PURE [∗] -HOMOMORPHISMS

JOAN BOSA, EDUARD VILALTA

ABSTRACT. We introduce and study a notion of pureness for *-homomorphisms and, more generally, for cpc. order-zero maps. After providing several examples of pureness, such as ' $\mathcal Z$ -stable'-like maps, we focus on the question of when pure maps factor through a pure C∗-algebra.

We show that, up to Cuntz equivalence, any composition of two pure maps factors through a pure object. This is used to obtain several factorization results at the level of C∗-algebras.

1. Introduction

The classification of unital separable simple nuclear non-elementary C[∗]-algebras by their K-theory and tracial data, also known as the Elliott classification program, has been one of the major developments in the theory of operator algebras in the past 40 years. A landmark achievement, obtained as the collaborative effort of many hands and decades of work (see, among many others, [\[EGLN,](#page-18-0) [TWW17,](#page-19-0) [Win12\]](#page-19-1)), states that any such C[∗]-algebra A can be classified by the so-called Elliott invariant as long as A is Z -stable (i.e. it absorbs the Jiang-Su algebra tensorially) and satisfies the universal coefficient theorem (UCT). Some of the first results in the Elliott classification program relied heavily on the inductive limit presentation of the algebras under consideration; however, the modern approach to classification exploits conditions that are more abstract in nature. One such condition is Winter's notion of pure C^* -algebras, which were defined in [\[Win12\]](#page-19-1) as those algebras that are both almost divisible and almost unperforated. This notion is deeply connected to Z-stability, as stated explicitly in the famous Toms-Winter conjecture: For unital separable simple nuclear non-elementary C[∗] -algebras, the conditions of almost unperforation, Z-stability and finite nuclear dimension should all coincide. The conjecture is by now largely a theorem $[CET+21, BBS+19, Rør04, Win12]$ $[CET+21, BBS+19, Rør04, Win12]$, with the only remaining implication being if almost unperforation implies Z-stability. If true, pureness and $\mathcal Z$ -stability agree for all unital separable simple nuclear C^* algebras. However, it should be noted that Z -stability and pureness do not agree in general, with the latter then becoming an important regularity property on its own right; see [\[APTV24\]](#page-18-4).

The current approaches to the classification program classify C[∗]-algebras by classifying maps. In such results, strong conditions are only imposed either on the domain or codomain, while the assumptions on the other side tend to be milder. One important example of this phenomenon is Robert's classification of $*$ homomorphisms from 1-dimensional NCCW-complexes with trivial K_1 -group (and

Date: 27 June 2024.

²⁰²⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 46L05; Secondary 19K14, 46L80.

All authors were partially supported by MINECO (grant No. PID2020-113047GB-I00/AEI/10.13039/501100011033), and by the Comissionat per Universitats i Recerca de la Generalitat de Catalunya (grant No. 2021SGR01015). The first author was also partially supported by the Consolidación Investigadora grant (CNS2022-135340) provided by Ministerio de Ciencia, Innovación y Universidades (Gobierno de España). The second author was also supported by the Fields Institute for Research in Mathematical Sciences and by the Knut and Alice Wallenberg Foundation (KAW 2021.0140).

their inductive limits) to stable rank one C^* -algebras via the Cuntz semigroup [\[Rob12\]](#page-18-5). This semigroup is a rich invariant for C[∗] -algebras, which plays a crucial role in both Elliott's program and the Toms-Winter conjecture. Robert's theorem has been an important tool in recent classification results, and can be regarded as an example where the result for ^{*}-homomorphisms is much more powerful than its induced result for C[∗] -algebras. A recent groundbreaking development along these lines can be found in [\[CGS](#page-18-6)+23], where unital embeddings from unital separable nuclear C[∗]-algebras satisfying the UCT to unital simple separable nuclear Z-stable C^{*}-algebras are classified. Further, a current trend in such results is to move the conditions on the domain or codomain to the maps themselves. This can be seen, for example, in the definition of \mathcal{O}_2 -stable $*$ -homomorphisms [\[Gab20\]](#page-18-7), in the study of \mathcal{O}_{∞} -stable ^{*}-homomorphisms run in [\[BGSW22\]](#page-18-8), in the introduction of real rank zero inclusions [\[GN23\]](#page-18-9), and many others.

Inspired by this modern approach to classification —as well as by its importance as a regularity property— in this paper we generalize the notion of pureness to maps between C[∗]-algebras. Extending the original definition, we say that a cpc. order-zero map (in particular, a *-homomorphism) $\theta: A \to B$ is pure if it is both almost unperforated and almost divisible, in their suitable versions [\(Definition 3.2\)](#page-3-0).

Our first examples of pureness arise from the study of Z -stable'-like maps (a notion that we do not define). Recall from [\[Kir06,](#page-18-10) Proposition 4.4] that a unital separable C^{*}-algebra A is $\mathcal Z$ -stable if and only if $\mathcal Z$ embeds unitally into $A_\omega \cap A'$ (for a free ultrafilter ω), which is equivalent to $\mathcal Z$ embedding unitally to $A_{\omega} \cap A' \cap S'$ for any separable sub-C^{*}-algebra $S \subseteq A_\omega \cap A'$. In particular, the unit in $A_\omega \cap A' \cap S'$ is almost divisible for each S . In our setting, we get the following:

Theorem 1.1 (cf. [3.8\)](#page-6-0). Let A be σ -unital, and let $\theta: A \rightarrow B$ be a $*$ -homomorphism. Assume that $1 \in B_\omega \cap \theta(A)'/\text{Ann}(\theta(A))$ is almost divisible. Then, θ is pure.

Further, if $1 \in B_\omega \cap (\theta(A) \cup S)'/\text{Ann}(\theta(A) \cup S)$ is almost divisible for every separable sub-C^{*}-algebra $S \subseteq B_\omega \cap \theta(A)'$, there exists a pure sub-C^{*}-algebra $C \subseteq B_\omega$ such that $\theta(A) \subseteq C \subseteq B_{\omega}$.

The second part of [Theorem 1.1](#page-1-0) says that, up to passing to the ultraproduct, certain pure [∗] -homomorphisms factor through a pure C[∗] -algebra. In the general setting, a central question in the study of regularity properties for maps is which $*$ homomorphisms with a certain property factor, up to Murray-von Neumann equivalence [\(Lemma 4.4\)](#page-8-0), through a C[∗] -algebra with said property; see the comments before [Question 3.9](#page-7-0) for a more in-depth discussion. Restricted to our case, the question is:

Question 1.2 [\(3.9-](#page-7-0)[3.10\)](#page-7-1). Let $\theta: A \to B$ be a *-homomorphism. Is θ pure if and only if the map $\iota_w \circ \theta \colon A \to B_\omega$ factors, up to Murray-von Neumann equivalence, through a pure C[∗] -algebra?

More generally, does there exist $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that, for any tuple $\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_n$ of pairwise composable pure *-homomorphisms, the composition $\iota_w \circ \theta_n \circ \cdots \circ \theta_1$ factors up to Murray-von Neumann equivalence through a pure C[∗]-algebra?

We start our study defining the notion of pureness and asserting [Theorem 1.1](#page-1-0) in [Section 3,](#page-3-1) where we also provide a number of examples. In [Section 4](#page-7-2) we establish several permanence properties of pureness that are used throughout the paper. In [Section 5,](#page-10-0) the main section of the paper, we combine all the previous results to provide an answer to [Question 1.2.](#page-1-1) To do so, we exploit the structure of (abstract) Cuntz semigroup morphisms that are both almost divisible and almost unperforated. Our main technical result [\(Theorem 5.5\)](#page-13-0) says that, at the level of Cuntz semigroups, any composition of two pure maps factors through a pure object. Restricted to C[∗] -algebras, the result reads as follows:

Theorem 1.3 (cf. [5.7\)](#page-14-0). Let $\theta_1: A_1 \rightarrow A_2$ and $\theta_2: A_2 \rightarrow B$ be pure *-homomorphisms. Then, there exists a Cu-morphism β such that the following diagram commutes

[Theorem 5.7](#page-14-0) is in fact more general. For the previous result to hold, one only needs θ_1, θ_2 to be cpc. order-zero maps, with θ_1 almost divisible and θ_2 almost unperforated; see [Definition 3.2](#page-3-0) for details. This generality provides us with many applications where the above statement can be used. Indeed, one obtains a complete answer to [Question 1.2](#page-1-1) when A_1 is AF and B has stable rank one.

Corollary 1.4 (cf. [5.8\)](#page-14-1). Let A_1 be a unital AF-algebra, and let B be a unital C^* -algebra of stable rank one. Let $\theta_1: A_1 \to A_2$ and $\theta_2: A_2 \to B$ be unital, pure *-homomorphisms. Then, $\theta_2\theta_1$ factors, up to approximately unitarily equivalence, through $A_1 \otimes \mathcal{Z}$.

Further, if B has strict comparison, one can set $\theta_2 = id_B$ to answer [Question 1.2](#page-1-1) for a single ^{*}-homomorphism in the above situation. We state this in Corollary [5.11.](#page-15-0)

The last section of the paper, [Section 6,](#page-15-1) is devoted to two types of pure maps: q-rational [∗] -homomorphisms [\(Definition 6.1\)](#page-15-2) and soft, pure [∗] -homomorphisms (see [Definition 6.6\)](#page-17-0). Here, we deduce analogues of [Theorem 5.7](#page-14-0) for other types of tensorial absorption. Again, such results are also valid for cpc. order-zero maps, at the expense of β being only a generalized Cu-morphism.

Theorem 1.5 (cf. [6.3,](#page-16-0) [6.10\)](#page-17-1). Let $\theta_1: A_1 \rightarrow A_2$ and $\theta_2: A_2 \rightarrow B$ be q-rational (resp. soft, pure) *-homomorphisms. Then, there exists a Cu-morphism β such that the following diagram commutes

where D is the UHF-algebra M_q (resp. the Jacelon-Razak algebra W).

Combining the results above with Robert's classification result, we obtain:

Corollary 1.6 (cf. [6.4](#page-16-1)). Let $\theta_1: A_1 \to A_2$ and $\theta_2: A_2 \to B$ be two unital q-rational $*$ -homomorphisms. Assume that A_1 is stably isomorphic to an inductive limit of 1-dimensional NCCW-complexes with trivial K_1 -group, and that B is of stable rank one. Then, $\theta_2\theta_1$ factors, up to approximate unitary equivalence, through $A_1 \otimes M_q$.

Acknowledgments. This work was carried out during two visits of EV to JB at Universidad de Zaragoza. He is grateful to the institution for its hospitality.

Both authors would also like to thank Hannes Thiel for comments on a first draft of the paper.

2. Preliminaries

2.1 (The Cuntz semigroup). Given two positive elements a, b in a C^{*}-algebra A, we write $a \preceq b$ whenever a is *Cuntz subequivalent* to b, that is, whenever there exists $(r_n)_n \subseteq A$ such that $a = \lim_n r_n b r_n^*$. Further, we say that a is *Cuntz equivalent* to b, and write $a \sim b$, whenever $a \precsim b$ and $b \precsim a$.

The Cuntz semigroup of A is defined to be the set $(A \otimes \mathcal{K})_+/\sim$, equipped with the addition induced by diagonal addition and the partial order induced by \preceq . We denote this monoid by $Cu(A)$; see [\[CEI08\]](#page-18-11).

