

## Recipe

Take projections relative to the decomposition above, and define a multiplication  $m$  ( $m(x \otimes y) := x \diamond y$ ) on  $A := A_{\bar{0}} \oplus A_{\bar{1}}$  as follows:

$$x_{\bar{0}} \diamond y_{\bar{0}} = \text{proj}_{A_{\bar{0}}} \mu(x_{\bar{0}}, y_{\bar{0}})$$

$$x_{\bar{0}} \diamond y_{\bar{1}} = \text{proj}_{A_{\bar{1}}} \mu(x_{\bar{0}}, y_{\bar{1}})$$

$$x_{\bar{1}} \diamond y_{\bar{0}} = \text{proj}_{A_{\bar{1}}} \mu(x_{\bar{1}}, y_{\bar{0}})$$

$$x_{\bar{1}} \diamond y_{\bar{1}} = \text{proj}_{A_{\bar{0}}} \mu(x_{\bar{1}}, (\sigma - 1)^{p-2}(y_{\bar{1}}))$$

for all  $x_{\bar{0}}, y_{\bar{0}} \in A_{\bar{0}}$  and  $x_{\bar{1}}, y_{\bar{1}} \in A_{\bar{1}}$ .

The algebra  $(A, m)$  is an algebra in  $\text{sVec}$  (a superalgebra).

# From algebras in $\text{Rep } C_p$ to superalgebras. Recipe

Summarizing:

- $(\mathcal{A}, \mu)$  is an algebra in  $\text{Rep } C_p$ .
- Split it suitably:  
$$\mathcal{A} = A_{\bar{0}} \oplus \mathcal{A}_2 \oplus \cdots \mathcal{A}_{p-2} \oplus A_{\bar{1}} \oplus (\sigma - 1)(\mathcal{A}_{p-1}) \oplus \mathcal{A}_p.$$
- $(\mathcal{A}, [\mu])$  contains a nice subalgebra  $(\mathcal{A}', [\mu'])$ .
- Use our recipe to define a multiplication  $m$  on  $A = A_{\bar{0}} \oplus A_{\bar{1}}$ , which becomes a superalgebra.
- Recall our functor  $F : \text{sVec} \rightarrow \text{Ver}_p$ .

## Theorem

*The algebra in  $\text{Ver}_p$  obtained by means of*

$$F(A) \otimes F(A) \xrightarrow{\cong} F(A \otimes A) \xrightarrow{F(m)} F(A)$$

*is isomorphic to the subalgebra  $(\mathcal{A}', [\mu'])$ .*



# From algebras in $\text{Rep } C_p$ to superalgebras

In case  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_1 \oplus \mathcal{A}_{p-1} \oplus \mathcal{A}_p$ , this algebra in  $\text{Ver}_p$  is isomorphic to  $(\mathcal{A}, [\mu])$ . In this situation, we will say that the algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  **semisimplifies** to the superalgebra  $A = A_{\bar{0}} \oplus A_{\bar{1}}$ , or that  $A$  is obtained by **semisimplification** of  $\mathcal{A}$ .

# From algebras to superalgebras via tensor categories

- 1 Symmetric tensor categories
- 2 Semisimplification
- 3 From algebras to superalgebras
- 4 Examples**

# Exceptional contragredient Lie superalgebras in low characteristics



A.S. Kannan

*New constructions of exceptional simple Lie superalgebras with integer Cartan matrix in characteristics 3 and 5 via tensor categories.*  
Transform. Groups **29** (2004), no. 3, 1065-1103.

Kannan obtained each of the exceptional contragredient Lie superalgebras specific of characteristics 3 and 5 by choosing suitable degree  $p$  nilpotent derivations on exceptional simple Lie algebras, in a case by case analysis.

In characteristic 3, most of the exceptional simple contragredient Lie superalgebras appear in an **extended Freudenthal magic square** (Cunha-E. 2007).

# From octonions to composition superalgebras



A. Daza-García, A. Elduque, U. Sayin

*From octonions to composition superalgebras via tensor categories.*

Rev. Mat. Iberoam. **40** (1) (2024), 129-152.

Only over fields of characteristic 3 there are nontrivial **composition superalgebras**:  $B(4, 2)$  of dimension 6, and  $B(1, 2)$  of dimension 3.

These algebras appeared for the first time in Shestakov's classification of the prime alternative superalgebras (1997).

They are the only 'exceptional' unital composition superalgebras (E.-Okubo 2002).

## Theorem

*Both  $B(4, 2)$  and  $B(1, 2)$  are obtained by semisimplification of the algebra of split octonions, using suitable order 3 automorphisms of this latter algebra.*

As a consequence, all the Lie superalgebras in the extended Freudenthal magic square can be obtained by semisimplification of the simple Lie algebras of types  $F_4$ ,  $E_r$ ,  $r = 6, 7, 8$ .

# From the Albert algebra to Kac's ten-dimensional Jordan superalgebra



A. Elduque, P. Etingof, A.S. Kannan,

*From the Albert algebra to Kac's ten-dimensional Jordan superalgebra via tensor categories in characteristic 5..*

*J. Algebra* **666** (2025), 387-414.

The split Albert algebra (exceptional Jordan algebra) over a field of characteristic 5 is endowed with an order 5 automorphism that splits it as

$$\mathbb{A} = 6L_1 \oplus 4L_4 \oplus L_5.$$

# From the Albert algebra to Kac's ten-dimensional Jordan superalgebra

## Theorem

*In characteristic 5, the Albert algebra  $\mathbb{A}$  semisimplifies to Kac's ten-dimensional simple Jordan superalgebra  $K_{10}$ .*

In 2005, Kevin McCrimmon considered the Grassmann envelope of Kac's ten-dimensional simple Jordan superalgebra  $K_{10}$  and obtained, in his own words, *the bizarre result that in characteristic 5 (but not otherwise), it is the Jordan algebra over a shaped cubic form over  $\Gamma_0$* . This means that  $K_{10}$  satisfies the super version of the Cayley-Hamilton equation of degree 3.

The semisimplification process explains this bizarre property.

# From the Albert algebra to Kac's ten-dimensional Jordan superalgebra

The exceptional split simple Lie algebra of type  $E_8$  can be obtained, using a famous construction by Tits, as

$$\mathfrak{Der}(\mathbb{O}) \oplus (\mathbb{O}_0 \otimes \mathbb{A}_0) \otimes \mathfrak{Der}(\mathbb{A}),$$

using the split octonions  $\mathbb{O}$  and the split Albert algebra  $\mathbb{A}$ .

The order 5 automorphism of  $\mathbb{A}$  extends to an automorphism of  $E_8$ , and the outcome is that  $E_8$  semisimplifies to the exceptional Lie superalgebra  $\mathfrak{el}(5; 5)$ , specific of characteristic 5.

Thank you!