

A Freudenthal Supermagic Square

Alberto Elduque

Universidad de Zaragoza

University of California Lie Theory Workshop
A conference in honor of Professor Georgia Benkart

- 1 Freudenthal Magic Square
- 2 Jordan superalgebras
- 3 Composition superalgebras
- 4 Supermagic Square
- 5 Some conclusions

- 1 Freudenthal Magic Square
- 2 Jordan superalgebras
- 3 Composition superalgebras
- 4 Supermagic Square
- 5 Some conclusions

Exceptional Lie algebras

G_2 , F_4 , E_6 , E_7 , E_8

G_2, F_4, E_6, E_7, E_8

$$G_2 = \mathfrak{der} \mathbb{O} \quad (\text{Cartan 1914})$$

$$F_4 = \mathfrak{der} H_3(\mathbb{O}) \quad (\text{Chevalley-Schafer 1950})$$

$$E_6 = \mathfrak{str}_0 H_3(\mathbb{O})$$

Tits construction (1966)

Tits construction (1966)

- C a Hurwitz algebra (unital composition algebra),
- J a central simple Jordan algebra of degree 3,

Tits construction (1966)

- C a Hurwitz algebra (unital composition algebra),
- J a central simple Jordan algebra of degree 3,

then

$$\mathcal{T}(C, J) = \mathfrak{der} C \oplus (C_0 \otimes J_0) \oplus \mathfrak{der} J$$

is a Lie algebra ($\text{char} \neq 2, 3$) under a suitable Lie bracket:

$$[a \otimes x, b \otimes y] = \frac{1}{3} \text{tr}(xy) D_{a,b} + \left([a, b] \otimes \left(xy - \frac{1}{3} \text{tr}(xy) 1 \right) \right) + 2t(ab) d_{x,y}.$$

Freudenthal Magic Square

$\mathcal{T}(C, J)$	$H_3(k)$	$H_3(k \times k)$	$H_3(\text{Mat}_2(k))$	$H_3(C(k))$
k	A_1	A_2	C_3	F_4
$k \times k$	A_2	$A_2 \oplus A_2$	A_5	E_6
$\text{Mat}_2(k)$	C_3	A_5	D_6	E_7
$C(k)$	F_4	E_6	E_7	E_8

Tits construction rearranged

$$J = H_3(C') \simeq k^3 \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{i=0}^2 \iota_i(C')\right),$$

$$J_0 \simeq k^2 \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{i=0}^2 \iota_i(C')\right),$$

$$\det J \simeq \text{tri}(C') \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{i=0}^2 \iota_i(C')\right),$$

Tits construction rearranged

$$J = H_3(C') \simeq k^3 \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{i=0}^2 \iota_i(C')\right),$$

$$J_0 \simeq k^2 \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{i=0}^2 \iota_i(C')\right),$$

$$\mathrm{der} J \simeq \mathrm{tri}(C') \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{i=0}^2 \iota_i(C')\right),$$

$$\mathcal{T}(C, J) = \mathrm{der} C \oplus (C_0 \otimes J_0) \oplus \mathrm{der} J$$

$$\simeq \mathrm{der} C \oplus (C_0 \otimes k^2) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{i=0}^2 C_0 \otimes \iota_i(C')\right) \oplus \left(\mathrm{tri}(C') \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{i=0}^2 \iota_i(C')\right)\right)$$

$$\simeq \left(\mathrm{tri}(C) \oplus \mathrm{tri}(C')\right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{i=0}^2 \iota_i(C \otimes C')\right)$$

Tits construction rearranged

$$J = H_3(C') \simeq k^3 \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{i=0}^2 \iota_i(C')\right),$$

$$J_0 \simeq k^2 \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{i=0}^2 \iota_i(C')\right),$$

$$\text{der } J \simeq \text{tri}(C') \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{i=0}^2 \iota_i(C')\right),$$

$$T(C, J) = \text{der } C \oplus (C_0 \otimes J_0) \oplus \text{der } J$$

$$\simeq \text{der } C \oplus (C_0 \otimes k^2) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{i=0}^2 C_0 \otimes \iota_i(C')\right) \oplus (\text{tri}(C') \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{i=0}^2 \iota_i(C')\right))$$

$$\simeq (\text{tri}(C) \oplus \text{tri}(C')) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{i=0}^2 \iota_i(C \otimes C')\right)$$

$$\text{tri}(C) = \{(d_0, d_1, d_2) \in \mathfrak{so}(C)^3 : \overline{d_0(\overline{xy})} = d_2(x)y + xd_1(y) \ \forall x, y \in C\}$$

is the **triality Lie algebra** of C .

