

Simple modular Lie superalgebras

Alberto Elduque

Universidad de Zaragoza

Rencontre Mathématique Hispano-Marocaine

- 1 Killing-Cartan classification
- 2 Modular simple Lie algebras
- 3 Superalgebras
- 4 A Supermagic Square in characteristic 3
- 5 Bouarroudj-Grozman-Leites classification

- 1 Killing-Cartan classification
- 2 Modular simple Lie algebras
- 3 Superalgebras
- 4 A Supermagic Square in characteristic 3
- 5 Bouarroudj-Grozman-Leites classification

Killing-Cartan classification (1887-1894)

Killing-Cartan classification (1887-1894)

The set of complex finite-dimensional simple Lie algebras consists of

Killing-Cartan classification (1887-1894)

The set of complex finite-dimensional simple Lie algebras consists of

- Four infinite families: A_n , B_n , C_n , D_n ,

Killing-Cartan classification (1887-1894)

The set of complex finite-dimensional simple Lie algebras consists of

- Four infinite families: $A_n, B_n, C_n, D_n,$
- Five exceptional algebras: $E_6, E_7, E_8, F_4, G_2.$
78, 133, 248, 52, 14.

Exceptional Lie algebras

Exceptional Lie algebras

G_2, F_4, E_6, E_7, E_8

G_2, F_4, E_6, E_7, E_8

$G_2 = \mathfrak{der} \mathbb{O}$ (Cartan 1914)

G_2, F_4, E_6, E_7, E_8

$$G_2 = \mathfrak{der} \mathbb{O} \quad (\text{Cartan 1914})$$

$$F_4 = \mathfrak{der} H_3(\mathbb{O}) \quad (\text{Chevalley-Schafer 1950})$$

$$E_6 = \mathfrak{str}_0 H_3(\mathbb{O})$$

Tits construction (1966)

Tits construction (1966)

- C a composition algebra,

Tits construction (1966)

- C a composition algebra,
- J a central simple Jordan algebra of degree 3,

Tits construction (1966)

- C a composition algebra,
- J a central simple Jordan algebra of degree 3,

Tits construction (1966)

- C a composition algebra,
- J a central simple Jordan algebra of degree 3,

then

$$\mathcal{T}(C, J) = \text{der } C \oplus (C_0 \otimes J_0) \oplus \text{der } J$$

Tits construction (1966)

- C a composition algebra,
- J a central simple Jordan algebra of degree 3,

then

$$\mathcal{T}(C, J) = \text{der } C \oplus (C_0 \otimes J_0) \oplus \text{der } J$$

is a Lie algebra ($\text{char} \neq 2, 3$) under a suitable Lie bracket:

$$[a \otimes x, b \otimes y] = \frac{1}{3} \text{tr}(xy) D_{a,b} + \left([a, b] \otimes \left(xy - \frac{1}{3} \text{tr}(xy) 1 \right) \right) + 2t(ab) d_{x,y}.$$

Freudenthal's Magic Square

Freudenthal's Magic Square

$\mathcal{T}(C, J)$	$H_3(k)$	$H_3(k \times k)$	$H_3(\text{Mat}_2(k))$	$H_3(C(k))$
k	A_1	A_2	C_3	F_4
$k \times k$	A_2	$A_2 \oplus A_2$	A_5	E_6
$\text{Mat}_2(k)$	C_3	A_5	D_6	E_7
$C(k)$	F_4	E_6	E_7	E_8

A more symmetric construction

A more symmetric construction

For $J = H_3(C')$, Tits construction is actually a construction that depends on two composition algebras: C and C' , and can be arranged in a different fashion:

A more symmetric construction

For $J = H_3(C')$, Tits construction is actually a construction that depends on two composition algebras: C and C' , and can be arranged in a different fashion:

$$\mathfrak{g}(C, C') = (\text{tri}(C) \oplus \text{tri}(C')) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{i=0}^2 \iota_i(C \otimes C') \right),$$

A more symmetric construction

For $J = H_3(C')$, Tits construction is actually a construction that depends on two composition algebras: C and C' , and can be arranged in a different fashion:

$$\mathfrak{g}(C, C') = (\text{tri}(C) \oplus \text{tri}(C')) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{i=0}^2 \iota_i(C \otimes C') \right),$$

where

$$\text{tri}(C) = \{(d_0, d_1, d_2) \in \mathfrak{so}(C)^3 : \overline{d_0(\overline{xy})} = d_2(x)y + xd_1(y) \ \forall x, y \in C\}$$

is the **triality Lie algebra** of C .