As shown in [\[WZ09\]](#page-19-2), any completely positive, contractive (cpc.), order-zero map $\varphi: A \to B$ (for example, any *-homomorphism) induces a well-defined, partially ordered, monoid morphism $Cu(\varphi)$: $Cu(A) \rightarrow Cu(B)$ given by $Cu(\varphi)([a]) = [\varphi(a)].$

2.2 (Abstract Cuntz semigroups). As defined in [\[CEI08\]](#page-18-11), a positively ordered monoid S is a Cu-semigroup if it satisfies the following four conditions:

(O1) every increasing sequence has a supremum;

- (O2) every element is the supremum of a \ll -increasing sequence;
- (O3) $x' + y' \ll x + y$ whenever $x' \ll x$ and $y' \ll y$;
- (O4) $\sup_n(x_n + y_n) = \sup_n x_n + \sup_n y_n$ for any pair of increasing sequences $(x_n),(y_n)$ in S,

where one writes $x \ll y$ if, for any increasing sequence $(z_n)_n$ with supremum greater than or equal to y, there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x \leq z_n$.

A monoid morphism $S \to T$ between Cu-semigroups is said to be a *general*ized Cu-morphism if it preserves both the order and suprema of increasing sequences. A Cu-morphism is any generalized Cu-morphism that also preserves the \ll -relation. Any cpc. order-zero map induces a generalized Cu-morphism, while any [∗] -homomorphism induces a Cu-morphism; see [\[WZ09\]](#page-19-2) and [\[CEI08\]](#page-18-11) respectively.

The reader is referred to [\[APT18\]](#page-18-12) for an in-depth introduction to Cu-semigroups.

3. Pure [∗] -homomorphisms

We introduce in [Definition 3.2](#page-3-0) a notion of $Cu(Z)$ -multiplication for Cu-morphisms, and we say that a ^{*}-homomorphism is *pure* if its induced Cu-morphism has $Cu(Z)$ -multiplication. We then provide a number of examples [\(3.3-](#page-4-0)[3.5\)](#page-4-1), and show that Z -stable like' morphisms are always pure. In fact, their composition with the embedding to the codomain ultraproduct always factorizes through a pure C ∗ -algebra; see [Theorem 3.8.](#page-6-0)

The section ends with [Question 3.9](#page-7-0) and its weakening [Question 3.10.](#page-7-1) A satisfactory general answer to the second question is given in [Section 5.](#page-10-0)

3.1. Recall from [\[Win12,](#page-19-1) Definition 2.1] (see [\[RT17\]](#page-18-13) for the concrete definition displayed here) that a Cu-semigroup S is said to be

- almost unperforated if, whenever $x, y \in S$ are such that $(m+1)x \leq my$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$, one has $x \leq y$.
- almost divisible if for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x', x \in S$ such that $x' \ll x$ there exists $z \in S$ such that $kz \leq x$ and $x' \leq (k+1)z$.

One says that a C[∗] -algebra is pure if its Cuntz semigroup is almost unperforated and almost divisible.

In [\[APT18\]](#page-18-12), a theory of tensor products and *multiplication* for Cuntz semigroups was developed. Amongst other results, the authors showed in [\[APT18,](#page-18-12) Theorem 7.3.11] that a Cu-semigroup S is pure if and only if $S \cong S \otimes Cu(\mathcal{Z})$. Further, one can see that the Cuntz semigroup of any $\mathcal{Z}\text{-stable}$ C^{*}-algebra has $Cu(\mathcal{Z})$ -multiplication (ie. it tensorially absorbs $Cu(\mathcal{Z})$).

Definition 3.2. Let $\varphi: S \to T$ be a generalized Cu-morphism. We will say that φ is

- (i) almost unperforated if $\varphi(x) \leq \varphi(y)$ whenever $(m+1)x \leq my$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$.
- (ii) almost divisible if for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x', x \in S$ such that $x' \ll x$ there exists $z \in T$ such that $kz \leq \varphi(x)$ and $\varphi(x') \leq (k+1)z$.

The generalized Cu-morphism φ will be said to have Cu(\mathcal{Z})-multiplication if it is almost unperforated and almost divisible, and a cpc. order-zero map $\theta: A \rightarrow B$ will be called *pure* if $Cu(\theta)$ has $Cu(\mathcal{Z})$ -multiplication.

Let us begin the section with some examples of pure maps:

Example 3.3. It is readily checked that any *-homomorphism $A \to B$ is pure whenever A or B is pure. More generally, the same holds if $Cu(A \rightarrow B)$ factors through the Cuntz semigroup of a pure C[∗] -algebra; see [Proposition 4.2](#page-7-3) for details and more general statements.

As a concrete example, any *-homomorphism that factors through an infinite reduced free product $*\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (A, \tau)$, with τ a trace, is pure. These products are always simple and monotracial ([\[Avi82,](#page-18-14) Proposition 3.1]), have stable rank one ([\[DHR97,](#page-18-15) Theorem 3.8]), and strict comparison ([\[Rob12,](#page-18-5) Proposition 6.3.2]). A standard argument, using the positive solution to the ranks problem for simple, stable rank one C[∗] -algebras from [\[Thi20\]](#page-18-16), proves that the Cuntz semigroup is almost divisible; see, for example, [\[Vil23,](#page-19-3) Remark 4.4] or its generalization [Proposition 3.5](#page-4-1) below.

Example 3.4. Let A, B be C^{*}-algebras, and assume that B is almost divisible. Then, it follows from [\[RR13,](#page-18-17) Lemma 6.1(i)] that the first factor embedding $A \to A \otimes B$ is almost unperforated and, therefore, pure.

Another example of pure maps is given by the following proposition. Recall that a C[∗] -algebra A is said to be nowhere scattered if it has no nonzero elementary ideal-quotients; see [\[TV24a\]](#page-18-18).

Proposition 3.5. Let A be a nowhere scattered C^* -algebra of stable rank one, and let $\theta: A \rightarrow B$ be a cpc. order-zero, almost unperforated map. Then, θ is pure.

Proof. We need to show that $Cu(\theta)$ is almost divisible. To see this, let $x \in Cu(A)$ and take $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, it follows from [\[APRT22,](#page-18-19) Theorem 7.14] that there exists $y \in Cu(A)$ such that $\hat{y} = \frac{2}{2k+1}\hat{x}$ in $L(F(Cu(A)))$; see [\[APRT22,](#page-18-19) Section 7] for the appropiate definitions.

Thus, we have

$$
\infty x = \infty y
$$
, $\lambda(ky) < \lambda(x)$, and $\lambda(x) < \lambda((k+1)y)$

for every normalized functional $\lambda \in F(\mathrm{Cu}(A)).$

By [\[OPR12,](#page-18-20) Proposition 2.1], there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

 $(n+1)(ky) \le nx$, and $(n+1)x \le n(k+1)y$.

Using that $Cu(\theta)$ is almost unperforated, we obtain $k Cu(\theta)(y) \le Cu(\theta)(x) \le$ $(k+1) \text{Cu}(\theta)(y)$, as desired.

Recall that a separable unital C^{*}-algebra is Z -stable if and only if Z embeds unitally into $A_{\omega} \cap A'$; see, for example, [\[Kir06,](#page-18-10) Proposition 4.4]. A reindexing argument shows that this is equivalent to Z embedding unitally to $A_{\omega} \cap A' \cap C'$ for any separable sub-C[∗]-algebra $C \subseteq A_\omega \cap A'$. In particular, any such C[∗]-algebra satisfies that the unit $1 \in A_{\omega} \cap A' \cap C'$ is almost divisible.

In what follows [\(Theorem 3.8\)](#page-6-0), we show that any 'Z-stable-like' [∗] -homomorphism is pure. For that, recall that given a *-homomorphism $\theta: A \to B$, the annihilator of θ , in symbols Ann(θ), is defined as the set of elements x in B such that $x\theta(A)$ $\theta(A)x = \{0\}.$

Proposition 3.6. Let $\theta: A \to B$ be a *-homomorphism. Assume that there exists a net of contractive, positive elements $(e_{\lambda})_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ in $\theta(A)' \cap B$ such that $(e_{\lambda})_{\lambda}$ is an approximate unit for $\theta(A)$ and such that $\overline{e_{\lambda}}$ is almost divisible in $\theta(A)'\cap B/\text{Ann}(\theta(A))$ for each λ . Then, there exists a sub-C^{*}-algebra $C \subseteq \theta(A)' \cap B$ such that the map $A \to C^*(\theta(A), C)$ is pure. If $\Lambda = \mathbb{N}$, C can be taken to be separable.

Proof. For each triple $\mu = (\lambda, k, p) \in \Lambda \times \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{Q}_{+, \leq 1}$, let $f_{\mu} \in (\theta(A)' \cap B)_+$ be such that

$$
\overline{f_{\mu}^{\oplus k}} \precsim \overline{e_{\lambda}}, \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{(e_{\lambda} - p)_{+}} \precsim \overline{f_{\mu}^{\oplus (k+1)}}
$$

in $\text{Cu}(\theta(A)'\cap B/\text{Ann}(\theta(A)))$, where the superscript $\oplus k$ denotes the diagonal formed by k copies of the element.

Thus, up to a cut-down of f_{μ} , there exists a finite matrix h_{μ} with entries in $Ann(\theta(A)))$ such that

$$
f_{\mu}^{\oplus k} \oplus 0 \precsim e_{\lambda} \oplus h_{\mu}, \text{ and } (e_{\lambda} - p)_{+} \oplus 0 \precsim f_{\mu}^{\oplus (k+1)} \oplus h_{\mu}
$$

in $(\theta(A)' \cap B) \otimes M_l$ for some l, where the 0's denote zero matrices of appropiate sizes.

Now, for any $q \in \mathbb{Q}_{+,\leq 1}$, it follows from [\[Rør92,](#page-18-21) Proposition 2.4] that there exist finite matrices $r_{\mu,q}$ and $\overline{s}_{\mu,q}$ over $\theta(A)'\cap B$ such that

(1)
$$
(f_{\mu}^{\oplus k} - q)_{+} \oplus 0 = r_{\mu,q}(e_{\lambda} \oplus h_{\mu})r_{\mu,q}^{*}
$$

and

(2)
$$
(e_{\lambda} - p - q)_{+} \oplus 0 = s_{\mu,q}(f_{\mu}^{\oplus (k+1)} \oplus h_{\mu})s_{\mu,q}^{*}.
$$

Set $C = C^*(\{e_\lambda, f_\mu, r_{\mu,q}(i,j), s_{\mu,q}(i,j)\}_{\mu,q,i,j})$. If $\Lambda = \mathbb{N}$, then C is separable by construction.

Let us show that $A \to C^*(\theta(A), C)$ is pure. For any $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and C^* -algebra E, let $\iota_m: E \to M_m(E)$ denote the map $e \mapsto e^{\oplus m}$. We will also use this notation for the matrix amplification $M_k(E) \to M_{km}(E)$ defined entry-wise. Note that, for a matrix $e \in M_n(E)$, $e^{\oplus m}$ denotes the $mn \times mn$ matrix $e \oplus \ldots \oplus e$, while $\iota_m(e)$ is the $mn \times mn$ where each entry of e has been replaced by a diagonal $m \times m$ matrix. An important fact that we will use is: Given $a \in M_n(A)$ and $b \in M_m(\theta(A)' \cap B)$, then $u_n(b)$ commutes with $\theta(a)^{\oplus m}$ (and the roles of a and b can be reversed).