Tits construction rearranged

The product in

$$\mathfrak{g}(C, C') = (\text{tri}(C) \oplus \text{tri}(C')) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{i=0}^2 \iota_i(C \otimes C') \right),$$

is given by:

Tits construction rearranged

The product in

$$\mathfrak{g}(C, C') = (\text{tri}(C) \oplus \text{tri}(C')) \oplus \left(\oplus_{i=0}^2 \iota_i(C \otimes C')\right),$$

is given by:

- $\text{tri}(C) \oplus \text{tri}(C')$ is a Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}(C, C')$,
- $[(d_0, d_1, d_2), \iota_i(x \otimes x')] = \iota_i(d_i(x) \otimes x')$,
- $[(d'_0, d'_1, d'_2), \iota_i(x \otimes x')] = \iota_i(x \otimes d'_i(x'))$,
- $[\iota_i(x \otimes x'), \iota_{i+1}(y \otimes y')] = \iota_{i+2}((\bar{x}\bar{y}) \otimes (\bar{x}'\bar{y}'))$ (indices modulo 3),
- $[\iota_i(x \otimes x'), \iota_i(y \otimes y')] = q'(x', y')\theta^i(t_{x,y}) + q(x, y)\theta^{i+1}(t'_{x',y'})$,

where $t_{x,y} = (q(x, \cdot)y - q(y, \cdot)x, \frac{1}{2}q(x, y)1 - R_{\bar{x}}R_y, \frac{1}{2}q(x, y)1 - L_{\bar{x}}L_y)$

(Vinberg, Allison-Faulkner, Barton-Sudbery, Landsberg-Manivel)

Freudenthal Magic Square (char 3)

This more symmetric construction is valid too in characteristic 3:

Freudenthal Magic Square (char 3)

This more symmetric construction is valid too in characteristic 3:

		dim C'			
		1	2	4	8
dim C	$\mathfrak{g}(C, C')$	1	2	4	8
	1	A_1	\tilde{A}_2	C_3	F_4
	2	\tilde{A}_2	$\tilde{A}_2 \oplus \tilde{A}_2$	\tilde{A}_5	\tilde{E}_6
	4	C_3	\tilde{A}_5	D_6	E_7
8	F_4	\tilde{E}_6	E_7	E_8	

Freudenthal Magic Square (char 3)

This more symmetric construction is valid too in characteristic 3:

		dim C'			
		1	2	4	8
g(C, C')		-----			
dim C	1	A_1	\tilde{A}_2	C_3	F_4
	2	\tilde{A}_2	$\tilde{A}_2 \oplus \tilde{A}_2$	\tilde{A}_5	\tilde{E}_6
	4	C_3	\tilde{A}_5	D_6	E_7
	8	F_4	\tilde{E}_6	E_7	E_8

- \tilde{A}_2 denotes a form of \mathfrak{pgl}_3 , so $[\tilde{A}_2, \tilde{A}_2]$ is a form of \mathfrak{psl}_3 .
- \tilde{A}_5 denotes a form of \mathfrak{pgl}_6 , so $[\tilde{A}_5, \tilde{A}_5]$ is a form of \mathfrak{psl}_6 .
- \tilde{E}_6 is not simple, but $[\tilde{E}_6, \tilde{E}_6]$ is a codimension 1 simple ideal.

- 1 Freudenthal Magic Square
- 2 **Jordan superalgebras**
- 3 Composition superalgebras
- 4 Supermagic Square
- 5 Some conclusions

A look at the rows of Tits construction

$$T(C, J) = \mathfrak{der} C \oplus (C_0 \otimes J_0) \oplus \mathfrak{der} J$$

(C a composition algebra, J a suitable Jordan algebra.)

A look at the rows of Tits construction

$$T(C, J) = \text{der } C \oplus (C_0 \otimes J_0) \oplus \text{der } J$$

(C a composition algebra, J a suitable Jordan algebra.)

First row $\dim C = 1$, $T(C, J) = \text{der } J$.

A look at the rows of Tits construction

$$\mathcal{T}(C, J) = \mathfrak{der} C \oplus (C_0 \otimes J_0) \oplus \mathfrak{der} J$$

(C a composition algebra, J a suitable Jordan algebra.)

First row $\dim C = 1, \quad \mathcal{T}(C, J) = \mathfrak{der} J.$

Second row $\dim C = 2, \quad \mathcal{T}(C, J) \simeq J_0 \oplus \mathfrak{der} J \simeq \mathfrak{str}_0(J).$

A look at the rows of Tits construction

$$T(C, J) = \mathfrak{der} C \oplus (C_0 \otimes J_0) \oplus \mathfrak{der} J$$

(C a composition algebra, J a suitable Jordan algebra.)

First row $\dim C = 1, \quad T(C, J) = \mathfrak{der} J.$

Second row $\dim C = 2, \quad T(C, J) \simeq J_0 \oplus \mathfrak{der} J \simeq \mathfrak{str}_0(J).$

Third row $\dim C = 4$, so $C = Q$ is a quaternion algebra and

$$\begin{aligned} T(C, J) &= \mathfrak{ad}_{Q_0} \oplus (Q_0 \otimes J_0) \oplus \mathfrak{der} J \\ &\simeq (Q_0 \otimes J) \oplus \mathfrak{der} J. \end{aligned}$$

(This is Tits version [Tits 1962] of the Tits-Kantor-Koecher construction $TKK(J)$)

Fourth row

Up to now, everything works for arbitrary Jordan algebras in characteristic $\neq 2$, and even for Jordan superalgebras.