A more symmetric construction

For $J = H_3(C')$, Tits construction is actually a construction that depends on two composition algebras: C and C' , and can be arranged in a different fashion:

$$\mathfrak{g}(C, C') = (\mathfrak{tri}(C) \oplus \mathfrak{tri}(C')) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{i=0}^2 \iota_i(C \otimes C') \right),$$

where

$$\mathfrak{tri}(C) = \{(d_0, d_1, d_2) \in \mathfrak{so}(C)^3 : \overline{d_0(\overline{xy})} = d_2(x)y + xd_1(y) \ \forall x, y \in C\}$$

is the **triality Lie algebra** of C .

(This is valid too in characteristic 3.)

- 1 Killing-Cartan classification
- 2 Modular simple Lie algebras
- 3 Superalgebras
- 4 A Supermagic Square in characteristic 3
- 5 Bouarroudj-Grozman-Leites classification

Classification

Theorem (Premet-Strade)

Any simple finite-dimensional Lie algebra over an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p \geq 5$ is either:

Theorem (Premet-Strade)

Any simple finite-dimensional Lie algebra over an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p \geq 5$ is either:

- *Classical (that is, the counterpart in prime characteristic of the finite-dimensional simple complex Lie algebras),*

Theorem (Premet-Strade)

Any simple finite-dimensional Lie algebra over an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p \geq 5$ is either:

- *Classical (that is, the counterpart in prime characteristic of the finite-dimensional simple complex Lie algebras),*
- *Cartan type (finite-dimensional counterparts of some infinite-dimensional simple complex Lie algebras),*

Theorem (Premet-Strade)

Any simple finite-dimensional Lie algebra over an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p \geq 5$ is either:

- *Classical (that is, the counterpart in prime characteristic of the finite-dimensional simple complex Lie algebras),*
- *Cartan type (finite-dimensional counterparts of some infinite-dimensional simple complex Lie algebras),*
- *Melikian algebras (specific of characteristic 5).*

Theorem (Premet-Strade)

Any simple finite-dimensional Lie algebra over an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p \geq 5$ is either:

- *Classical (that is, the counterpart in prime characteristic of the finite-dimensional simple complex Lie algebras),*
- *Cartan type (finite-dimensional counterparts of some infinite-dimensional simple complex Lie algebras),*
- *Melikian algebras (specific of characteristic 5).*

Theorem (Premet-Strade)

Any simple finite-dimensional Lie algebra over an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p \geq 5$ is either:

- *Classical (that is, the counterpart in prime characteristic of the finite-dimensional simple complex Lie algebras),*
- *Cartan type (finite-dimensional counterparts of some infinite-dimensional simple complex Lie algebras),*
- *Melikian algebras (specific of characteristic 5).*

(This result fails in characteristic 3.)

Contragredient Lie algebras

Contragredient Lie algebras

- $A = (a_{ij})$, a square matrix,

Contragredient Lie algebras

- $A = (a_{ij})$, a square matrix,
- $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}(A)$ denotes the Lie algebra generated by elements $\{h_i, e_i, f_i\}$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$) subject to

$$[e_i, f_j] = \delta_{ij} h_i, \quad [h_i, h_j] = 0, \quad [h_i, e_j] = a_{ij} e_j, \quad [h_i, f_j] = -a_{ij} f_j.$$

Contragredient Lie algebras

- $A = (a_{ij})$, a square matrix,
- $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}(A)$ denotes the Lie algebra generated by elements $\{h_i, e_i, f_i\}$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$) subject to

$$[e_i, f_j] = \delta_{ij} h_i, \quad [h_i, h_j] = 0, \quad [h_i, e_j] = a_{ij} e_j, \quad [h_i, f_j] = -a_{ij} f_j.$$

- $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}(A)$ is \mathbb{Z} -graded with $\deg(e_i) = 1 = -\deg(f_i) \forall i$.