First, given a contraction $a \in M_n(A)_+$, note that applying ι_n to [Equation \(1\)](#page-5-0) and multiplying by an $l = l(\mu, q)$ diagonal matrix of $\theta(a)$'s, one gets

$$
(\theta(a)(f_{\mu} - q)^{\oplus n}_{+})^{\oplus k} \oplus 0 = \theta(a)^{\oplus l} \iota_{n}((f_{\mu}^{\oplus k} - q)_{+} \oplus 0)
$$

\n
$$
= \theta(a)^{\oplus l} \iota_{n}(r_{\mu,q}) \iota_{n}(e_{\lambda} \oplus h_{\mu}) \iota_{n}(r_{\mu,q})^{*}
$$

\n
$$
= \iota_{n}(r_{\mu,q})\theta(a)^{\oplus l} \iota_{n}(e_{\lambda} \oplus h_{\mu}) \iota_{n}(r_{\mu,q})^{*}
$$

\n
$$
= \iota_{n}(r_{\mu,q})(\theta(a) \iota_{n}(e_{\lambda}) \oplus 0) \iota_{n}(r_{\mu,q})^{*}
$$

\n
$$
\precsim \theta(a) \iota_{n}(e_{\lambda})
$$

and, by multiplying an $m = m(\mu, q)$ diagonal matrix of a's to an enlarged [Equa](#page-5-1)[tion \(2\),](#page-5-1) we obtain

$$
\theta(a)(e_{\lambda} - p - q)_{+}^{\oplus n} \oplus 0 = \theta(a)^{\oplus m} \iota_{n}(s_{\mu,q}) \iota_{n}(f_{\mu}^{\oplus (k+1)} \oplus h_{\mu}) \iota_{n}(s_{\mu,q})^{*}
$$

\n
$$
= \iota_{n}(s_{\mu,q})((\theta(a)\iota_{n}f_{\mu}^{\oplus (k+1)} \oplus 0)\iota_{n}(s_{\mu,q})^{*}
$$

\n
$$
= \iota_{n}(s_{\mu,q})((\theta(a)f_{\mu}^{\oplus n})^{\oplus (k+1)} \oplus 0)\iota_{n}(s_{\mu,q})^{*}
$$

\n
$$
\precsim (\theta(a)f_{\mu}^{\oplus n})^{\oplus (k+1)}.
$$

Thus,

 $((\theta(a)f_{\mu}^{\oplus n}-q)_{+})^{\oplus k}\precsim \theta(a)e_{\lambda}^{\oplus n}\precsim \theta(a),\ \ \text{ and } \ \ (\theta(a)(e_{\lambda}^{\oplus n}-p-q)_{+})\precsim (\theta(a)f_{\mu}^{\oplus n})^{\oplus (k+1)}$ in $C^*(\theta(A), C) \otimes \mathcal{K}$.

Since this holds for any choice of p and q, taking suprema gives $(\theta(a)f_{\mu}^{\oplus n})^{\oplus k} \precsim$ $\theta(a)$ and $(\theta(a)e_{\lambda}^{\oplus n}) \precsim (\theta(a)f_{\mu}^{\oplus n})^{\oplus (k+1)}$. Further, since the $e_{\lambda}^{\oplus n}$'s are an approximate unit for $\theta(a)$, it follows that a is almost divisible in $C^*(\theta(A), C) \otimes \mathcal{K}$. Since this holds for any finite matrix over $\theta(A)$, we get that $A \to C^*(\theta(A), C)$ is almost divisible.

To show that $A \to C^*(\theta(A), C)$ is almost unperforated, we follow the same strategy as in [\[Rør04,](#page-18-3) Lemma 4.3]. Thus, let $a, b \in M_n(A)_+$ be contractions such that $a^{\oplus (k+1)} \preceq b^{\oplus k}$ in $A \otimes \mathcal{K}$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. For any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a finite matrix v with entries in A such that $(a - \varepsilon)_+^{\oplus (k+1)} = v(b^{\oplus k} \oplus 0)v^*$. Given $\mu = (\lambda, k, p)$, we have

$$
(f_{\mu}^{\oplus n}\theta((a-\varepsilon)_+))^{\oplus (k+1)} = \theta(v)((f_{\mu}^{\oplus n}\theta(b))^{\oplus k} \oplus 0)\theta(v)^*.
$$

In particular, $(f_{\mu}^{\oplus n} \theta((a-\varepsilon)_+))^{\oplus (k+1)} \precsim (f_{\mu}^{\oplus n} \theta(b))^{\oplus k}$ in $Cu(C^*(C, A))$. Recall from the above computations that we have

$$
(\theta((a-\varepsilon)_+)e_{\lambda}^{\oplus n}) \precsim (\theta((a-\varepsilon)_+)f_{\mu}^{\oplus n})^{\oplus (k+1)}, \text{ and } (\theta(b)f_{\mu}^{\oplus n})^{\oplus k} \precsim \theta(b).
$$

Chaining these three \preceq -relations together, one obtains

$$
(\theta((a-\varepsilon)_+)e_{\lambda}^{\oplus n}) \precsim (\theta((a-\varepsilon)_+)f_{\mu}^{\oplus n})^{\oplus (k+1)} \precsim (f_{\mu}^{\oplus n}\theta(b))^{\oplus k} \precsim \theta(b).
$$

Using once again that the $e_{\lambda}^{\oplus n}$'s are an approximate unit for $\theta((a-\varepsilon)_+)$, we get $(\theta(a) - 2\varepsilon)_+ \precsim \theta(b)$. This proves that $A \to C^*(\theta(A), C)$ is almost unperforated, as required.

[Lemma 3.7](#page-6-1) below shows that, when studying pureness of maps, one can restrict to those with an ultraproduct for a codomain.

Lemma 3.7. Let $\theta: A \to B$ be a cpc. order-zero map, and let $\iota_{\omega}: B \to B_{\omega}$ be the natural inclusion. Then, θ is pure if and only if $\iota_{\omega}\theta$ is pure.

Proof. If θ is pure, the composition $\iota_{\omega}\theta$ is pure; see [Proposition 4.2](#page-7-3) for details.

Conversely, assume that $\iota_{\omega}\theta$ is pure. We need to show that $Cu(\theta)$ is both almost divisible and almost unperforated. First, let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $[a] \in Cu(A)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Since any element in Cu(A) can be written as the supremum of classes in $M_{\infty}(A)_{+}$ (and since $Cu(\theta)$ preserves suprema), we may assume $a \in M_k(A)_+$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Using that $\iota_{\omega}\theta$ is pure, we find $[b] \in Cu(B_{\omega})$ such that $[\iota_{\omega}\theta((a-\varepsilon/3)_{+})] \leq (n+1)[b]$ and $n[b] \leq [\iota_{\omega} \theta(a)]$. Upon cutting-down a and b if needed (say, to $(a - \varepsilon/2)_+$), we may assume $b \in B_{\omega} \otimes M_k$ and that there exist finite matrices r, s over B_{ω} such that $\iota_{\omega}\theta((a-\varepsilon/2)_{+})=rb^{\oplus(n+1)}r^*$ and $b^{\oplus n}=s\iota_{\omega}\theta(a)s^*$.

Further, note that $B_{\omega} \otimes M_k \cong (B \otimes M_k)_{\omega}$. Thus, by going sufficiently far in the sequences corresponding to b and the entries of r and s, we can find elements $b_0 \in$ $M_k(B)$ and $r_0, s_0 \in M_\infty(B)$ such that $[\theta((a-\varepsilon)_+)] \leq (n+1)[b_0]$ and $n[b_0] \leq [\theta(a)]$. This shows that $Cu(\theta)$ is almost divisible.

The proof of almost unperforation is analoguous.

Theorem 3.8. Let A be σ -unital, and let $\theta: A \rightarrow B$ be a^{*}-homomorphism. Assume that $1 \in B_\omega \cap \theta(A)'/\text{Ann}(\theta(A))$ is almost divisible. Then, θ is pure.

Further, if $1 \in B_\omega \cap (\theta(A) \cup S)'/\text{Ann}(\theta(A) \cup S)$ is almost divisible for every separable sub-C^{*}-algebra $S \subseteq B_\omega \cap \theta(A)'$, there exists a pure sub-C^{*}-algebra $C \subseteq B_\omega$ such that $\theta(A) \subseteq C \subseteq B_{\omega}$.

Proof. As shown in [Lemma 3.7](#page-6-1) above, a *-homomorphism $A \rightarrow B$ is pure if and only if the induced map $A \to B_{\omega}$ is pure. Further, since $1 \in B_{\omega} \cap \theta(A)'/\text{Ann}(\theta(A))$ is almost divisible, it follows from [Proposition 3.6](#page-4-2) above that $A \to B_{\omega}$ is pure. This gives the first part of the statement.

For the second part, note that it follows from [Proposition 3.6](#page-4-2) that there exists a separable sub-C^{*}-algebra $C_1 \subseteq B_\omega \cap \theta(A)'$ such that inclusion $\theta(A) \subseteq C^*(\theta(A), C_1)$ is pure. Since C_1 is separable, we know by our assumption that $1 \in B_{\omega} \cap (\theta(A) \cup$ C_1 / $\mathrm{Ann}(\theta(A) \cup C_1)$ is almost divisible. [Proposition 3.6](#page-4-2) proves the existence of a separable $C_2 \subseteq B_\omega \cap \theta(A)' \cap C'_1$ such that $C^*(\theta(A), C_1) \subseteq C^*(\theta(A), C_1, C_2)$ is pure.

Proceeding inductively, one obtains a sequence of pure inclusions in B_{ω} . Their limit, denoted by C , is pure. The previous result justifies the following question:

Question 3.9. Let $\theta: A \to B$ be a *-homomorphism. Is θ pure if and only if the composition $\iota_w \theta: A \to B_\omega$ factors, up to Murray-von Neumann equivalence (see [Lemma 4.4\)](#page-8-0), through a pure C[∗] -algebra?

[Question 3.9](#page-7-0) is a particular instance of a general question that one can ask for any property P . Namely, does any ^{*}-homomorphism with P 'come' from a C^* -algebra with P ? In other words, does P admit a McDuff type characterization?

This question has been posed for: real rank zero inclusions [\[GN23\]](#page-18-9), where it remains open; for \mathcal{O}_2 -stable morphisms, answered in [\[Gab20,](#page-18-7) Corollary 4.5]; and for morphisms of nuclear dimension 0, with a partial answer provided in [\[CN24\]](#page-18-22).

For any of the properties P listed above, one has that an inductive system where each map satisfies P has a limit with P . Loosely, one can interpret this as saying that any infinite composition of maps with P always factorizes through a C^* -algebra with P. In this sense, one may also ask if there exists a natural number n_P such that the composition of n_P morphisms with P always factorizes through a C[∗]-algebra with P . Specialized to our setting, the question is:

Question 3.10. Does there exist $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that, for any tuple $\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_n$ of pairwise composable pure ^{*}-homomorphisms, the composition $\iota_w \theta_n \cdots \theta_1$ factors up to Murray-von Neumann equivalence through a pure C[∗] -algebra?

To our knowledge, an answer to [Question 3.10](#page-7-1) is not known for real rank zero inclusions. In what follows, we investigate the question for our notion of pureness.

4. PURENESS AND $Cu(Z)$ -MULTIPLICATION

This section compiles permanence properties of $Cu(Z)$ -multiplication for generalized Cu-morphisms. We state some of these results in the language of abstract Cuntz semigroups to highlight when the \ll -relation needs to be preserved.

Propositions [4.1](#page-7-4) and [4.2](#page-7-3) below are in analogy to Proposition 3.19 and Lemma 3.20 from [\[Gab20\]](#page-18-7).

Proposition 4.1. Let S be a Cu-semigroup. Then $S \cong S \otimes Cu(\mathcal{Z})$ if and only if id_S has $Cu(Z)$ -multiplication.

