Norm-attainment in projective tensor products

Luis C. García-Lirola

Joint works with S. Dantas, J. Guerrero-Viu, M. Jung, A. Rueda Zoca

Universidad de Zaragoza

Analysis, PDE and Probability seminar - KIAS seminar 20th December, 2024



# f SéNeCa<sup>(+)</sup>

Agencia de Ciencia y Tecnología Región de Murcia



- S. Dantas, M. Jung, O. Roldán, A. Rueda Zoca. Norm-attaining tensors and nuclear operators. Mediterr. J. Math. 19 (2022), no. 1, Paper No. 38, 27 pp.
- S. Dantas, L. C. García-Lirola, M. Jung, A. Rueda Zoca. On norm-attainment in (symmetric) tensor products. Quaest. Math. 46 (2023), no. 2, 393–409.
- L. C. García-Lirola, J. Guerrero-Viu, A. Rueda Zoca. Projective tensor products where every element is norm-attaining. arXiv:2407.10710

## **Outline**

- **•** Tensor products
- Projective tensor products where every tensor attains its norm
- Denseness of norm-attaining tensors

 $X, Y, Z$  = vector spaces

A map  $A: X \times Y \rightarrow Z$  is **bilinear** if

• 
$$
A(\alpha x + \beta x', y) = \alpha A(x, y) + \beta A(x', y)
$$

• 
$$
A(x, \alpha y + \beta y') = \alpha A(x, y) + \beta A(x, y')
$$

 $B(X \times Y, Z)$  denotes the space of bilinear maps  $A \colon X \times Y \to Z$ 

 $X, Y, Z$  = vector spaces

A map  $A: X \times Y \rightarrow Z$  is **bilinear** if

• 
$$
A(\alpha x + \beta x', y) = \alpha A(x, y) + \beta A(x', y)
$$

• 
$$
A(x, \alpha y + \beta y') = \alpha A(x, y) + \beta A(x, y')
$$

 $B(X \times Y, Z)$  denotes the space of bilinear maps  $A \colon X \times Y \to Z$ 

We would like to **linearize** bilinear maps. That is, to find a vector space W and a linear embedding  $\delta \colon X \times Y \to W$  such that for any  $A \in B(X \times Y, Z)$  there is a linear map  $\, {\mathcal T}_A \colon W \to Z$  such that this diagram commutes:



Given  $x \in X$  and  $y \in Y$ , consider the linear functional

$$
x \otimes y: B(X \times Y, \mathbb{R}) \to \mathbb{R}
$$

$$
A \mapsto A(x, y)
$$

The **tensor product**  $X \otimes Y$  is defined as

 $X \otimes Y = {\sf span}\{ {\sf x} \otimes {\sf y} : {\sf x} \in X, \ {\sf y} \in Y\} \subset B({\sf X} \times Y, {\mathbb R})^{\#}$ 

Given  $x \in X$  and  $y \in Y$ , consider the linear functional

$$
x \otimes y: B(X \times Y, \mathbb{R}) \to \mathbb{R}
$$

$$
A \mapsto A(x, y)
$$

The **tensor product**  $X \otimes Y$  is defined as

 $X \otimes Y = {\sf span}\{ {\sf x} \otimes {\sf y} : {\sf x} \in X, \ {\sf y} \in Y\} \subset B({\sf X} \times Y, {\mathbb R})^{\#}$ 

A tensor  $u \in X \otimes Y$  has the form  $u = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \otimes y_i$  where  $x_i \in X$  and  $y_i \in Y$ .

Given  $x \in X$  and  $y \in Y$ , consider the linear functional

$$
x \otimes y: B(X \times Y, \mathbb{R}) \to \mathbb{R}
$$

$$
A \mapsto A(x, y)
$$

The **tensor product**  $X \otimes Y$  is defined as

$$
X \otimes Y = \text{span}\{x \otimes y : x \in X, y \in Y\} \subset B(X \times Y, \mathbb{R})^{\#}
$$

A tensor  $u \in X \otimes Y$  has the form  $u = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \otimes y_i$  where  $x_i \in X$  and  $y_i \in Y$ . This representation is **NOT UNIQUE**. Indeed,

$$
\bullet \ (\alpha x + \beta x') \otimes y = \alpha x \otimes y + \beta x' \otimes y.
$$

$$
\bullet \ \ x \otimes (\alpha y + \beta y') = \alpha x \otimes y + \beta x \otimes y'
$$

Define  $\otimes \colon X \times Y \to X \otimes Y$  by  $\otimes (x, y) = x \otimes y$ .