Contragredient Lie algebras

- $A = (a_{ij})$, a square matrix,
- $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}(A)$ denotes the Lie algebra generated by elements $\{h_i, e_i, f_i\}$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$) subject to

$$[e_i, f_j] = \delta_{ij} h_i, \quad [h_i, h_j] = 0, \quad [h_i, e_j] = a_{ij} e_j, \quad [h_i, f_j] = -a_{ij} f_j.$$

- $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}(A)$ is \mathbb{Z} -graded with $\deg(e_i) = 1 = -\deg(f_i) \forall i$.
- There exists a unique maximal graded ideal $\mathfrak{j}(A)$ of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}(A)$ such that $\mathfrak{j}(A) \cap (\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}(A)_1 \oplus \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}(A)_{-1}) = 0$.

Contragredient Lie algebras

- $A = (a_{ij})$, a square matrix,
- $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}(A)$ denotes the Lie algebra generated by elements $\{h_i, e_i, f_i\}$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$) subject to

$$[e_i, f_j] = \delta_{ij} h_i, \quad [h_i, h_j] = 0, \quad [h_i, e_j] = a_{ij} e_j, \quad [h_i, f_j] = -a_{ij} f_j.$$

- $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}(A)$ is \mathbb{Z} -graded with $\deg(e_i) = 1 = -\deg(f_i) \forall i$.
- There exists a unique maximal graded ideal $\mathfrak{j}(A)$ of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}(A)$ such that $\mathfrak{j}(A) \cap (\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}(A)_1 \oplus \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}(A)_{-1}) = 0$.

Contragredient Lie algebras

- $A = (a_{ij})$, a square matrix,
- $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}(A)$ denotes the Lie algebra generated by elements $\{h_i, e_i, f_i\}$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$) subject to

$$[e_i, f_j] = \delta_{ij} h_i, \quad [h_i, h_j] = 0, \quad [h_i, e_j] = a_{ij} e_j, \quad [h_i, f_j] = -a_{ij} f_j.$$

- $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}(A)$ is \mathbb{Z} -graded with $\deg(e_i) = 1 = -\deg(f_i) \forall i$.
- There exists a unique maximal graded ideal $\mathfrak{j}(A)$ of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}(A)$ such that $\mathfrak{j}(A) \cap (\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}(A)_1 \oplus \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}(A)_{-1}) = 0$.

Definition

$\mathfrak{g}(A) = \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}(A)/\mathfrak{j}(A)$ is the *contragredient Lie algebra with Cartan matrix A*.

Simple contragredient Lie algebras

Theorem (Weisfeiler-Kac)

The modular simple finite-dimensional contragredient Lie algebras over an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p \geq 3$ are:

Theorem (Weisfeiler-Kac)

The modular simple finite-dimensional contragredient Lie algebras over an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p \geq 3$ are:

- *The classical ones (one has to be a bit careful with A_n , E_6 and G_2).*

Theorem (Weisfeiler-Kac)

The modular simple finite-dimensional contragredient Lie algebras over an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p \geq 3$ are:

- *The classical ones (one has to be a bit careful with A_n , E_6 and G_2).*
- *A one-parametric family of 10-dimensional algebras $L(\epsilon)$ ($\epsilon \neq 0$) first considered by Kostrikin ($L(-1) = \mathfrak{sp}_4$) in characteristic 3:
 $L(\epsilon) = (\mathfrak{sl}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{sl}_2) \oplus ((2) \otimes (2)).$*

Theorem (Weisfeiler-Kac)

The modular simple finite-dimensional contragredient Lie algebras over an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p \geq 3$ are:

- *The classical ones (one has to be a bit careful with A_n , E_6 and G_2).*
- *A one-parametric family of 10-dimensional algebras $L(\epsilon)$ ($\epsilon \neq 0$) first considered by Kostrikin ($L(-1) = \mathfrak{sp}_4$) in characteristic 3:
 $L(\epsilon) = (\mathfrak{sl}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{sl}_2) \oplus ((2) \otimes (2)).$*
- *A 29-dimensional algebra discovered by Brown in 1982:
 $\mathfrak{br}_{29} = (\mathfrak{sl}_2 \oplus L(1)) \oplus ((2) \otimes (8)).$*

- 1 Killing-Cartan classification
- 2 Modular simple Lie algebras
- 3 Superalgebras**
- 4 A Supermagic Square in characteristic 3
- 5 Bouarroudj-Grozman-Leites classification

A \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded algebra $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}$ is a *Lie superalgebra* if it satisfies:

A \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded algebra $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}$ is a *Lie superalgebra* if it satisfies:

- $[x, y] = -(-1)^{xy}[y, x]$ (superanticommutativity),

A \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded algebra $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1$ is a *Lie superalgebra* if it satisfies:

- $[x, y] = -(-1)^{xy}[y, x]$ (superanticommutativity),
- $(-1)^{xz}[[x, y], z] + (-1)^{xy}[[y, z], x] + (-1)^{yz}[[z, x], y] = 0$ (superJacobi identity),

A \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded algebra $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1$ is a *Lie superalgebra* if it satisfies:

- $[x, y] = -(-1)^{xy}[y, x]$ (superanticommutativity),
- $(-1)^{xz}[[x, y], z] + (-1)^{xy}[[y, z], x] + (-1)^{yz}[[z, x], y] = 0$ (superJacobi identity),

A \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded algebra $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1$ is a *Lie superalgebra* if it satisfies:

- $[x, y] = -(-1)^{xy}[y, x]$ (superanticommutativity),
- $(-1)^{xz}[[x, y], z] + (-1)^{xy}[[y, z], x] + (-1)^{yz}[[z, x], y] = 0$ (superJacobi identity),

for any $x, y, z \in \mathfrak{g}_0 \cup \mathfrak{g}_1$.

Kac's classification

Theorem (Kac 1977)

Any simple finite-dimensional Lie superalgebra over an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0 is either:

Theorem (Kac 1977)

Any simple finite-dimensional Lie superalgebra over an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0 is either:

- *Classical:*

Theorem (Kac 1977)

Any simple finite-dimensional Lie superalgebra over an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0 is either:

- *Classical:*
 - *Six infinite families: $A(m, n)$, $B(m, n)$, $C(n)$, $D(m, n)$, $P(n)$, $Q(n)$.*

Theorem (Kac 1977)

Any simple finite-dimensional Lie superalgebra over an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0 is either:

- *Classical:*
 - *Six infinite families: $A(m, n)$, $B(m, n)$, $C(n)$, $D(m, n)$, $P(n)$, $Q(n)$.*
 - *Some exceptional algebras: $D(2, 1; t)$, ($t \neq 0, -1$); $G(3)$, $F(4)$.*

Theorem (Kac 1977)

Any simple finite-dimensional Lie superalgebra over an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0 is either:

- *Classical:*
 - *Six infinite families: $A(m, n)$, $B(m, n)$, $C(n)$, $D(m, n)$, $P(n)$, $Q(n)$.*
 - *Some exceptional algebras: $D(2, 1; t)$, ($t \neq 0, -1$); $G(3)$, $F(4)$.*
- *Cartan type (finite-dimensional counterparts of some infinite-dimensional simple complex Lie algebras),*

Models of the exceptional simple Lie superalgebras

It makes sense to replace Jordan algebras by *Jordan superalgebras* in Tits construction:

$$\mathcal{T}(C, J) = \mathfrak{der} C \oplus (C_0 \otimes J_0) \oplus \mathfrak{der} J$$

as long as the Jordan superalgebras satisfy some counterpart of the degree 3 restriction.

It makes sense to replace Jordan algebras by *Jordan superalgebras* in Tits construction:

$$\mathcal{T}(C, J) = \mathfrak{der} C \oplus (C_0 \otimes J_0) \oplus \mathfrak{der} J$$

as long as the Jordan superalgebras satisfy some counterpart of the degree 3 restriction.

Only a few simple Jordan superalgebras work.

A Supermagic Rectangle

A Supermagic Rectangle

$\mathcal{T}(C, J)$	$H_3(k)$	$H_3(k \times k)$	$H_3(\text{Mat}_2(k))$	$H_3(C(k))$	$J(V)$	D_t	K_{10}
k	A_1	A_2	C_3	F_4	A_1	$B(0, 1)$	$B(0, 1) \oplus B(0, 1)$
$k \times k$	A_2	$A_2 \oplus A_2$	A_5	E_6	$B(0, 1)$	$A(1, 0)$	$C(3)$
$\text{Mat}_2(k)$	C_3	A_5	D_6	E_7	$B(1, 1)$	$D(2, 1; t)$	$F(4)$
$C(k)$	F_4	E_6	E_7	E_8	$G(3)$	$F(4)$ ($t = 2$)	$\mathcal{T}(C(k), K_{10})$ (char 5)