Proof. It follows from Theorems 7.3.11 and 7.5.4 in [\[APT18\]](#page-18-12) that $S \cong S \otimes \text{Cu}(\mathcal{Z})$ if and only if S is almost divisible and almost unperforated. The statement now follows from the defintions.

Proposition 4.2. Let $\varphi_1: S_1 \to S_2$ and $\varphi_2: S_2 \to T$ be generalized Cu-morphisms. Then,

- (1) if φ_1 has $Cu(\mathcal{Z})$ -multiplication, so has $\varphi_2\varphi_1$.
- (2) if φ_1 is a Cu-morphism and φ_2 has Cu(Z)-multiplication, the composition $\varphi_2\varphi_1$ also has $Cu(Z)$ -multiplication.
- (3) if S_2 has $Cu(Z)$ -multiplication, so have both φ_2 and φ_1 .

Proof. (1) Given $x' \ll x$ in S_1 and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $z \in S_2$ such that $\varphi_1(x') \leq (k+\varphi_2)$ 1)z and $kz \leq \varphi_1(x)$. Thus, one has $\varphi_2 \varphi_1(x') \leq (k+1)\varphi_2(z)$ and $k\varphi_2(z) \leq \varphi_2 \varphi_1(x)$.

Similarly, if $(m + 1)x \le my$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$ in S_1 , one gets $\varphi_1(x) \le \varphi_1(y)$ and, consequently, $\varphi_2\varphi_1(x) \leq \varphi_2\varphi_1(y)$.

(2) If φ_1 is now a Cu-morphism and φ_2 is the map that has Cu(\mathcal{Z})-multiplication. one can take $x' \ll x$ in S_1 and consider the induced relation $\varphi_1(x') \ll \varphi_1(x)$ in S_2 . Since φ_2 has $Cu(Z)$ -multiplication, one obtains the element needed for the almost division in T.

The same argument shows that if $(m+1)x \leq my$ in S, then $\varphi_2\varphi_1(x) \leq \varphi_2\varphi_1(y)$ in T, as desired.

(3) If S_2 has $Cu(Z)$ -multiplication, [\[APT18,](#page-18-12) Proposition 7.3.8] implies that for any element $x \in S_2$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $y \in S_2$ such that $ky \leq x \leq (k+1)y$. With this stronger property, it is routine to check that both φ_1 and φ_2 have Cu(\mathcal{Z})multiplication. \Box

The following lemma follows directly from standard model theoretic techniques applied to Cu-semigroups; see, for example, [\[TV21,](#page-18-23) Section 5].

Lemma 4.3. Let $\varphi: S \to T$ be a generalized Cu-morphism. Then φ has Cu(\mathcal{Z})multiplication if and only if $\varphi \iota_H$ has $Cu(Z)$ -multiplication for every inclusion ι_H from a countably based sub-Cu-semigroup H to S.

Recall from [\[Gab20,](#page-18-7) Definition 3.4] that a pair of $*$ -homomorphisms $\theta, \eta: A \to B$ are approximately Murray-von Neumann equivalent if, for any finite subset $\mathcal F$ of A and any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $u \in B$ such that

$$
\|u\theta(a)u^*-\eta(a)\|<\varepsilon,\quad\text{and}\quad\|u\eta(a)u^*-\theta(a)\|<\varepsilon,
$$

for every $a \in \mathcal{F}$.

The following is essentially [\[Gab20,](#page-18-7) Corollary 3.11] applied to the category Cu, with the only difference that we drop the assumption of A being separable.

Lemma 4.4. Let θ , η : $A \rightarrow B$ be two approximately Murray-von Neumann equivalent *-homomorphisms. Then, $Cu(\theta) = Cu(\eta)$.

Proof. Let $x \in Cu(A)$. By [\[TV21,](#page-18-23) Proposition 6.1], there exists a separable sub- C^* -algebra $A' \subseteq A$ such that $Cu(\iota_{A'})$ (Cu(A')) is a sub-Cu-semigroup of Cu(A) containing x. Here, $\iota_{A'}$ denotes the inclusion from A' to A .

Since the functor $Cu(.)$ is M_2 -stable and invariant under approximate unitary equivalence, [\[Gab20,](#page-18-7) Corollary 3.11] implies that

$$
Cu(\theta)(x) = Cu(\theta \iota_{A'}) (x) = Cu(\eta \iota_{A'})(x) = Cu(\eta)(x),
$$

as required. \Box

The following result gives one of the implications of [Question 3.9.](#page-7-0)

Proposition 4.5. Let $\theta: A \rightarrow B$ be a *-homomorphism. Assume that, for any separable sub-C^{*}-algebra $A' \subseteq A$, there exist cpc. order-zero maps $\eta: A' \to C$ and $\rho: C \to B$ such that C is pure and $\rho \eta$ is approximately Murray-von Neumann equivalent to $\theta \iota_{A'}$.

Then, θ is pure.

Proof. Assume first that A is separable. Then, θ is Murray-von Neumann equivalent to a map that factorizes through C. Thus, by [Lemma 4.4,](#page-8-0) $Cu(\theta)$ itself factorizes through $Cu(C)$, and so θ is pure by [Proposition 4.2.](#page-7-3)

If A is not separable, we know from [\[TV21,](#page-18-23) Proposition 6.1] that any countably based sub-Cu-semigroup H in Cu(A) is contained in Cu(A') for some separable sub-C^{*}-algebra A' of A. Thus, the argument above shows that $Cu(\theta_{A})$ has $Cu(\mathcal{Z})$ multiplication.

Consequently, since any inclusion gives rise to a Cu-morphism, [Proposition 4.2](#page-7-3) shows that $Cu(\varphi)_{tH}$ has $Cu(\mathcal{Z})$ -multiplication. [Lemma 4.3](#page-8-1) gives the required result. \Box

4.6 (Approximations of ^{*}-homomorphisms). Recall that a C^{*}-algebra A is said to be *approximated* by a family of sub-C^{*}-algebras $(A_{\lambda})_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ if, for each $\varepsilon > 0$ and every choice of finitely many elements $a_1, \ldots, a_n \in A$, there exist $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and $b_1, \ldots, b_n \in A_\lambda$ such that $||b_j - a_j|| < \varepsilon$ for each j.

Let $\theta: A \to B$ be a cpc. order-zero map. We will say that a tuple $(A_{\lambda}, \theta_{\lambda}: A_{\lambda} \to A_{\lambda})$ B) $_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ approximates θ if each θ_{λ} is cpc. order-zero and the following condition holds:

For every $\varepsilon > 0$ and every finitely tuple $a_1, \ldots, a_n \in A$, there exist $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and $b_1, \ldots, b_n \in A_\lambda$ such that

$$
\|b_j - a_j\| < \varepsilon, \quad \text{and} \quad \|\theta_\lambda(b_j) - \theta(a_j)\| < \varepsilon
$$

for each i .

Note that this notion of approximation naturally includes the notion of limit morphism (ie. when $A_{\lambda} = A$ for each λ).

We will now show that pureness is preserved under approximations. We do this by proving a much more general result, which we expect to find other uses elsewhere. Informally, [Proposition 4.7](#page-9-0) below says that any formula of the Cuntz semigroup is inherited by the approximated map. This generalizes [\[TV21,](#page-18-23) Proposition 3.7].

Proposition 4.7. Let $\theta: A \to B$ be a cpc. order-zero map, and let $(A_{\lambda}, \theta_{\lambda})_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ approximate θ . Then, for any finite sets J, K, any family of pairs $[a'_j], [a_j] \in Cu(A)$ such that $[a'_j] \ll [a_j]$ for each $j \in J$, and any functions $m_k, n_k \colon J \to \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$
\sum_{j \in J} m_k(j)[a_j] \ll \sum_{j \in J} n_k(j)[a'_j]
$$

for all $k \in K$, there exists $\lambda \in \Lambda$, and $c_j \in (A_\lambda \otimes \mathcal{K})_\lambda$ for each j, such that $[\theta(a'_j)] \ll [\theta_\lambda(c_j)] \ll [\theta(a_j)],$ and $[a'_j] \ll [c_j] \ll [a_j]$ in Cu(A), and

$$
\sum_{j \in J} m_k(j)[c_j] \ll \sum_{j \in J} n_k(j)[c_j]
$$

in $Cu(A_\lambda)$.

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be such that $[a'_j] \leq [(a_j - 2\varepsilon)_+]$ for each j. Note that, by definition, the A_λ 's approximate A. Thus, it follows from (the proof of) [\[TV21,](#page-18-23) Proposition 3.7] that, for every sufficiently small positive $\sigma > 0$ with $\sigma < \varepsilon$, one can find $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and $b_j \in (A_\lambda \otimes \mathcal{K})_\lambda$ such that $[a'_j] \ll [(b_j - \varepsilon)_+] \ll [a_j]$ in $Cu(A)$ and

$$
\sum_{j \in J} m_k(j) [(b_j - \varepsilon)_+] \ll \sum_{j \in J} n_k(j) [(b_j - \varepsilon)_+]
$$

in Cu(A_λ) for every k and, additionally, such that $\|\theta_\lambda(b_i) - \theta(a_i)\| \leq \sigma$ for every j.

Set $c_i := (b_i - \varepsilon)_+$. Then, since $\sigma < \varepsilon$, it follows that $\theta((a_i - 2\varepsilon)_+) \precsim \theta_\lambda(c_i) \precsim$ $\theta(a_j)$. Using that θ is cpc. order zero, we see that $\theta(a'_j) \precsim \theta((a_j - 2\varepsilon)_+)$ and, consequently, $\theta(a'_j) \precsim \theta_\lambda(c_j)$.

Corollary 4.8. Let $\theta: A \to B$ be a cpc. order-zero map, and let $(A_{\lambda}, \theta_{\lambda})_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ approximate θ . Assume that θ_{λ} is pure for each λ . Then, θ is pure.

Proof. First, let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Given $[a] \in Cu(A)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, use [Proposition 4.7](#page-9-0) (with $K = \emptyset$) to find $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and $c \in (A_{\lambda} \otimes \mathcal{K})_+$ such that $[\theta((a - \varepsilon)_+)] \ll [\theta_{\lambda}(c)] \ll [\theta(a)]$ and $[(a - \varepsilon)_+] \ll [c] \ll [a]$. Take $\delta > 0$ such that $[\theta((a - \varepsilon)_+)] \leq [\theta_\lambda((c - \delta)_+)]$. Then, since θ_{λ} is pure, there exists $[d] \in Cu(B)$ such that $n[d] \leq [\theta_{\lambda}(c)]$ and $[\theta_\lambda((c-\delta)_+)] \leq (n+1)[d]$ in Cu(B). This implies

$$
n[d] \leq [\theta_{\lambda}(c)] \leq [\theta(a)],
$$
 and $[\theta((a-\varepsilon)_{+})] \leq [\theta_{\lambda}((c-\delta)_{+})] \leq (n+1)[d],$

which shows that θ is almost divisible.

Now assume that $[a_1], [a_2] \in Cu(A)$ are such that $(m+1)[a_1] \leq m[a_2]$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Take any pair of elements a'_1, a''_1 such that $[a'_1] \ll [a''_1] \ll [a_1]$, and find a'_2 such that $[a'_2] \ll [a_2]$ and $(m+1)[a''_1] \ll m[a'_2]$. Apply [Proposition 4.7](#page-9-0) for the

pairs a'_1, a''_1 and a'_2, a_2 and the formula $(m + 1)[a''_1] \ll m[a'_2]$ to find $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and $c_1, c_2 \in (A_\lambda \otimes \mathcal{K})_+$ such that

$$
[\theta(a_1')]\ll[\theta_\lambda(c_1)]\ll[\theta(a_1'')],\quad [\theta(a_2')]\ll[\theta_\lambda(c_2)]\ll[\theta(a_2)]
$$

in Cu(A) and $(m+1)[c_1] \ll m[c_2]$ in Cu(A_λ).