Fourth row

Up to now, everything works for arbitrary Jordan algebras in characteristic $\neq 2$, and even for Jordan superalgebras.

Fourth row $\dim C = 8$. If the characteristic is $\neq 2, 3$, then $\mathfrak{det} C = \mathfrak{g}_2$ is simple of type G_2 , C_0 is its smallest nontrivial irreducible module, and

$$\mathcal{T}(C, J) = \mathfrak{g}_2 \oplus (C_0 \otimes J_0) \oplus \mathfrak{det} J$$

is a G_2 -graded Lie algebra. Essentially, all the G_2 -graded Lie algebras appear in this way [Benkart-Zelmanov 1996].

Fourth row

Up to now, everything works for arbitrary Jordan algebras in characteristic $\neq 2$, and even for Jordan superalgebras.

Fourth row $\dim C = 8$. If the characteristic is $\neq 2, 3$, then $\mathfrak{det} C = \mathfrak{g}_2$ is simple of type G_2 , C_0 is its smallest nontrivial irreducible module, and

$$\mathcal{T}(C, J) = \mathfrak{g}_2 \oplus (C_0 \otimes J_0) \oplus \mathfrak{det} J$$

is a G_2 -graded Lie algebra. Essentially, all the G_2 -graded Lie algebras appear in this way [Benkart-Zelmanov 1996].

It makes sense to consider Jordan superalgebras, as long as its Grassmann envelope satisfies the Cayley-Hamilton equation of degree 3.

A Freudenthal Supermagic Rectangle (Benkart-E. 2003)

$\mathcal{T}(C, J)$	$H_3(k)$	$H_3(k \times k)$	$H_3(\text{Mat}_2(k))$	$H_3(C(k))$	$J(V)$	D_t
k	A_1	A_2	C_3	F_4	A_1	$B(0, 1)$
$k \times k$	A_2	$A_2 \oplus A_2$	A_5	E_6	$B(0, 1)$	$A(1, 0)$
$\text{Mat}_2(k)$	C_3	A_5	D_6	E_7	$B(1, 1)$	$D(2, 1; t)$
$C(k)$	F_4	E_6	E_7	E_8	$G(3)$	$F(4) (t = 2)$

The exceptional Jordan superalgebra K_{10} was originally constructed by Lie theoretical methods, as $\mathcal{TKK}(K_{10}) = F(4)$. But there is the following nice model of it (Benkart-E. 2002):

The exceptional Jordan superalgebra K_{10} was originally constructed by Lie theoretical methods, as $\mathcal{TKK}(K_{10}) = F(4)$. But there is the following nice model of it (Benkart-E. 2002):

Let $K_3 = ke \oplus V$ be the *tiny Kaplansky superalgebra* (V a two dim'l vector space with a nonzero alternating bilinear form $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle$), with multiplication:

$$e^2 = e, \quad ev = ve = \frac{1}{2}v, \quad uv = \langle u|v \rangle e.$$

Consider the supersymmetric bilinear form $(\cdot | \cdot)$ on K_3 with $(e|e) = \frac{1}{2}$, $(e|V) = 0$, and $(u|v) = \langle u|v \rangle \forall u, v \in V$.

Then the Kac superalgebra can be defined as:

$$K_{10} = k1 \oplus (K_3 \otimes K_3),$$

with multiplication determined by:

$$(a \otimes b)(c \otimes d) = (-1)^{bc} \left(ac \otimes bd - \frac{3}{4}(a|c)(b|d)1 \right).$$

Then the Kac superalgebra can be defined as:

$$K_{10} = k1 \oplus (K_3 \otimes K_3),$$

with multiplication determined by:

$$(a \otimes b)(c \otimes d) = (-1)^{bc} \left(ac \otimes bd - \frac{3}{4}(a|c)(b|d)1 \right).$$

In characteristic 3, K_{10} is no longer simple, as it contains the simple ideal $K_9 = K_3 \otimes K_3$.

The Grassmann envelope of K_{10} is a degree three algebra in characteristic 5 (McCrimmon 2005), so K_{10} can be plugged into the fourth row of Tits construction:

$\mathcal{T}(C(k), K_{10})$ in characteristic 5!!

The Grassmann envelope of K_{10} is a degree three algebra in characteristic 5 (McCrimmon 2005), so K_{10} can be plugged into the fourth row of Tits construction:

$\mathcal{T}(C(k), K_{10})$ in characteristic 5!!

This is a new simple modular Lie superalgebra, whose even part is \mathfrak{so}_{11} and odd part its spin module (E. 2007).