- 1 Killing-Cartan classification
- 2 Modular simple Lie algebras
- 3 Superalgebras
- 4 A Supermagic Square in characteristic 3**
- 5 Bouarroudj-Grozman-Leites classification

Composition superalgebras

Theorem (E., Okubo, Shestakov)

In characteristic 3, and only in this characteristic, besides the classical composition algebras (of dimension 1, 2, 4 or 8), there appear exactly two composition superalgebras $C = C_{\bar{0}} \oplus C_{\bar{1}}$:

Theorem (E., Okubo, Shestakov)

In characteristic 3, and only in this characteristic, besides the classical composition algebras (of dimension 1, 2, 4 or 8), there appear exactly two composition superalgebras $C = C_{\bar{0}} \oplus C_{\bar{1}}$:

- $B(1, 2)$ of dimension $3 = 1 + 2$.

Theorem (E., Okubo, Shestakov)

In characteristic 3, and only in this characteristic, besides the classical composition algebras (of dimension 1, 2, 4 or 8), there appear exactly two composition superalgebras $C = C_{\bar{0}} \oplus C_{\bar{1}}$:

- $B(1, 2)$ of dimension $3 = 1 + 2$.
- $B(4, 2)$ of dimension $6 = 4 + 2$.

Theorem (E., Okubo, Shestakov)

In characteristic 3, and only in this characteristic, besides the classical composition algebras (of dimension 1, 2, 4 or 8), there appear exactly two composition superalgebras $C = C_{\bar{0}} \oplus C_{\bar{1}}$:

- $B(1, 2)$ of dimension $3 = 1 + 2$.
- $B(4, 2)$ of dimension $6 = 4 + 2$.

Theorem (E., Okubo, Shestakov)

In characteristic 3, and only in this characteristic, besides the classical composition algebras (of dimension 1, 2, 4 or 8), there appear exactly two composition superalgebras $C = C_{\bar{0}} \oplus C_{\bar{1}}$:

- $B(1, 2)$ of dimension $3 = 1 + 2$.
- $B(4, 2)$ of dimension $6 = 4 + 2$.

These superalgebras can be plugged in the more symmetric construction of Freudenthal's Magic Square:

$$\mathfrak{g}(C, C') = (\text{tri}(C) \oplus \text{tri}(C')) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{i=0}^2 \iota_i(C \otimes C') \right).$$

Supermagic Square (char 3, Cunha-E. 2007)

Supermagic Square (char 3, Cunha-E. 2007)

$\mathfrak{g}(C, C')$	k	$k \times k$	$\text{Mat}_2(k)$	$C(k)$	$B(1, 2)$	$B(4, 2)$
k	A_1	\tilde{A}_2	C_3	F_4	6 8	21 14
$k \times k$		$\tilde{A}_2 \oplus \tilde{A}_2$	\tilde{A}_5	\tilde{E}_6	11 14	35 20
$\text{Mat}_2(k)$			D_6	E_7	24 26	66 32
$C(k)$				E_8	55 50	133 56
$B(1, 2)$					21 16	36 40
$B(4, 2)$						78 64

Supermagic Square (char 3, Cunha-E. 2007)

$\mathfrak{g}(C, C')$	k	$k \times k$	$\text{Mat}_2(k)$	$C(k)$	$B(1, 2)$	$B(4, 2)$
k	A_1	\tilde{A}_2	C_3	F_4	6 8	21 14
$k \times k$		$\tilde{A}_2 \oplus \tilde{A}_2$	\tilde{A}_5	\tilde{E}_6	11 14	35 20
$\text{Mat}_2(k)$			D_6	E_7	24 26	66 32
$C(k)$				E_8	55 50	133 56
$B(1, 2)$					21 16	36 40
$B(4, 2)$						78 64

Notation: $\mathfrak{g}(n, m)$ will denote the superalgebra $\mathfrak{g}(C, C')$, with $\dim C = n$, $\dim C' = m$.