Thus, since Cu (θ_λ) is almost unperforated, $[\theta_\lambda(c_1)] \leq [\theta_\lambda(c_2)]$. This shows that $[\theta(a'_1)] \leq [\theta(a_2)]$ and, since the choice of a'_1 was arbitrary, we obtain $[\theta(a_1)] \leq$ $[\theta(a_2)].$

5. Factorizing compositions of pure [∗] -homomorphisms

The aim of this section is to provide a partial answer to [Question 3.10.](#page-7-1) Namely, we show that —at a Cuntz semigroup level— the composition of any two pure ∗ -homomorphisms (in fact, two cpc. order-zero maps) factors through a pure Cusemigroup; see [Theorem 5.7.](#page-14-0) In order to prove such a result, we start with a study of pureness in the category Cu, which we use to prove the technical result [Theorem 5.5.](#page-13-0)

Recall that the Cuntz semigroup of the Jiang-Su algebra $\mathcal Z$ is isomorphic to $Z = \mathbb{N} \cup (0, \infty]$ (see eg. [\[APT18\]](#page-18-12)). Throughout this subsection, we will write Z as the union $Z = Z_c \cup Z_{\text{soft}}$, where $Z_c = \mathbb{N}$ and $Z_{\text{soft}} = [0, \infty]$ with $Z_c \cap Z_{\text{soft}} = \{0\}.$ Denote by $\sigma: Z \to [0, \infty]$ the soft retraction, that is, the map that sends each compact element $n \in Z_c$ to its soft counterpart $n \in Z_{soft} = [0, \infty]$ and leaves the soft part invariant.

Lemma 5.1. Let S be a Cu-semigroup, and let $\gamma: Z \to S$ be a map such that $\gamma|_{Z_c}$ is an order-preserving monoid morphism. Then, γ is a generalized Cu-morphism if and only if the following two conditions are satisfied:

- (i) $\gamma(\sigma(1)) \leq \gamma(1) \leq \gamma(1+\varepsilon)$ for every $\varepsilon > 0$; and
- (ii) $\gamma|_{Z_{\text{soft}}}$ is a generalized Cu-morphism.

Proof. The forward implication is trivial. For the reverse implication, assume that $\gamma|_{Z_{\text{soft}}}$ is a generalized Cu-morphism and that $\gamma(\sigma(1)) \leq \gamma(1) \leq \gamma(1+\varepsilon)$ for each $\varepsilon > 0$. Note, in particular, that we have $\gamma(\sigma(n)) \leq \gamma(n) \leq \gamma(n+\varepsilon)$ for each $n \in Z_c$. To show that γ is order-preserving, take $n \in Z_c$ and $t \in Z_{soft}$ such that $t \leq n$. Then, one has $t \leq \sigma(n)$ and, consequently, $\gamma(t) \leq \gamma(\sigma(n)) \leq \gamma(n)$. Conversely, if $n \leq t$, we know that $\sigma(n) < t$ in Z_{soft} . Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be such that $\sigma(n) + \varepsilon < t$. Then,

$$
\gamma(n) \le \gamma(n + \varepsilon) = \gamma(\sigma(n) + \varepsilon) \le \gamma(t).
$$

To show that it preserves suprema, note that any increasing sequence in Z has a cofinal subsequence either in Z_c or Z_{soft} . Thus, we may assume that we are in one of these two cases. If the increasing sequence $(t_d)_d$ is in $Z_{\text{soft}} = (0, \infty], \gamma$ preserves its supremum by assumption. Else, if $(t_d)_d$ is in Z_c , it either stabilizes (in which case γ trivially preserves its supremum) or it tends to $\in \mathcal{Z}_{\text{soft}}$. In this situation, one can take the sequence $(\sigma(t_d))_d$ induced by the soft elements corresponding to our compact sequence. These two sequences share ∞ as their supremum. One has

$$
\gamma(\sigma(t_d)) \le \gamma(t_d) \le \sup_d \gamma(t_d), \text{ and } \gamma(t_d) \le \gamma \left(t_d + \frac{1}{d}\right)
$$

for every $d \geq 2$. This implies $\gamma(\infty) = \sup_d \gamma(\sigma(t_d)) \leq \sup_d \gamma(t_d)$ and $\sup_d \gamma(t_d) \leq$ $\sup_d \gamma(t_d + \frac{1}{d}) = \gamma(\infty)$. This shows $\sup_d \gamma(t_d) = \gamma(\infty)$, as desired.

Finally, to see that the map is additive, take $n \in Z_c$ and $t \in Z_{soft}$. Then,

$$
\gamma(n+t) = \gamma(\sigma(n)+t) = \gamma(\sigma(n)) + \gamma(t) \le \gamma(n) + \gamma(t).
$$

Conversely, if $t \neq 0$, let $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $t-\varepsilon > 0$. Then, $n+t = (\sigma(n)+\varepsilon)+(t-\varepsilon)$. This implies

$$
\gamma(n) + \gamma(t - \varepsilon) \le \gamma(\sigma(n) + \varepsilon) + \gamma(t - \varepsilon) = \gamma(n + t)
$$

and, letting ε tend to 0, we obtain $\gamma(n) + \gamma(t) \leq \gamma(n+t)$, as required.

Let S be a Cu-semigroup. The following notation is inspired by $[APT18, Theo [APT18, Theo$ rem 6.3.3]: For any pair $x' \leq x$ and any $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$, set

 $\mu((k, n), x', x) := \{y \in S \mid ny \leq kx, \text{ and } kx' \leq (n+1)y\}.$

Note that this set is not empty whenever $x' \ll x$ and x is almost divisible. Further, one has that

$$
\mu((k,n),x'',x)\subseteq\mu((k,n),x',x)\subseteq\mu((k,n),0,x)
$$

whenever $x' \leq x'' \leq x$, and that $\mu((k, n), 0, x) = \{y \in S \mid ny \leq kx\}.$

What follows is a generalization of [\[APT18,](#page-18-12) Theorem 6.3.3] to our setting. In our case, the proof becomes more technical (for example, we cannot use unicity arguments) and so we proceed with additional care. As another difference between the methods, we will need to use the extension result [\(Lemma 5.1\)](#page-10-1) proved above.

Lemma 5.2. Let $\varphi: S \to T$ be an almost unperforated generalized Cu-morphism. Let $x_1, x_2 \in S$ and $k_1, k_2, n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $k_1/n_1 < k_2/(n_2+1)$. Assume that $x_1 \leq x_2$. Then,

- (1) $\varphi(y_1) \leq \varphi(y_2)$ for every $y_1 \in \mu((k_1, n_1), 0, x_1)$ and $y_2 \in \mu((k_2, n_2), x_1, x_2)$.
- (2) If φ is a Cu-morphism, then $\varphi(y_1) \ll \varphi(y_2)$ whenever $y_1 \in \mu((k_1, n_1), 0, x_1)$, $y_2 \in \mu((k_2, n_2), x'_2, x_2)$ and $x_1 \ll x'_2 \ll x_2$.

Proof. One has $n_1y_1 \leq k_1x_1$ and $k_2x_1 \leq (n_2+1)y_2$. In particular,

$$
n_1k_2y_1 \le k_1k_2x_1 \le (n_2+1)k_1y_2.
$$

It follows from definition that $\varphi(y_1) \leq \varphi(y_2)$, which shows (1). For (2), simply note that one gets

$$
n_1 k_2 y_1 \le k_1 k_2 x_1 \ll k_1 k_2 x_2' \le (n_2 + 1) k_1 y_2.
$$

Thus, we can find y_2' such that $y_2' \ll y_2$ and $n_1 k_2 y_1 \leq (n_2 + 1) k_1 y_2'$. This implies $\varphi(y_1) \leq \varphi(y_2) \ll \varphi(y_2)$, as required.

Lemma 5.3. Let $\varphi_1: S_1 \to S_2$ and $\varphi_2: S_2 \to T$ be generalized Cu-morphisms. Assume that φ_1 is almost divisible, and that φ_2 is almost unperforated. Then, for any $x \in S_1$ and $t \in (0, \infty]$, the set

$$
\Phi(t, \varphi_1(x)) := \left\{ \varphi_2(y) \mid y \in \mu((k, n), 0, \varphi_1(x)) \text{ for some } k, n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ such that } \frac{k}{n} < t \right\}
$$

has a supremum, bounded by $\lceil t \rceil \varphi_2 \varphi_1(x)$ (here, $\lceil \infty \rceil := \infty$.)

Proof. For every $d \in \mathbb{N}$, take $k_d, n_d \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x_d \in S_1$ such that

$$
\frac{k_d}{n_d} < \frac{k_{d+1}}{n_{d+1}+1}, \quad \sup_d \left(\frac{k_d}{n_d}\right) = t, \quad x_d \ll x_{d+1}, \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_d x_d = x.
$$

Set $x_0 = 0$. For each d, take $y_d \in \mu((k_d, n_d), \varphi_1(x_{d-1}), \varphi_1(x_d))$, which exists by almost divisibility of φ_1 . By [Lemma 5.2](#page-11-0) (1), we see that the sequence $(\varphi_2(y_d))_d$ is increasing in T. Consider $z = \sup_d \varphi_2(y_d)$. We will prove that z is the supremum of $\Phi(t, \varphi_1(x))$.

Take $y \in \mu((k, n), 0, \varphi_1(x))$ for some $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $k/n < t$. Take $y' \in S_2$ such that $y' \ll y$, and find $d \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$
\frac{k}{n} < \frac{k_{d+1}}{n_{d+1}+1}, \quad \text{and} \quad y' \in \mu((k, n), 0, \varphi_1(x_d)).
$$

Since $y_{d+1} \in \mu((k_{d+1}, n_{d+1}), \varphi_1(x_d), \varphi_1(x_{d+1}))$, it follows from [Lemma 5.2](#page-11-0) (1) that $\varphi_2(y') \leq \varphi_2(y_{d+1}) \leq z$. As this holds for every $y' \ll$ -below y, we get $\varphi_2(y) \leq z$. This shows that z is the supremum of $\Phi(t, \varphi_1(x))$, as desired.

To see that z is bounded by $\lceil t \rceil \varphi_2 \varphi_1(x)$, simply note that for any pair k, n such that $k/n < t$ we have $k+1 < \lceil t \rceil n$. Thus, one gets $(k+1)y < \lceil t \rceil ny < k(\lceil t \rceil x)$. Consequently, we obtain $\varphi_2(y) \leq [t] \varphi_2 \varphi_1(x)$, as desired.