A larger Freudenthal Supermagic Rectangle

$\mathcal{T}(C, J)$	$H_3(k)$	$H_3(k \times k)$	$H_3(\text{Mat}_2(k))$	$H_3(C(k))$	$J(V)$	D_t	K_{10}
k	A_1	A_2	C_3	F_4	A_1	$B(0, 1)$	$B(0, 1) \oplus B(0, 1)$
$k \times k$	A_2	$A_2 \oplus A_2$	A_5	E_6	$B(0, 1)$	$A(1, 0)$	$C(3)$
$\text{Mat}_2(k)$	C_3	A_5	D_6	E_7	$B(1, 1)$	$D(2, 1; t)$	$F(4)$
$C(k)$	F_4	E_6	E_7	E_8	$G(3)$	$F(4)$ ($t = 2$)	$\mathcal{T}(C(k), K_{10})$ (char 5)

Fourth row, characteristic 3

If the characteristic is 3 and $\dim C = 8$, then $\text{der } C$ is no longer simple, but contains the simple ideal $\text{ad } C_0$ (a form of \mathfrak{psl}_3). It makes sense to consider:

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{T}(C, J) &= \text{ad } C_0 \oplus (C_0 \otimes J_0) \oplus \text{der } J \\ &\simeq (C_0 \otimes J) \oplus \text{der } J.\end{aligned}$$

Fourth row, characteristic 3

If the characteristic is 3 and $\dim C = 8$, then $\mathfrak{der} C$ is no longer simple, but contains the simple ideal $\mathfrak{ad} C_0$ (a form of \mathfrak{psl}_3). It makes sense to consider:

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\mathcal{T}}(C, J) &= \mathfrak{ad} C_0 \oplus (C_0 \otimes J_0) \oplus \mathfrak{der} J \\ &\simeq (C_0 \otimes J) \oplus \mathfrak{der} J.\end{aligned}$$

$\tilde{\mathcal{T}}(C, J)$ becomes a Lie algebra if and only if J is a commutative and alternative algebra (these conditions imply the Jordan identity).

Fourth row, characteristic 3

If the characteristic is 3 and $\dim C = 8$, then $\mathfrak{der} C$ is no longer simple, but contains the simple ideal $\mathfrak{ad} C_0$ (a form of \mathfrak{psl}_3). It makes sense to consider:

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\mathcal{T}}(C, J) &= \mathfrak{ad} C_0 \oplus (C_0 \otimes J_0) \oplus \mathfrak{der} J \\ &\simeq (C_0 \otimes J) \oplus \mathfrak{der} J.\end{aligned}$$

$\tilde{\mathcal{T}}(C, J)$ becomes a Lie algebra if and only if J is a commutative and alternative algebra (these conditions imply the Jordan identity).

The simple commutative alternative algebras are just the fields, so nothing interesting appears here.

Fourth “superrow”, characteristic 3

But there are simple commutative alternative superalgebras [Shestakov 1997] (characteristic 3):

Fourth “superrow”, characteristic 3

But there are simple commutative alternative superalgebras [Shestakov 1997] (characteristic 3):

- (i) fields,

Fourth “superrow”, characteristic 3

But there are simple commutative alternative superalgebras [Shestakov 1997] (characteristic 3):

- (i) fields,
- (ii) $J(V)$, the Jordan superalgebra of a superform on a two dimensional odd space V ,

Fourth “superrow”, characteristic 3

But there are simple commutative alternative superalgebras [Shestakov 1997] (characteristic 3):

- (i) fields,
- (ii) $J(V)$, the Jordan superalgebra of a superform on a two dimensional odd space V ,
- (iii) $B = B(\Gamma, D, 0) = \Gamma \oplus \Gamma u$, where
 - Γ is a commutative associative algebra,
 - $D \in \text{Der } \Gamma$ such that Γ is D -simple,
 - $a(bu) = (ab)u = (au)b$, $(au)(bu) = aD(b) - D(a)b$, $\forall a, b \in \Gamma$.

Example (Divided powers)

$$\Gamma = \mathcal{O}(1; n) = \text{span} \{t^{(r)} : 0 \leq r \leq 3^n - 1\},$$

$$t^{(r)}t^{(s)} = \binom{r+s}{r} t^{(r+s)},$$

$$D(t^{(r)}) = t^{(r-1)}.$$

Over an algebraically closed field of characteristic 3:

- $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}(C(k), J(V))$ is a simple Lie superalgebra specific of characteristic 3 of (super)dimension $10|14$,
- $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}(C(k), \mathcal{O}(1, n) \oplus \mathcal{O}(1, n)u) = \text{Bj}(1; n|7)$ is a simple Lie superalgebra of (super)dimension $2^3 \times 3^n | 2^3 \times 3^n$.

Over an algebraically closed field of characteristic 3:

- $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}(C(k), J(V))$ is a simple Lie superalgebra specific of characteristic 3 of (super)dimension $10|14$,
- $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}(C(k), \mathcal{O}(1, n) \oplus \mathcal{O}(1, n)u) = \text{Bj}(1; n|7)$ is a simple Lie superalgebra of (super)dimension $2^3 \times 3^n | 2^3 \times 3^n$.