Define  $\otimes \colon X \times Y \to X \otimes Y$  by  $\otimes (x, y) = x \otimes y$ .



Given  $A \in B(X \times Y, Z)$ , define

$$
T_A(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \otimes y_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n A(x_i,y_i).
$$

Define  $\otimes \colon X \times Y \to X \otimes Y$  by  $\otimes (x, y) = x \otimes y$ .



Given  $A \in B(X \times Y, Z)$ , define

$$
T_A(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \otimes y_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n A(x_i,y_i).
$$

Conversely, if  $\mathcal{T} \colon X \otimes Y \to Z$  is linear, define  $A \in B(X \times Y, Z)$  by

$$
A(x,y)=T(x\otimes y).
$$

 $X, Y$  = Banach spaces. We would like to define a norm on  $X \otimes Y$ .

 $X, Y$  = Banach spaces.

We would like to define a norm on  $X \otimes Y$ . It is reasonable to require that

 $\|x\otimes y\| \leqslant \|x\| \cdot \|y\|$ 

 $X, Y$  = Banach spaces.

We would like to define a norm on  $X \otimes Y$ . It is reasonable to require that

 $\|x\otimes y\| \leqslant \|x\| \cdot \|y\|$ 

If  $u = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \otimes y_i \in X \otimes Y$ , it must be  $||u|| \leq \sum_{i=1}^n ||x_i|| ||y_i||$  and this should hold for every representation of u.

 $X, Y$  = Banach spaces.

We would like to define a norm on  $X \otimes Y$ . It is reasonable to require that

 $\|x\otimes y\| \leqslant \|x\| \cdot \|y\|$ 

If  $u = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \otimes y_i \in X \otimes Y$ , it must be  $||u|| \leq \sum_{i=1}^n ||x_i|| ||y_i||$  and this should hold for every representation of  $u$ .

Given  $u \in X \otimes Y$ , we define the **projective norm** as follows

$$
||u||_{\pi} = \inf \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n} ||x_i|| ||y_i|| : u = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \otimes y_i \right\}
$$

 $X, Y$  = Banach spaces.

We would like to define a norm on  $X \otimes Y$ . It is reasonable to require that

 $\|x\otimes y\| \leqslant \|x\| \cdot \|y\|$ 

If  $u = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \otimes y_i \in X \otimes Y$ , it must be  $||u|| \leq \sum_{i=1}^n ||x_i|| ||y_i||$  and this should hold for every representation of  $u$ .

Given  $u \in X \otimes Y$ , we define the **projective norm** as follows

$$
||u||_{\pi} = \inf \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n} ||x_i|| ||y_i|| : u = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \otimes y_i \right\}
$$

However,  $(X \otimes Y, \left\| \cdot \right\|_{\pi})$  is not complete unless  $X$  or  $Y$  is finite dimensional.

 $X, Y$  = Banach spaces.

We would like to define a norm on  $X \otimes Y$ . It is reasonable to require that

 $\|x\otimes y\| \leqslant \|x\| \cdot \|y\|$ 

If  $u = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \otimes y_i \in X \otimes Y$ , it must be  $||u|| \leq \sum_{i=1}^n ||x_i|| ||y_i||$  and this should hold for every representation of  $u$ .

Given  $u \in X \otimes Y$ , we define the **projective norm** as follows

$$
||u||_{\pi} = \inf \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n} ||x_i|| ||y_i|| : u = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \otimes y_i \right\}
$$

However,  $(X \otimes Y, \left\| \cdot \right\|_{\pi})$  is not complete unless  $X$  or  $Y$  is finite dimensional.

The **projective tensor product**  $X\hat{\otimes}_{\pi}Y$  is the completion of  $(X\otimes Y, \|\cdot\|_{\pi})$ .

 $X, Y$  = Banach spaces.

We would like to define a norm on  $X \otimes Y$ . It is reasonable to require that

 $\|x\otimes y\| \leqslant \|x\| \cdot \|y\|$ 

If  $u = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \otimes y_i \in X \otimes Y$ , it must be  $||u|| \leq \sum_{i=1}^n ||x_i|| ||y_i||$  and this should hold for every representation of  $u$ .