Lie superalgebras in the Supermagic Square

Lie superalgebras in the Supermagic Square

$\mathfrak{g}(C, C')$	$B(1, 2)$	$B(4, 2)$
k	$\mathfrak{psl}_{2,2}$	$\mathfrak{sp}_6 \oplus (14)$
$k \times k$	$(\mathfrak{sl}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{pgl}_3) \oplus ((2) \otimes \mathfrak{psl}_3)$	$\mathfrak{pgl}_6 \oplus (20)$
$\text{Mat}_2(k)$	$(\mathfrak{sl}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{sp}_6) \oplus ((2) \otimes (13))$	$\mathfrak{so}_{12} \oplus \mathit{spin}_{12}$
$C(k)$	$(\mathfrak{sl}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{f}_4) \oplus ((2) \otimes (25))$	$\mathfrak{e}_7 \oplus (56)$
$B(1, 2)$	$\mathfrak{so}_7 \oplus 2\mathit{spin}_7$	$\mathfrak{sp}_8 \oplus (40)$
$B(4, 2)$	$\mathfrak{sp}_8 \oplus (40)$	$\mathfrak{so}_{13} \oplus \mathit{spin}_{13}$

Lie superalgebras in the Supermagic Square

Lie superalgebras in the Supermagic Square

All these Lie superalgebras are simple, with the exception of $\mathfrak{g}(2, 3)$ and $\mathfrak{g}(2, 6)$, both of which contain a codimension one simple ideal.

Lie superalgebras in the Supermagic Square

All these Lie superalgebras are simple, with the exception of $\mathfrak{g}(2, 3)$ and $\mathfrak{g}(2, 6)$, both of which contain a codimension one simple ideal.

Only $\mathfrak{g}(1, 3) \simeq \mathfrak{psl}_{2,2}$ has a counterpart in Kac's classification in characteristic 0. The other Lie superalgebras in the Supermagic Square, or their derived algebras, are new simple Lie superalgebras, specific of characteristic 3.

Lie superalgebras in the Supermagic Square

All these Lie superalgebras are simple, with the exception of $\mathfrak{g}(2, 3)$ and $\mathfrak{g}(2, 6)$, both of which contain a codimension one simple ideal.

Only $\mathfrak{g}(1, 3) \simeq \mathfrak{psl}_{2,2}$ has a counterpart in Kac's classification in characteristic 0. The other Lie superalgebras in the Supermagic Square, or their derived algebras, are new simple Lie superalgebras, specific of characteristic 3.

The simple Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{g}(2, 3)' = [\mathfrak{g}(2, 3), \mathfrak{g}(2, 3)]$ can be obtained too through another variation of Tits construction.

- 1 Killing-Cartan classification
- 2 Modular simple Lie algebras
- 3 Superalgebras
- 4 A Supermagic Square in characteristic 3
- 5 Bouarroudj-Grozman-Leites classification

Simple modular Lie superalgebras with a Cartan matrix

The finite dimensional modular Lie superalgebras with indecomposable symmetrizable Cartan matrices (or *contragredient Lie superalgebras*) over algebraically closed fields have been classified by Bouarroudj, Grozman and Leites, under some extra technical hypotheses.

The finite dimensional modular Lie superalgebras with indecomposable symmetrizable Cartan matrices (or *contragredient Lie superalgebras*) over algebraically closed fields have been classified by Bouarroudj, Grozman and Leites, under some extra technical hypotheses.

For characteristic $p \geq 3$, apart from the Lie superalgebras obtained as the analogues of the Lie superalgebras in the classification in characteristic 0, by reducing the Cartan matrices modulo p , there are only the following exceptions:

Simple modular Lie superalgebras with a Cartan matrix

- 1 The family of exceptions given by the Lie superalgebras in the Supermagic Square in characteristic 3.

- 1 The family of exceptions given by the Lie superalgebras in the Supermagic Square in characteristic 3.
- 2 Two exceptions in characteristic 5: $\mathfrak{bt}(2; 5)$ and $\mathfrak{el}(5; 5)$. (Dimensions 10|12 and 55|32.)

- 1 The family of exceptions given by the Lie superalgebras in the Supermagic Square in characteristic 3.
- 2 Two exceptions in characteristic 5: $\mathfrak{bt}(2; 5)$ and $\mathfrak{el}(5; 5)$. (Dimensions 10|12 and 55|32.)
- 3 Another two exceptions in characteristic 3, similar to the ones in characteristic 5: $\mathfrak{bt}(2; 3)$ and $\mathfrak{el}(5; 3)$. (Dimensions 10|8 and 39|32.)

$\mathfrak{el}(5; 5)$ and $\mathfrak{el}(5; 3)$

The superalgebra $\mathfrak{el}(5; 5)$ is the Lie superalgebra $\mathcal{T}(C(k), K_{10})$ considered previously.