Both simple Lie superalgebras have been considered in a completely different way by Bouarroudj and Leites (2006).

- 1 Freudenthal Magic Square
- 2 Jordan superalgebras
- 3 Composition superalgebras**
- 4 Supermagic Square
- 5 Some conclusions

Definition

A superalgebra $C = C_{\bar{0}} \oplus C_{\bar{1}}$, endowed with a regular quadratic superform $q = (q_{\bar{0}}, b)$, called the *norm*, is said to be a *composition superalgebra* in case

$$q_{\bar{0}}(x_{\bar{0}}y_{\bar{0}}) = q_{\bar{0}}(x_{\bar{0}})q_{\bar{0}}(y_{\bar{0}}),$$

$$b(x_{\bar{0}}y, x_{\bar{0}}z) = q_{\bar{0}}(x_{\bar{0}})b(y, z) = b(yx_{\bar{0}}, zx_{\bar{0}}),$$

$$b(xy, zt) + (-1)^{|x||y|+|x||z|+|y||z|} b(zy, xt) = (-1)^{|y||z|} b(x, z)b(y, t),$$

The unital composition superalgebras are termed *Hurwitz superalgebras*.

Composition superalgebras: examples (Shestakov)

Composition superalgebras: examples (Shestakov)

$$B(1, 2) = k1 \oplus V,$$

char $k = 3$, V a two dim'l vector space with a nonzero alternating bilinear form $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle$, with

$$1x = x1 = x, \quad uv = \langle u|v \rangle 1, \quad q_{\bar{0}}(1) = 1, \quad b(u, v) = \langle u|v \rangle,$$

is a Hurwitz superalgebra. (As a superalgebra, this is just our previous $J(V)$)

$$B(4, 2) = \text{End}_k(V) \oplus V,$$

k and V as before, $\text{End}_k(V)$ is equipped with the symplectic involution $f \mapsto \bar{f}$, ($\langle f(u)|v \rangle = \langle u|\bar{f}(v) \rangle$), the multiplication is given by:

- the usual multiplication (composition of maps) in $\text{End}_k(V)$,
- $v \cdot f = f(v) = \bar{f} \cdot v$ for any $f \in \text{End}_k(V)$ and $v \in V$,
- $u \cdot v = \langle \cdot | u \rangle v$ ($w \mapsto \langle w | u \rangle v$) $\in \text{End}_k(V)$ for any $u, v \in V$,

and with quadratic superform

$$q_{\bar{0}}(f) = \det f, \quad b(u, v) = \langle u | v \rangle,$$

is a Hurwitz superalgebra.

Theorem (E.-Okubo 2002)

Any unital composition superalgebra is either:

- *a Hurwitz algebra,*
- *a \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded Hurwitz algebra in characteristic 2,*
- *isomorphic to either $B(1, 2)$ or $B(4, 2)$ in characteristic 3.*

Theorem (E.-Okubo 2002)

Any unital composition superalgebra is either:

- *a Hurwitz algebra,*
- *a \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded Hurwitz algebra in characteristic 2,*
- *isomorphic to either $B(1, 2)$ or $B(4, 2)$ in characteristic 3.*

Hurwitz superalgebras can be plugged into the symmetric construction of Freudenthal Magic Square $\mathfrak{g}(C, C')$.

- 1 Freudenthal Magic Square
- 2 Jordan superalgebras
- 3 Composition superalgebras
- 4 Supermagic Square**
- 5 Some conclusions

Supermagic Square (char 3, Cunha-E. 2007)

$\mathfrak{g}(C, C')$	k	$k \times k$	$\text{Mat}_2(k)$	$C(k)$	$B(1, 2)$	$B(4, 2)$
k	A_1	\tilde{A}_2	C_3	F_4	6 8	21 14
$k \times k$		$\tilde{A}_2 \oplus \tilde{A}_2$	\tilde{A}_5	\tilde{E}_6	11 14	35 20
$\text{Mat}_2(k)$			D_6	E_7	24 26	66 32
$C(k)$				E_8	55 50	133 56
$B(1, 2)$					21 16	36 40
$B(4, 2)$						78 64

Supermagic Square (char 3, Cunha-E. 2007)

$\mathfrak{g}(C, C')$	k	$k \times k$	$\text{Mat}_2(k)$	$C(k)$	$B(1, 2)$	$B(4, 2)$
k	A_1	\tilde{A}_2	C_3	F_4	6 8	21 14
$k \times k$		$\tilde{A}_2 \oplus \tilde{A}_2$	\tilde{A}_5	\tilde{E}_6	11 14	35 20
$\text{Mat}_2(k)$			D_6	E_7	24 26	66 32
$C(k)$				E_8	55 50	133 56
$B(1, 2)$					21 16	36 40
$B(4, 2)$						78 64

Notation: $\mathfrak{g}(n, m)$ will denote the superalgebra $\mathfrak{g}(C, C')$, with $\dim C = n$, $\dim C' = m$.