Proposition 5.4. Let $\varphi_1: S_1 \to S_2$ and $\varphi_2: S_2 \to T$ be generalized Cu-morphisms. Assume that φ_1 is almost divisible, and that φ_2 is almost unperforated. Then, for any $x \in S_1$, there exists a generalized Cu-morphism $\alpha_x \colon Z \to T$ such that $\alpha_x(1) = \varphi_2 \varphi_1(x).$

Proof. For every $n \in Z_c$, set $\alpha_x(n) := n\varphi_2\varphi_1(x)$. For each $t \in Z_{\text{soft}}$, define

$$
\alpha_x(t) := \sup \Phi(t, \varphi_1(x)),
$$

which exists by [Lemma 5.3.](#page-11-1)

Note that, for any $s \geq t$ in Z_{soft} , one has $\Phi(t, \varphi_1(x)) \subseteq \Phi(s, \varphi_1(x))$. This implies $\alpha_x(t) \leq \alpha_x(s)$. Further, it follows from [Lemma 5.3](#page-11-1) that $\alpha_x(\sigma(1)) \leq \varphi_2\varphi_1(1)$ $\alpha_x(1)$. Additionally, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, take any $x' \in S_1$ such that $x' \ll x$ and let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $1 < (n+2)/n < 1+\varepsilon$. Using almost divisibility, there exists y in $\mu(((n+2), n), \varphi_1(x'), \varphi_1(x))$. One has $(n+2)\varphi_1(x') \le (n+1)y$. Using almost unperforation, $\varphi_2 \varphi_1(x') \leq \varphi_2(y) \leq \alpha_x(1+\varepsilon)$ and, by taking suprema on x' , we deduce $\alpha_x(1) = \varphi_2 \varphi_1(x) \leq \alpha_x(1+\varepsilon)$.

The arguments above show that $\alpha_x(\sigma(1)) \leq \alpha_x(1) \leq \alpha_x(1+\varepsilon)$ for every $\varepsilon > 0$. Further, note that $\alpha_x|_{Z_c}$ is trivially an order-preserving monoid morphism. We will now prove that $\alpha_x|_{Z_{\text{soft}}}$ is a generalized Cu-morphism. [Lemma 5.1](#page-10-1) will then imply that α_x is a generalized Cu-morphism. We have already shown that $\alpha_x|_{Z_{\text{soft}}}$ is order-preserving, so it suffices to prove that the map preserves suprema and addition.

To show that it preserves suprema, note that any increasing sequence $(t_d)_d$ in Z_{soft} satisfies $\cup_d \Phi(t_d, \varphi_1(x)) = \Phi(\sup_d t_d, \varphi_1(x))$. This proves that α_x preserves suprema in Z_{soft} .

To see that the map is additive, let $t_1, t_2 \in Z_{\text{soft}} = [0, \infty]$. First, for each $i = 1, 2$, let $y_i \in \mu((k_i, n_i), 0, \varphi_1(x))$ for some $k_i, n_i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$
\frac{k_i}{n_i} < t_i.
$$

Take $y_i' \in S_2$ such that $y_i' \ll y_i$ for $i = 1, 2$, and let $x_0 \in S_1$ be such that

 $x_0 \ll x$, and $y'_i \in \mu((k_i, n_i), 0, \varphi_1(x_0)).$

Choose $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$
\frac{k_1}{n_1} + \frac{k_2}{n_2} = \frac{k_1 n_2 + k_2 n_1}{n_1 n_2} < \frac{k}{n+1}, \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{k}{n} < t_1 + t_2.
$$

Using that φ_1 is almost divisible, find $y \in \mu((k, n), \varphi_1(x_0), \varphi_1(x))$. Note that $y'_1+y'_2 \in \mu((k_1n_2+k_2n_1, n_1n_2), 0, \varphi_1(x_0)).$ By [Lemma 5.2](#page-11-0) (1), one gets $\varphi_2(y'_1+y'_2) \le$ $\varphi_2(y)$. Thus, we get

$$
\varphi_2(y_1') + \varphi_2(y_2') \le \varphi_2(y) \le \alpha_x(t_1 + t_2)
$$

and, since this holds for every choice of y'_1, y'_2 , one obtains $\varphi_2(y_1) + \varphi_2(y_2) \leq$ $\alpha_x(t_1+t_2)$. Taking suprema now on y_1, y_2 , we have $\alpha_x(t_1) + \alpha_x(t_2) \leq \alpha_x(t_1+t_2)$. Conversely, take $y \in \mu((k, n), 0, \varphi_1(x))$ for k, n with $k/n < t_1 + t_2$ and $x' \ll x$.

Find $k_i, t_i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\frac{k_i}{n_i} < t_i$ and

$$
\frac{k}{n} < \frac{k_1}{n_1+1} + \frac{k_2}{n_2+1} = \frac{k_1(n_2+1) + k_2(n_1+1)}{(n_1+1)(n_2+1)}.
$$

Proceeding as before, take $y' \ll y$ and find x' such that $x' \ll x$ and $y' \in$ $\mu((k,n),0,\varphi_1(x'))$. Find $y_i \in \mu((k_i,n_i),\varphi_1(x'),\varphi_1(x))$. In particular, we have

$$
(k_1(n_2+1) + k_2(n_1+1))ny' \le (k_1(n_2+1) + k_2(n_1+1))k\varphi_1(x')
$$

\n
$$
\le (n_1+1)(n_2+1)k(y_1+y_2).
$$

Since φ_2 is almost unperforated, we obtain $\varphi_2(y') \leq \varphi_2(y_1) + \varphi_2(y_2) \leq \alpha_x(t_1) +$ $\alpha_x(t_2)$. Taking suprema on y', and then on y, we deduce $\alpha_x(t_1 + t_2) \leq \alpha_x(t_1) +$ $\alpha_x(t_2)$, as desired. This proves that α_x is additive in Z_{soft} .

[Lemma 5.1](#page-10-1) shows that $\alpha_x: Z \to T$ is a generalized Cu-morphism.

Theorem 5.5. Let $\varphi_1: S_1 \to S_2$ and $\varphi_2: S_2 \to T$ be (generalized) Cu-morphisms. Assume that φ_1 is almost divisible, and that φ_2 is almost unperforated. Then, there exists a (generalized) Cu-morphism $\beta: S_1 \otimes Z \rightarrow T$ such that the following diagram commutes

Proof. We define the map $\alpha: S_1 \times Z \rightarrow T$ by

$$
\alpha(x,t) := \alpha_x(t),
$$

which satisfies $\alpha(x, 1) = \varphi_2 \varphi_1(x)$.

We will now prove that α is a generalized Cu-bimorphism. Using [\[APT18,](#page-18-12) Theorem 6.3.3 (1)], this will imply the existence of β : $S \otimes Z \rightarrow T$ with the required properties.

Note that $\alpha(x, \cdot) = \alpha_x$ is a generalized Cu-morphism by the results above. Further, $\alpha(\cdot, n)$ is trivially a generalized Cu-morphism for every $n \in Z_c$. Set $\gamma_t(x) := \alpha(x, t)$ for every $t \in Z_{\text{soft}}$, and let us show that γ_t is a generalized Cu-morphism.

To see that γ_t preserves order, take $x_0, x \in S_1$ such that $x_0 \leq x$. Clearly, one has

$$
\Phi(t, \varphi_1(x_0)) \subseteq \Phi(t, \varphi_1(x))
$$

and thus $\gamma_t(x_0) = \alpha_{x_0}(t) \leq \alpha_x(t) = \gamma_t(x)$.

Now take $(x_d)_d$ in S_1 increasing with supremum x. Then, for any element $y \in$ $\mu((k,n), 0, \varphi_1(x))$ with $k/n < t$, take $y' \ll y$ and find $d \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $y' \in$ $\mu((k,n), 0, \varphi_1(x_d))$. This shows that $\varphi_2(y') \leq \gamma_t(x_d)$ and, consequently, $\varphi_2(y) \leq$ $\sup_d \gamma_t(x_d)$. Taking suprema, one gets $\gamma_t(x) \leq \sup_d \gamma_t(x_d)$. Since γ_t is orderpreserving, we also obtain $\sup_d \gamma_t(x_d) \leq \gamma_t(x)$. In other words, γ_t preserves suprema of increasing sequences.

To prove that γ_t is superadditive, take $x_1, x_2 \in S_1$ and let $y_1, y_2 \in S_2$ be such that $y_i \in \mu((k_i, n_i), 0, \varphi_1(x_i))$ for some k_i, n_i 's such that $k_i/n_i < t$. Find $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $k_i/n_i < k/(n+1)$ and $k/n < t$. Take $y'_i \in S_2$ such that $y'_i \ll y_i$, and let $x'_i \in S_1$ be such that $x'_i \ll x_i$ and $y'_i \in \mu((k_i, n_i), 0, \varphi_1(x'_i))$. Using almost divisibility of φ_1 , find $z_i \in \mu((k,n), \varphi_1(x_i), \varphi_1(x_i))$. By [Lemma 5.2](#page-11-0) (1), we get $\varphi_2(y'_i) \leq \varphi_2(z_i)$. Note that we have $z_1 + z_2 \in \mu((k, n), 0, \varphi_1(x_1 + x_2))$. Thus, one gets

$$
\varphi_2(y_1') + \varphi_2(y_2') \le \varphi_2(z_1) + \varphi_2(z_2) = \varphi_2(z_1 + z_2) \le \alpha_{x_1 + x_2}(t) = \gamma_t(x_1 + x_2).
$$

Taking suprema on y'_1 and y'_2 , this implies $\varphi_2(y_1) + \varphi_2(y_2) \leq \gamma_t(x_1 + x_2)$. Taking now suprema on y_1, y_2, k and n gives $\gamma_t(x_1) + \gamma_t(x_2) \leq \gamma_t(x_1 + x_2)$.

Conversely, to prove subadditivity, let $y \in \mu((k, n), 0, \varphi_1(x_1 + x_2))$. Take $y' \ll y$ and let $x'_i \ll x_i$ be such that $y' \in \mu((k,n), 0, \varphi_1(x'_1 + x'_2))$. Find $l, m \in \mathbb{N}$ such

that $k/n < l/(m+1)$ and $l/m < t$. Find $y_i \in \mu((l,m), \varphi_1(x'_i), \varphi_1(x_i))$. Then, $y_1 + y_2 \in \mu((l, m), \varphi_1(x_1' + x_2'), \varphi_1(x_1 + x_2)).$ By [Lemma 5.2,](#page-11-0) one obtains $\varphi_2(y') \leq$ $\varphi_2(y_1) + \varphi_2(y_2)$. Again, this implies $\varphi_2(y) \leq \gamma_t(x_1) + \gamma_t(x_2)$ and, consequently, $\gamma_t(x_1+x_2) \leq \gamma_t(x_1) + \gamma_t(x_2).$

We have shown that each coordinate of α is a generalized Cu-morphism. In particular, we know that there exists a generalized Cu-morphism $\beta \colon S_1 \otimes Z \to T$ with the desired properties; see [\[APT18,](#page-18-12) Lemma 6.3.2, Theorem 6.3.3].

Now assume that φ_1 and φ_2 are Cu-morphisms. To prove that α is in fact a Cu-bimorphism, take $t', t \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $x', x \in \mathbb{S}$ such that $t' \ll t$ and $x' \ll x$. We have to show that $\alpha(x',t') \ll \alpha(x,t)$. If t' or t are in N, we may assume $t = t'$. In this case, one has $\alpha(x',t) = t\varphi_2\varphi_1(x') \ll t\varphi_2\varphi_1(x) = \alpha(x,t)$ because both φ_1 and φ_2 are Cu-morphisms. Finally, assume $t', t \in (0, \infty]$. Take $x_1, x_2 \in S_1$ such that $x' \ll x_1 \ll x_2 \ll x.$

Find $l_1, l_2, m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$
t' < \frac{l_1}{m_1 + 1}, \quad \frac{l_1}{m_1} < \frac{l_2}{m_2 + 1}, \quad \frac{l_2}{m_2} < t.
$$

By almost divisibility of φ_1 , there exist elements $y_1, y_2 \in S_2$ such that $y_1 \in$ $\mu((l_1,m_1),\varphi_1(x'),\varphi_1(x_1))$ and $y_2 \in \mu((l_2,m_2),\varphi_1(x_2),\varphi_1(x))$. By [Lemma 5.2](#page-11-0) (2), one gets $\varphi_2(y_1) \ll \varphi_2(y_2) \leq \alpha(x, t)$.