Given  $u \in X \otimes Y$ , we define the **projective norm** as follows

$$
||u||_{\pi} = \inf \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n} ||x_i|| ||y_i|| : u = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \otimes y_i \right\}
$$

However,  $(X \otimes Y, \left\| \cdot \right\|_{\pi})$  is not complete unless  $X$  or  $Y$  is finite dimensional.

The **projective tensor product**  $X\hat{\otimes}_{\pi}Y$  is the completion of  $(X\otimes Y, \|\cdot\|_{\pi})$ .

 $L_1(\mu)\widehat{\otimes}_\pi X = L_1(\mu, X)$ 

A bilinear map  $A\colon X\times Y\to Z$  is said to be **bounded** if there is  $C>0$  such that

 $||A(x, y)|| \leq C ||x|| ||y||$ ,  $\forall x \in X, y \in Y$ .

 $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X}\times\mathcal{Y},Z)$  denotes the Banach space of bounded bilinear mappings with the norm  $||A|| = \sup{||A(x, y)|| : ||x|| \le 1, ||y|| \le 1}.$ 

A bilinear map  $A\colon X\times Y\to Z$  is said to be **bounded** if there is  $C>0$  such that

 $||A(x, y)|| \leq C ||x|| ||y||$ ,  $\forall x \in X, y \in Y$ .

 $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X}\times\mathcal{Y},Z)$  denotes the Banach space of bounded bilinear mappings with the norm  $||A|| = \sup{||A(x, y)|| : ||x|| \le 1, ||y|| \le 1}.$  $\mathcal{B}(X \times Y, \mathbb{R}) = \mathcal{L}(X, Y^*)$  (given  $A \in \mathcal{B}(X \times Y, \mathbb{R})$ , define  $\tilde{A}(x)(y) = A(x, y)$ ).

A bilinear map  $A\colon X\times Y\to Z$  is said to be **bounded** if there is  $C>0$  such that

 $||A(x, y)|| \leq C ||x|| ||y||$ ,  $\forall x \in X, y \in Y$ .

 $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X}\times\mathcal{Y},Z)$  denotes the Banach space of bounded bilinear mappings with the norm  $||A|| = \sup{||A(x, y)|| : ||x|| \le 1, ||y|| \le 1}.$  $\mathcal{B}(X \times Y, \mathbb{R}) = \mathcal{L}(X, Y^*)$  (given  $A \in \mathcal{B}(X \times Y, \mathbb{R})$ , define  $\tilde{A}(x)(y) = A(x, y)$ ).



A bilinear map  $A\colon X\times Y\to Z$  is said to be **bounded** if there is  $C>0$  such that

 $||A(x, y)|| \leq C ||x|| ||y||$ ,  $\forall x \in X, y \in Y$ .

 $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X}\times\mathcal{Y},Z)$  denotes the Banach space of bounded bilinear mappings with the norm  $||A|| = \sup{||A(x, y)|| : ||x|| \le 1, ||y|| \le 1}.$  $\mathcal{B}(X \times Y, \mathbb{R}) = \mathcal{L}(X, Y^*)$  (given  $A \in \mathcal{B}(X \times Y, \mathbb{R})$ , define  $\tilde{A}(x)(y) = A(x, y)$ ).



 $\mathcal{B}(X \times Y, Z) = \mathcal{L}(X \hat{\otimes}_{\pi} Y, Z)$  $\mathcal{B}(X \times Y, \mathbb{R}) = \mathcal{L}(X, Y^*) = (X \widehat{\otimes}_{\pi} Y)^*$ 

A bilinear map  $A\colon X\times Y\to Z$  is said to be **bounded** if there is  $C>0$  such that

 $||A(x, y)|| \leq C ||x|| ||y||$ ,  $\forall x \in X, y \in Y$ .

 $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X}\times\mathcal{Y},Z)$  denotes the Banach space of bounded bilinear mappings with the norm  $||A|| = \sup{||A(x, y)|| : ||x|| \le 1, ||y|| \le 1}.$  $\mathcal{B}(X \times Y, \mathbb{R}) = \mathcal{L}(X, Y^*)$  (given  $A \in \mathcal{B}(X \times Y, \mathbb{R})$ , define  $\tilde{A}(x)(y) = A(x, y)$ ).