Lie superalgebras in the Supermagic Square

	$B(1, 2)$	$B(4, 2)$
k	$\mathfrak{psl}_{2,2}$	$\mathfrak{sp}_6 \oplus (14)$
$k \times k$	$(\mathfrak{sl}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{pgl}_3) \oplus ((2) \otimes \mathfrak{psl}_3)$	$\mathfrak{pgl}_6 \oplus (20)$
$\text{Mat}_2(k)$	$(\mathfrak{sl}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{sp}_6) \oplus ((2) \otimes (13))$	$\mathfrak{so}_{12} \oplus \mathfrak{spin}_{12}$
$C(k)$	$(\mathfrak{sl}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{f}_4) \oplus ((2) \otimes (25))$	$\mathfrak{e}_7 \oplus (56)$
$B(1, 2)$	$\mathfrak{so}_7 \oplus 2\mathfrak{spin}_7$	$\mathfrak{sp}_8 \oplus (40)$
$B(4, 2)$	$\mathfrak{sp}_8 \oplus (40)$	$\mathfrak{so}_{13} \oplus \mathfrak{spin}_{13}$

Lie superalgebras in the Supermagic Square

All these Lie superalgebras are simple, with the exception of $\mathfrak{g}(2, 3)$ and $\mathfrak{g}(2, 6)$, both of which contain a codimension one simple ideal.

Lie superalgebras in the Supermagic Square

All these Lie superalgebras are simple, with the exception of $\mathfrak{g}(2, 3)$ and $\mathfrak{g}(2, 6)$, both of which contain a codimension one simple ideal.

Only $\mathfrak{g}(1, 3) \simeq \mathfrak{psl}_{2,2}$ has a counterpart in Kac's classification in characteristic 0. The other Lie superalgebras in the Supermagic Square, or their derived algebras, are new simple Lie superalgebras, specific of characteristic 3.

Lie superalgebras in the Supermagic Square

All these Lie superalgebras are simple, with the exception of $\mathfrak{g}(2, 3)$ and $\mathfrak{g}(2, 6)$, both of which contain a codimension one simple ideal.

Only $\mathfrak{g}(1, 3) \simeq \mathfrak{psl}_{2,2}$ has a counterpart in Kac's classification in characteristic 0. The other Lie superalgebras in the Supermagic Square, or their derived algebras, are new simple Lie superalgebras, specific of characteristic 3.

The simple Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{g}(2, 3)' = [\mathfrak{g}(2, 3), \mathfrak{g}(2, 3)]$ is isomorphic to our previous $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}(C(k), J(V))$.

The Supermagic Square and Jordan algebras

	k	$k \times k$	$\text{Mat}_2(k)$	$C(k)$
$B(1, 2)$	$\mathfrak{psl}_{2,2}$	$(\mathfrak{sl}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{pgl}_3) \oplus ((2) \otimes \mathfrak{psl}_3)$	$(\mathfrak{sl}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{sp}_6) \oplus ((2) \otimes (13))$	$(\mathfrak{sl}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{f}_4) \oplus ((2) \otimes (25))$
$B(4, 2)$	$\mathfrak{sp}_6 \oplus (14)$	$\mathfrak{pgl}_6 \oplus (20)$	$\mathfrak{so}_{12} \oplus \mathit{spin}_{12}$	$\mathfrak{e}_7 \oplus (56)$

The Supermagic Square and Jordan algebras

	k	$k \times k$	$\text{Mat}_2(k)$	$C(k)$
$B(1, 2)$	$\mathfrak{psl}_{2,2}$	$(\mathfrak{sl}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{pgl}_3) \oplus ((2) \otimes \mathfrak{psl}_3)$	$(\mathfrak{sl}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{sp}_6) \oplus ((2) \otimes (13))$	$(\mathfrak{sl}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{f}_4) \oplus ((2) \otimes (25))$
$B(4, 2)$	$\mathfrak{sp}_6 \oplus (14)$	$\mathfrak{pgl}_6 \oplus (20)$	$\mathfrak{so}_{12} \oplus \mathit{spin}_{12}$	$\mathfrak{e}_7 \oplus (56)$

$$\mathfrak{g}(3, r) = (\mathfrak{sl}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{der} J) \oplus ((2) \otimes \hat{J}),$$

$$r = 1, 2, 4, 8, \quad \hat{J} = J_0/k1, \quad J = H_3(C).$$

The Supermagic Square and Jordan algebras

	k	$k \times k$	$\text{Mat}_2(k)$	$C(k)$
$B(1, 2)$	$\mathfrak{psl}_{2,2}$	$(\mathfrak{sl}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{pgl}_3) \oplus ((2) \otimes \mathfrak{psl}_3)$	$(\mathfrak{sl}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{sp}_6) \oplus ((2) \otimes (13))$	$(\mathfrak{sl}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{f}_4) \oplus ((2) \otimes (25))$
$B(4, 2)$	$\mathfrak{sp}_6 \oplus (14)$	$\mathfrak{pgl}_6 \oplus (20)$	$\mathfrak{so}_{12} \oplus \mathit{spin}_{12}$	$\mathfrak{e}_7 \oplus (56)$