$\mathfrak{el}(5; 5)$ and $\mathfrak{el}(5; 3)$

The superalgebra $\mathfrak{el}(5; 5)$ is the Lie superalgebra $\mathcal{T}(C(k), K_{10})$ considered previously.

The superalgebra $\mathfrak{el}(5; 3)$ lives (as a natural maximal subalgebra) in the Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{g}(3, 8)$ of the Supermagic Square as follows:

$$\mathfrak{el}(5; 3)_{\bar{0}} = \mathfrak{sl}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{so}_9 \leq \mathfrak{sl}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{f}_4 = \mathfrak{g}(3, 8)_{\bar{0}}$$

$$\mathfrak{el}(5; 3)_{\bar{1}} = (2) \otimes \mathit{spin}_9 \leq \mathfrak{g}(3, 8)_{\bar{1}}.$$

$\mathfrak{br}(2; 3)$ and $\mathfrak{br}(2; 5)$

The superalgebra $\mathfrak{br}(2; 3)$ appeared first related to an eight dimensional *symplectic triple system* (E. 2006).

$\mathfrak{br}(2; 3)$ and $\mathfrak{br}(2; 5)$

The superalgebra $\mathfrak{br}(2; 3)$ appeared first related to an eight dimensional *symplectic triple system* (E. 2006).

Recall Brown's simple Lie algebra: $\mathfrak{br}_{29} = (\mathfrak{sl}_2 \oplus L(1)) \oplus (2) \otimes (8)$.

$\mathfrak{br}(2; 3)$ and $\mathfrak{br}(2; 5)$

The superalgebra $\mathfrak{br}(2; 3)$ appeared first related to an eight dimensional *symplectic triple system* (E. 2006).

Recall Brown's simple Lie algebra: $\mathfrak{br}_{29} = (\mathfrak{sl}_2 \oplus L(1)) \oplus (2) \otimes (8)$.

Then $\mathfrak{br}(2; 3) = L(1) \oplus (8)$.

$\mathfrak{br}(2; 3)$ and $\mathfrak{br}(2; 5)$

The superalgebra $\mathfrak{br}(2; 3)$ appeared first related to an eight dimensional *symplectic triple system* (E. 2006).

Recall Brown's simple Lie algebra: $\mathfrak{br}_{29} = (\mathfrak{sl}_2 \oplus L(1)) \oplus (2) \otimes (8)$.

Then $\mathfrak{br}(2; 3) = L(1) \oplus (8)$.

The last exception $\mathfrak{br}(2; 5)$ satisfies:

$\mathfrak{br}(2; 3)$ and $\mathfrak{br}(2; 5)$

The superalgebra $\mathfrak{br}(2; 3)$ appeared first related to an eight dimensional *symplectic triple system* (E. 2006).

Recall Brown's simple Lie algebra: $\mathfrak{br}_{29} = (\mathfrak{sl}_2 \oplus L(1)) \oplus (2) \otimes (8)$.

Then $\mathfrak{br}(2; 3) = L(1) \oplus (8)$.

The last exception $\mathfrak{br}(2; 5)$ satisfies:

- $\mathfrak{br}(2; 5)_{\bar{0}} = \mathfrak{sp}_4 = L(-1)$,
- $\mathfrak{br}(2; 5)_{\bar{1}}$ is a 12-dimensional irreducible module for \mathfrak{sp}_4 specific of characteristic 5.

$\mathfrak{br}(2; 3)$ and $\mathfrak{br}(2; 5)$

The superalgebra $\mathfrak{br}(2; 3)$ appeared first related to an eight dimensional *symplectic triple system* (E. 2006).

Recall Brown's simple Lie algebra: $\mathfrak{br}_{29} = (\mathfrak{sl}_2 \oplus L(1)) \oplus (2) \otimes (8)$.

Then $\mathfrak{br}(2; 3) = L(1) \oplus (8)$.

The last exception $\mathfrak{br}(2; 5)$ satisfies:

- $\mathfrak{br}(2; 5)_{\bar{0}} = \mathfrak{sp}_4 = L(-1)$,
- $\mathfrak{br}(2; 5)_{\bar{1}}$ is a 12-dimensional irreducible module for \mathfrak{sp}_4 specific of characteristic 5.

That's all. Thanks