Now note that for every $y \in \mu((k,n),0,\varphi_1(x'))$ with $k/n < t'$, one has $k/n <$ $l_1/(m_1+1)$. Thus, another application of [Lemma 5.2](#page-11-0) (1) gives $\varphi_2(y) \leq \varphi_2(y_1)$. In other words, $\alpha(x',t') \leq \varphi_2(y_1)$. Since we already know that $\varphi_2(y_1) \ll \alpha(x,t)$, one gets $\alpha(x', t') \ll \alpha(x, t)$, as desired.

Now [\[APT18,](#page-18-12) Theorem 6.3.3] shows that β : $S_1 \otimes Z \rightarrow T$ is a Cu-morphism with the desired properties.

Corollary 5.6. Let $\varphi: S \to T$ be a Cu-morphism. Then,

- (i) if S is almost divisible and φ is almost unperforated, φ factorizes through $S \otimes Z$.
- (ii) if T is almost unperforated and φ is almost divisible, φ factorizes through $S \otimes Z$.

Proof. For (i), consider the composition of maps $S \to S \to T$ and apply [Theo](#page-13-0)[rem 5.5.](#page-13-0) For (ii), consider $S \to T \to T$ and apply [Theorem 5.5.](#page-13-0)

[Theorem 5.5](#page-13-0) above provides a partial answer to [Question 3.10:](#page-7-1)

Theorem 5.7. Let $\theta_1: A_1 \rightarrow A_2$ and $\theta_2: A_2 \rightarrow B$ be pure *-homomorphisms. Then, there exists a Cu-morphism β such that the following diagram commutes

One also obtains the following proposition, which answers [Question 3.10](#page-7-1) completely when the initial domain is an AF-algebra and the codomain is of stable rank one. We believe that this result may be far more general, but new or tinkered techniques need to be developed in order to do so; see [Question 5.9.](#page-15-3)

Proposition 5.8. Let A_1 be a unital AF-algebra, and let B be a unital C^* -algebra of stable rank one. Let $\theta_1: A_1 \rightarrow A_2$ and $\theta_2: A_2 \rightarrow B$ be unital, pure *-homomorphisms. Then, $\theta_2\theta_1$ factors, up to approximately unitarily equivalence, through $A \otimes \mathcal{Z}$.

Proof. The induced composition of Cu-morphisms factorizes through Cu(A)⊗Cu(Z) by [Theorem 5.5.](#page-13-0) It follows from [\[APT18,](#page-18-12) Proposition 6.4.13] that $Cu(A) \otimes Cu(\mathcal{Z}) \cong$ $Cu(A \otimes \mathcal{Z}).$

Further, the C^{*}-algebra $A \otimes \mathcal{Z}$ is an inductive limit of 1-dimensional NCCW complexes with trivial K_1 -group. The result now follows from [\[Rob12,](#page-18-5) Theorem 1.0.1].

Note that there are two obstructions to generalizing [Proposition 5.8.](#page-14-1) First, the Cuntz semigroup tensor product does not generally behave well with its C^* algebraic counterpart. For example, it is known that $Cu(C[0, 1]) \otimes Cu(\mathcal{Z}) \not\cong$ $Cu(C[0, 1] \otimes \mathcal{Z})$; see [\[APT18,](#page-18-12) Proposition 6.4.4]. Secondly, the only currently available result for lifting [∗] -homomorphisms is Robert's [\[Rob12,](#page-18-5) Theorem 1.0.1]. However, it is conceivable that such result can be generalized whenever A, B are sufficiently noncommutative (say, if A, B are simple) and $Cu(\theta)$ maps every Cuntz class to a strongly soft class. The following question is related to the first of the two obstructions.

Question 5.9. Let A, B be C^{*}-algebras, and let $\psi: Cu(A) \otimes Cu(\mathcal{Z}) \to Cu(B)$ be a Cu-morphism. When does there exist a Cu-morphism $\rho: Cu(A \otimes \mathcal{Z}) \to Cu(B)$ such that $\psi([a] \otimes 1) = \rho([a \otimes 1])$?

As shown by Winter in [\[Win12,](#page-19-1) Corollary 7.4], a separable, unital, simple, nonelementary C[∗] -algebra of locally finite nuclear dimension is pure if and only if it is Z -stable. In analogy to this result, one may ask:

Question 5.10. Let A, B be C^{*}-algebras. Under which conditions on A and B does every pure *-homomorphism $\theta: A \to B$ factor (in a suitable sense) through a Z-stable C[∗] -algebra?

Restricting the codomain in [Proposition 5.8](#page-14-1) further, we obtain a first answer to [Question 5.10.](#page-15-4)

Corollary 5.11. Let A be a unital AF-algebra, and let B be a unital C^* -algebra of stable rank one and with strict comparison. Let $\theta: A \rightarrow B$ be a unital, pure $*$ homomorphism. Then, θ factors up to approximately unitarily equivalence through $A \otimes \mathcal{Z}$.

Remark 5.12. Following the ideas from [Definition 3.2,](#page-3-0) one could also define other Cu-like notions for morphisms, such as algebraicity and (weak) $(2, \omega)$ -divisibility.

6. Soft and rational [∗] -homomorphisms

In this last section we exploit [Theorem 5.7](#page-14-0) in two cases of interest: Pure maps with a soft image [\(Definition 6.6\)](#page-17-0), and rational maps [\(Definition 6.1\)](#page-15-2). These notions are meant to generalize tensorial absorption, at a Cuntz semigroup level, of the Jacelon-Razak algebra and UHF-algebras respectively. In contrast to [Theorem 5.7,](#page-14-0) Cu-tensor products and C^* -tensor products of such algebras do behave nicely. This allows us to show that a composition of maps always factors (at the level of Cu) through $A \otimes M_q$ and $A \otimes W$ respectively; see Theorems [6.3](#page-16-0) and [6.10.](#page-17-1)

6.1. q-rational morphisms. Given a supernatural number q such that $q = q^2$ and $q \neq 1$, let M_q denote the UHF-algebra associated to q. As shown in [\[APT18,](#page-18-12) Section 7.4], Cu($M_q \cong K_q \sqcup (0,\infty]$ where K_q is the subset of \mathbb{Q}_+ formed by the elements of the form $\frac{k}{n}$ with k, n coprime and n a divisor of q.

Adapting [\[APT18,](#page-18-12) Definition 7.4.6] to our setting, we define:

Definition 6.1. Let $\varphi: S \to T$ be a generalized Cu-morphism, and let q be a supernatural number as above. We will say that φ is *q-rational* if it is both

- (i) q-divisible, that is, if for every $x \in S$ and every finite divisor n of q there exists $y \in T$ such that $\varphi(x) = ny$.
- (ii) q-unperforated, that is, if whenever $nx \leq ny$, for some finite divisor n of q, one has $\varphi(x) \leq \varphi(y)$.

As shown in [\[APT18,](#page-18-12) Theorem 7.4.10], a Cu-semigroup S tensorially absorbs $Cu(M_q)$ if and only if S is q-divisible and q-unperforated. Thus, examples of morphisms satisfying the two conditions above include all morphisms whose domain or codomain absorbs $Cu(M_q)$ tensorially.

Proposition 6.2. Let q be a supernatural number such that $q = q^2$ and $q \neq 1$. Let $\varphi_1: S_1 \to S_2$ be a q-divisible (generalized) Cu-morphism and let $\varphi_2: S_2 \to T$ be a q-unperforated (generalized) Cu-morphism. Then, there exists a (generalized) Cu-morphism $\gamma: S \otimes \text{Cu}(M_q) \to T$ such that $\gamma(x \otimes 1) = \varphi_2 \varphi_1(x)$.

Proof. We mimic the approach of [\[APT18,](#page-18-12) Theorem 7.4.10].

First, note that for every $x \in S$ and every n divisor of q there exists a unique element $y \in \varphi_2(S_2)$ such that $y = \varphi_2(z)$ with $\varphi_1(x) = nz$. Indeed, existence follows from q-divisibility of φ_1 , while uniqueness is given by φ_2 . Thus, the map $\omega_n: S \to T$ given by $x \mapsto y$ is well-defined. It is readily checked that ω_n is a (generalized) Cu-morphism whenever φ_1 and φ_2 are.

Further, it follows from [Theorem 5.5](#page-13-0) that there exists a (generalized) Cu-bimorphism $\alpha: S \times Z \to T$ such that $\alpha(x, 1) = \varphi_2 \varphi_1(x)$. Now, define a (generalized) Cu-bimorphism $\alpha_q: S \times (K_q \sqcup (0, \infty]) \to T$ as follows: Given $t \in (0, \infty]$, simply set $\alpha_q(x,t) := \alpha(x,t)$. Else, if $t = \frac{k}{n}$ for some (unique) coprime pair k, n with n a divisor of q, set $\alpha_q(x,t) := k\omega_n(x)$. By construction, $\alpha_q(\cdot,t)$ is a generalized Cu-morphism.

A proof analoguous to that of [Lemma 5.1](#page-10-1) shows that $\alpha_q(x, \cdot)$ is a generalized Cu-morphism if and only if $\alpha_q(x, \cdot)|_{(0,\infty]}$ is a generalized Cu-morphism and $\alpha_q(x, \sigma(\frac{1}{n})) \leq \alpha_q(x, \frac{1}{n}) \leq \alpha_q(x, \sigma(\frac{1}{n}) + \varepsilon)$ for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and any *n* dividing *q*. Note that the first condition is satisfied by construction of α , while the second condition is readily checked after a careful examination of α_q . Thus, [\[APT18,](#page-18-12) Theorem 6.3.3 (1)] implies the existence of the map γ with the required properties.

We know from [\[APT18,](#page-18-12) Proposition 7.6.3] that $Cu(A \otimes M_q) \cong Cu(A) \otimes Cu(M_q)$ always. Thus, one gets the following result, where [∗] -homomorphism and Cu-morphism can be changed to cpc. order-zero map and generalized Cu-morphism respectively.

Theorem 6.3. Let $\theta_1: A_1 \to A_2$ and $\theta_2: A_2 \to B$ be *-homomorphisms. Assume that θ_1 is q-divisible and that θ_2 is q-unperforated. Then, there exists a Cu-morphism β : Cu($A \otimes M_q$) \rightarrow Cu(B) such that Cu($\theta_2 \theta_1$)[a] = β ([a \otimes 1]) for each [a] \in $Cu(A).$

Applying Robert's classification result ([\[Rob12,](#page-18-5) Theorem 1.0.1]), one obtains:

Corollary 6.4. Retain the above assumptions. Assume that A_1 is a unital C^* algebra stably isomorphic to an inductive limit of 1-dimensional NCCW-complexes with trivial K_1 -group, that B is unital and of stable rank one, and that θ_1 and θ_2 are unital. Then, $\theta_2\theta_1$ factors, up to approximate unitary equivalence, through $A\otimes M_q$.