$$\mathfrak{g}(3, r) = (\mathfrak{sl}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{der} J) \oplus ((2) \otimes \hat{J}),$$

$$r = 1, 2, 4, 8, \quad \hat{J} = J_0/k1, \quad J = H_3(C).$$

$$\mathfrak{g}(6, r) = (\mathfrak{der} T) \oplus T,$$

$$r = 1, 2, 4, 8, \quad T = \begin{pmatrix} k & J \\ J & k \end{pmatrix}, \quad J = H_3(C).$$

The Supermagic Square and Jordan superalgebras

	$B(1, 2)$	$B(4, 2)$
k	$\text{der}(H_3(B(1, 2)))$	$\text{der}(H_3(B(4, 2)))$
$k \times k$	$\text{pstr}(H_3(B(1, 2)))$	$\text{pstr}(H_3(B(4, 2)))$
$\text{Mat}_2(k)$	$\mathcal{TKK}(H_3(B(1, 2)))$	$\mathcal{TKK}(H_3(B(4, 2)))$
$C(k)$		
$B(1, 2)$	$\mathcal{TKK}(K_9)$	
$B(4, 2)$		

Simple modular Lie superalgebras with a Cartan matrix

The finite dimensional modular Lie superalgebras with indecomposable symmetrizable Cartan matrices (or *contragredient Lie superalgebras*) over algebraically closed fields have been classified by Bouarroudj, Grozman and Leites, under some extra technical hypotheses.

The finite dimensional modular Lie superalgebras with indecomposable symmetrizable Cartan matrices (or *contragredient Lie superalgebras*) over algebraically closed fields have been classified by Bouarroudj, Grozman and Leites, under some extra technical hypotheses.

For characteristic $p \geq 3$, apart from the Lie superalgebras obtained as the analogues of the Lie superalgebras in the classification in characteristic 0, by reducing the Cartan matrices modulo p , there are only the following exceptions:

Simple modular Lie superalgebras with a Cartan matrix

- 1 Two exceptions in characteristic 5: $\mathfrak{bt}(2; 5)$ and $\mathfrak{el}(5; 5)$. (Dimensions $10|12$ and $55|32$.)

Simple modular Lie superalgebras with a Cartan matrix

- 1 Two exceptions in characteristic 5: $\mathfrak{bt}(2; 5)$ and $\mathfrak{el}(5; 5)$. (Dimensions $10|12$ and $55|32$.)
- 2 The family of exceptions given by the Lie superalgebras in the Supermagic Square in characteristic 3.

Simple modular Lie superalgebras with a Cartan matrix

- 1 Two exceptions in characteristic 5: $\mathfrak{bt}(2; 5)$ and $\mathfrak{el}(5; 5)$. (Dimensions $10|12$ and $55|32$.)
- 2 The family of exceptions given by the Lie superalgebras in the Supermagic Square in characteristic 3.
- 3 Another two exceptions in characteristic 3, similar to the ones in characteristic 5: $\mathfrak{bt}(2; 3)$ and $\mathfrak{el}(5; 3)$. (Dimensions $10|8$ and $39|32$.)

Simple modular Lie superalgebras with a Cartan matrix

- 1 Two exceptions in characteristic 5: $\mathfrak{bt}(2; 5)$ and $\mathfrak{el}(5; 5)$. (Dimensions $10|12$ and $55|32$.)
- 2 The family of exceptions given by the Lie superalgebras in the Supermagic Square in characteristic 3.
- 3 Another two exceptions in characteristic 3, similar to the ones in characteristic 5: $\mathfrak{bt}(2; 3)$ and $\mathfrak{el}(5; 3)$. (Dimensions $10|8$ and $39|32$.)

Simple modular Lie superalgebras with a Cartan matrix

- 1 Two exceptions in characteristic 5: $\mathfrak{bt}(2; 5)$ and $\mathfrak{el}(5; 5)$. (Dimensions $10|12$ and $55|32$.)
- 2 The family of exceptions given by the Lie superalgebras in the Supermagic Square in characteristic 3.
- 3 Another two exceptions in characteristic 3, similar to the ones in characteristic 5: $\mathfrak{bt}(2; 3)$ and $\mathfrak{el}(5; 3)$. (Dimensions $10|8$ and $39|32$.)

The superalgebra $\mathfrak{bt}(2; 3)$ appeared first related to an eight dimensional *symplectic triple system* (E. 2006).

Simple modular Lie superalgebras with a Cartan matrix

- 1 Two exceptions in characteristic 5: $\mathfrak{bt}(2; 5)$ and $\mathfrak{el}(5; 5)$. (Dimensions $10|12$ and $55|32$.)
- 2 The family of exceptions given by the Lie superalgebras in the Supermagic Square in characteristic 3.
- 3 Another two exceptions in characteristic 3, similar to the ones in characteristic 5: $\mathfrak{bt}(2; 3)$ and $\mathfrak{el}(5; 3)$. (Dimensions $10|8$ and $39|32$.)

The superalgebra $\mathfrak{bt}(2; 3)$ appeared first related to an eight dimensional *symplectic triple system* (E. 2006).

The superalgebra $\mathfrak{el}(5; 5)$ is the Lie superalgebra $\mathcal{T}(C(k), K_{10})$ considered previously.

The superalgebra $\mathfrak{el}(5; 3)$ lives (as a natural maximal subalgebra) in the Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{g}(3, 8)$ of the Supermagic Square as follows:

- $\mathfrak{el}(5; 3)_{\bar{0}} = \mathfrak{sl}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{so}_9 \leq \mathfrak{sl}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{f}_4 = \mathfrak{g}(3, 8)_{\bar{0}},$
 $(\mathfrak{f}_4 = \mathfrak{der}(J), \quad J = H_3(C(k)))$

The superalgebra $\mathfrak{el}(5; 3)$ lives (as a natural maximal subalgebra) in the Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{g}(3, 8)$ of the Supermagic Square as follows:

- $\mathfrak{el}(5; 3)_{\bar{0}} = \mathfrak{sl}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{so}_9 \leq \mathfrak{sl}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{f}_4 = \mathfrak{g}(3, 8)_{\bar{0}}$,
 $(\mathfrak{f}_4 = \mathfrak{der}(J), \quad J = H_3(C(k)))$
- $\mathfrak{el}(5; 3)_{\bar{1}} = (2) \otimes (C(k) \oplus C(k)) \leq (2) \otimes \hat{J} = \mathfrak{g}(3, 8)_{\bar{1}}$,
 $(\hat{J} = J_0/k1 \text{ contains three copies of } C(k) \text{ in the off-diagonal entries.})$

- 1 Freudenthal Magic Square
- 2 Jordan superalgebras
- 3 Composition superalgebras
- 4 Supermagic Square
- 5 Some conclusions

Freudenthal Magic Square can be extended in several ways to include interesting superalgebras:

Freudenthal Magic Square can be extended in several ways to include interesting superalgebras:

char. $\neq 2, 3$: By extending Tits construction with the use of “degree three” Jordan superalgebras.
There appear the exceptional Lie superalgebras $D(2, 1; t)$, $G(3)$ and $F(4)$ in Kac’s classification.

Freudenthal Magic Square can be extended in several ways to include interesting superalgebras:

- char. $\neq 2, 3$:** By extending Tits construction with the use of “degree three” Jordan superalgebras.
There appear the exceptional Lie superalgebras $D(2, 1; t)$, $G(3)$ and $F(4)$ in Kac’s classification.
- char. 5:** In characteristic 5 one can add the new simple Lie superalgebra (without counterpart in Kac’s classification) $\mathfrak{el}(5; 5) = \mathcal{T}(C(k), K_{10})$.

Some conclusions

char. 3:

- By using a symmetric construction in terms of two Hurwitz algebras, and extending it (only in characteristic 3) with the use of composition superalgebras.

Ten new simple Lie superalgebras are obtained:

$\mathfrak{g}(r, 3)'$ ($r = 2, 4, 8$), $\mathfrak{g}(r, 6)'$ ($r = 1, 2, 4, 8$), $\mathfrak{g}(3, 3)$, $\mathfrak{g}(3, 6)$ and $\mathfrak{g}(6, 6)$.

- The new simple Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{el}(5; 3)$ appears as a maximal subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}(8, 3)$.

- The new simple Lie superalgebra

$$\tilde{T}(C(k), \mathcal{O}(1, n) \oplus \mathcal{O}(1, n)u) = \text{Bj}(1; n|7)$$

appears by 'adjusting' the fourth row of Tits construction to characteristic 3.

Some conclusions

char. 3:

- By using a symmetric construction in terms of two Hurwitz algebras, and extending it (only in characteristic 3) with the use of composition superalgebras.

Ten new simple Lie superalgebras are obtained:

$\mathfrak{g}(r, 3)'$ ($r = 2, 4, 8$), $\mathfrak{g}(r, 6)'$ ($r = 1, 2, 4, 8$), $\mathfrak{g}(3, 3)$, $\mathfrak{g}(3, 6)$ and $\mathfrak{g}(6, 6)$.

- The new simple Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{el}(5; 3)$ appears as a maximal subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}(8, 3)$.
- The new simple Lie superalgebra $\tilde{T}(C(k), \mathcal{O}(1, n) \oplus \mathcal{O}(1, n)u) = \text{Bj}(1; n|7)$ appears by 'adjusting' the fourth row of Tits construction to characteristic 3.

That's all. Thanks