6.2. Soft maps. Recall that the Cuntz semigroup of the Jacelon-Razak algebra W is isomorphic to the monoid $[0, \infty]$. As shown in [\[APT18,](#page-18-12) Theorem 7.5.4], every element in a pure Cu-semigroup S is strongly soft (see [Paragraph 6.5](#page-16-2) below) if and only if $S \cong S \otimes Cu(W)$. We show the analogue of [Theorem 5.5](#page-13-0) in [Theorem 6.9.](#page-17-2)

6.5 (Soft elements and strongly soft Cuntz classes). Let S be a Cu-semigroup, and let $x \in S$. Recall from [\[TV24b,](#page-18-24) Definition 4.2] that x is strongly soft if, for any $x' \in S$ such that $x' \ll x$, there exists $t \in S$ such that $x' + t \leq x \leq \infty t$. Making an abuse of notation, the set of strongly soft elements is usually denoted by S_{soft} .

Let A be a stable C[∗]-algebra. Under the presence of sufficient non-commutativity on A (for example, if A has the Global Glimm Property), a positive element $a \in A_+$ has a strongly soft Cuntz class if and only if a is Cuntz equivalent to a *soft element*, that is, an element $b \in A_+$ such that no nontrivial quotient of \overline{bAb} is unital; this equivalence is proved in [\[AVTV23\]](#page-18-25).

Definition 6.6. Let $\varphi: S \to T$ be a generalized Cu-morphism. We will say that φ is soft if $\varphi(S) \subseteq T_{\text{soft}}$.

Further, φ will be said to have Cu(W)-multiplication if it is soft and has Cu(Z)multiplication.

Remark 6.7.

- (1) Let $\theta: A \rightarrow B$ be a *-homomorphism between stable C*-algebras, and assume that B satisfies the Global Glimm Property. Then, $Cu(\theta)$ has $Cu(W)$ -multiplication if and only if θ is pure and $\theta(a)$ is Cuntz equivalent to a soft element for every $a \in A_+$.
- (2) Note the analogue statements of [Lemma 4.3](#page-8-1) and Propositions [4.1,](#page-7-4) [4.2](#page-7-3) and [4.5](#page-8-2) also work with $Cu(W)$ -multiplication instead of $Cu(Z)$ -multiplication.

Example 6.8. By (the analogue of) [Proposition 4.2,](#page-7-3) any *-homomorphism $A \rightarrow B$ that factorizes through $A \otimes \mathcal{W}$ induces a Cu-morphism with Cu(W)-multiplication.

As noted in [\[Gab20,](#page-18-7) Remark 3.21], the infinite repeat $\phi \otimes 1_{\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{K})} : A \to \mathcal{M}(B \otimes \mathcal{K})$ of any ^{*}-homomorphism of the form $A \to \mathcal{M}(B)$ factorizes through $\mathcal{M}(B) \otimes \mathcal{O}_2$.

Since every \mathcal{O}_2 -stable C^{*}-algebra is purely infinite by [\[KR00,](#page-18-26) Theorem 5.11], its Cuntz semigroup has $\{0,\infty\}$ -multiplication and, also, $[0,\infty]$ -multiplication. Thus, it follows that $Cu(\phi \otimes 1_{\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{K})})$ always has $Cu(\mathcal{W})$ -multiplication regardless of our choice of A and B.

Theorem 6.9. Let $\varphi_1: S_1 \to S_2$ and $\varphi_2: S_2 \to T$ be (generalized) Cu-morphisms. Assume that φ_1 is soft and almost divisible, and that φ_2 is almost unperforated. Then, there exists a (generalized) Cu-morphism $\gamma: S_1 \otimes [0, \infty] \rightarrow T$ such that $\gamma(x \otimes 1) = \varphi_2 \varphi_1(x).$

Proof. Let $\beta: S_1 \otimes Z \rightarrow T$ be the map constructed in [Theorem 5.5,](#page-13-0) and denote by γ the restriction of β to $(S \otimes Z)_{\text{soft}} \cong S \otimes [0, \infty]$.

Let $x \in S_1$ and take $x' \in S_1$ such that $x' \ll x$. Then, since $\varphi_1(x)$ is soft, there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $(n+1)\varphi_1(x') \leq n\varphi_1(x)$; see, for example, [\[TV24b,](#page-18-24) Proposition 4.5. This implies that $\varphi_1(x') \in \mu((n, n+1), 0, \varphi_1(x))$ and, in particular, that $\varphi_2\varphi_1(x') \in \Phi(1,\varphi_1(x))$. Thus, one has $\varphi_2\varphi_1(x') \leq \gamma(x \otimes 1)$ for every x'. Consequently, $\varphi_2\varphi_1(x) \leq \gamma(x \otimes 1)$. Further, note that one gets

$$
\gamma(x \otimes 1) = \beta(x \otimes 1_{Z_{\text{soft}}}) \leq \beta(x \otimes 1_Z) = \varphi_2 \varphi_1(x),
$$

that is, $\gamma(x \otimes 1) = \varphi_2 \varphi_1(x)$, as desired.

Combining [\[Rob13,](#page-18-27) Theorem 5.1.2] and [\[APT18,](#page-18-12) Proposition 7.6.3], one has that $Cu(A \otimes W) \cong Cu(A) \otimes [0, \infty]$; hence, we obtain the following result.

Theorem 6.10. Let $\theta_1: A_1 \rightarrow A_2$ be a soft and pure *-homomorphism, and let θ_2 : $A_2 \rightarrow B$ be a pure *-homomorphism. Then, there exists a Cu-morphism $\beta: \mathrm{Cu}(A\otimes W) \to \mathrm{Cu}(B)$ such that $\mathrm{Cu}(\theta_2\theta_1)[a] = \beta([a\otimes 1])$ for each $[a] \in \mathrm{Cu}(A)$.

PURE ^{*}-HOMOMORPHISMS 19

REFERENCES

- [APRT22] R. ANTOINE, F. PERERA, L. ROBERT, and H. THIEL, C^{*}-algebras of stable rank one and their Cuntz semigroups, Duke Math. J. 171 (2022), 33–99.
- [APT18] R. ANTOINE, F. PERERA, and H. THIEL, Tensor products and regularity properties of Cuntz semigroups, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 251 (2018), viii+191.
- [APTV24] R. Antoine, F. Perera, H. Thiel, and E. Vilalta, Pure C∗-algebras, preprint (arXiv:2406.11052 [math. OA]), 2024.
- [AVTV23] A. Asadi-Vasfi, H. THIEL, and E. VILALTA, Ranks of soft operators in nowhere scattered C∗-algebras, J. Inst. Math. Jussieu (to appear), 2024.
- [Avi82] D. Avitzour, Free products of C∗-algebras, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 271 (1982), 423–435.
- [BBS+19] J. Bosa, N. Brown, Y. Sato, A. Tikuisis, S. White, and W. Winter, Covering Dimension of C*-Algebras and 2-Coloured Classification, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 257 (2019), number 1223, 97pp.
- [BGSW22] J. Bosa, J. Gabe, A. Sims, and S. White, The nuclear Dimension of \mathcal{O}_{∞} -stable C*-algebras, Adv. Math. 401 (2022), 108250.
- [CGS⁺23] J. R. CARRIÓN, J. GABE, C. SCHAFHAUSER, A. TIKUISIS, and S. WHITE, Classifying *-homomorphisms I: Unital simple nuclear C∗-algebras, preprint (arXiv:2307.06480 [math.OA]), 2023.
- [CET+21] J. Castillejos, S. Evington, A. Tikuisis, S. White, and W. Winter, Nuclear dimension of simple C∗-algebras, Invent. Math. 224 (2021), 245–290.
- [CN24] J. Castillejos and R. Neagu, On topologically zero-dimensional morphisms, J. Funct. Anal. 286 (2024), 110368.
- [CEI08] K. T. COWARD, G. A. ELLIOTT, and C. IVANESCU, The Cuntz semigroup as an invariant for C∗-algebras, J. Reine Angew. Math. 623 (2008), 161–193.
- [DHR97] K. DYKEMA, U. HAAGERUP, and M. RØRDAM, The stable rank of some free product C∗-algebras, Duke Math. J. 90 (1997), 95–121.
- [EGLN] G. A. ELLIOTT, G. GONG, H. LIN, and Z. NIU, On the classification of simple amenable C∗-algebras with finite decomposition rank, II, J. Noncommut. Geom., to appear, arXiv:1507.03437.
- [Gab20] J. GABE, A new proof of Kirchberg's \mathcal{O}_2 -stable classification, J. Reine Angew. Math. 2020 (2020), 247–289.
- [GN23] J. GABE and R. NEAGU, Inclusions of real rank zero, preprint (arXiv:2312.03622) [math.OA]), 2023.
- [Kir06] E. Kirchberg, Central sequences in C∗-algebras and strongly purely infinite algebras, in Operator Algebras: The Abel Symposium 2004, Abel Symp. 1, Springer, Berlin, 2006, pp. 175–231.
- [KR00] E. KIRCHBERG and M. RØRDAM, Non-simple purely infinite C[∗]-algebras, Amer. J. Math. 122 (2000), 637–666.
- [OPR12] E. ORTEGA, F. PERERA, and M. RØRDAM, The corona factorization property, stability, and the Cuntz semigroup of a C∗-algebra, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN (2012), 34–66.
- [Rob13] L. ROBERT, The cone of functionals on the Cuntz semigroup, *Math. Scand.* 113 (2013), 161–186.
- [Rob12] L. ROBERT, Classification of inductive limits of 1-dimensional NCCW complexes, Adv. Math. 231 (2012), 2802–2836.
- [RR13] L. Robert and M. Rørdam, Divisibility properties for C∗-algebras, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3) 106 (2013), 1330–1370.
- [RT17] L. ROBERT and A. TIKUISIS, Nuclear dimension and *Z*-stability of non-simple C[∗]algebras, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 369 (2017), 4631–4670.
- [Rør92] M. Rørdam, On the structure of simple C∗-algebras tensored with a UHF-algebra. II, J. Funct. Anal. 107 (1992), 255–269.
- [Rør04] M. Rørdam, The stable and the real rank of Z-absorbing C∗-algebras, Internat. J. Math. 15 (2004), 1065–1084.
- [Thi20] H. Thiel, Ranks of operators in simple C∗-algebras with stable rank one, Comm. Math. Phys. 377 (2020), 37–76.
- [TV21] H. Thiel and E. Vilalta, Covering dimension of Cuntz semigroups II, Internat. J. Math. 32 (2021), 27 p., Paper No. 2150100.
- [TV24a] H. Thiel and E. Vilalta, Nowhere scattered C∗-algebras, J. Noncommut. Geom. 18 (2024), 231–263.
- [TV24b] H. THIEL and E. VILALTA, Soft operators in C[∗]-algebras, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 536 (2024), Paper No. 128167, 35.

[TWW17] A. TIKUISIS, S. WHITE, and W. WINTER, Quasidiagonality of nuclear C^{*}-algebras, Ann. of Math. (2) 185 (2017), 229–284.

[Vil23] E. Vilalta, Nowhere scattered multiplier algebras, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A (First view), doi: 10.1017/prm.2023.123, 2023.

[Win12] W. Winter, Nuclear dimension and Z-stability of pure C∗-algebras, Invent. Math. 187 (2012), 259–342.

[WZ09] W. WINTER and J. ZACHARIAS, Completely positive maps of order zero, *Münster J.* Math. 2 (2009), 311–324.

JOAN BOSA, DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, UNIVERSIDAD DE ZARAGOZA, 50009 ZARAGOZA, ZARAGOZA, SPAIN.

Email address: jbosa@unizar.es URL: personal.unizar.es/jbosa/

Eduard Vilalta, Department of Mathematical Sciences, Chalmers University of Technology and University of Gothenburg, Chalmers Tvargata 3, SE-412 96 Gothenburg, ¨ **SWEDEN**

Email address: vilalta@chalmers.se URL: www.eduardvilalta.com