 $\mathcal{B}(X \times Y, Z) = \mathcal{L}(X \hat{\otimes}_{\pi} Y, Z)$  $\mathcal{B}(X \times Y, \mathbb{R}) = \mathcal{L}(X, Y^*) = (X \widehat{\otimes}_{\pi} Y)^*$ 

Since  $||A|| = \sup\{\langle A, x \otimes y \rangle : x \in B_X, y \in B_Y\}$ , it follows that  $B_{X\widehat{\otimes}_{-Y}} = \overline{\text{conv}}(B_X \otimes B_Y).$ 

Every  $u \in X \hat{\otimes}_{\pi} Y$  can be written as  $u = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i \otimes y_i$  with  $x_i \in X$ ,  $y_i \in Y$ .

Every  $u \in X \hat{\otimes}_{\pi} Y$  can be written as  $u = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i \otimes y_i$  with  $x_i \in X$ ,  $y_i \in Y$ . Then

$$
||u||_{\pi} = \inf \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} ||x_i|| ||y_i|| : \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} ||x_i|| ||y_i|| < \infty, u = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i \otimes y_i \right\}.
$$

Every  $u \in X \hat{\otimes}_{\pi} Y$  can be written as  $u = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i \otimes y_i$  with  $x_i \in X$ ,  $y_i \in Y$ . Then

$$
||u||_{\pi} = \inf \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} ||x_i|| ||y_i|| : \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} ||x_i|| ||y_i|| < \infty, u = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i \otimes y_i \right\}.
$$

When is that infimum a minimum?

Every  $u \in X \hat{\otimes}_{\pi} Y$  can be written as  $u = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i \otimes y_i$  with  $x_i \in X$ ,  $y_i \in Y$ . Then

$$
||u||_{\pi} = \inf \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} ||x_i|| ||y_i|| : \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} ||x_i|| ||y_i|| < \infty, u = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i \otimes y_i \right\}.
$$

When is that infimum a minimum?

#### Dantas-Jung-Roldán-Rueda Zoca (2022)

We say that  $u \in X \widehat{\otimes}_{\pi} Y$  attain its projective norm if

$$
u=\sum_{i=1}^\infty x_i\otimes y_i \quad \text{ and } \quad ||u||_{\pi}=\sum_{i=1}^\infty ||x_i|| ||y_i||.
$$

The set of those u is denoted by  $NA_\pi(X\widehat{\otimes}_\pi Y)$ .

Every  $u \in X \hat{\otimes}_{\pi} Y$  can be written as  $u = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i \otimes y_i$  with  $x_i \in X$ ,  $y_i \in Y$ . Then

$$
||u||_{\pi} = \inf \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} ||x_i|| ||y_i|| : \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} ||x_i|| ||y_i|| < \infty, u = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i \otimes y_i \right\}.
$$

When is that infimum a minimum?

#### Dantas-Jung-Roldán-Rueda Zoca (2022)

We say that  $u \in X \widehat{\otimes}_{\pi} Y$  attain its projective norm if

$$
u = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i \otimes y_i \quad \text{and} \quad ||u||_{\pi} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} ||x_i|| ||y_i||.
$$

The set of those u is denoted by  $NA_\pi(X\widehat{\otimes}_\pi Y)$ .

Most of the results can be translated to other settings:

- Nuclear operators (tensors in  $X^*\hat{\otimes}_\pi Y$  correspond to operators  $X\to Y)$
- Symmetric tensors  $(\widehat{\otimes}_{\pi, s, N} X$  is a predual of  $\mathcal{P}(^N X))$

Dantas, Jung, Roldán, Rueda Zoca (2022)

 $NA(X\widehat{\otimes}_{\pi} Y) = X\widehat{\otimes}_{\pi} Y$  in the following cases:

- a)  $X$  and  $Y$  are finite dimensional.
- b)  $X = \ell_1(I)$  and Y is any Banach space.
- c)  $X$  and  $Y$  are complex Hilbert spaces.

Dantas, Jung, Roldán, Rueda Zoca (2022)

 $NA(X\widehat{\otimes}_{\pi} Y) = X\widehat{\otimes}_{\pi} Y$  in the following cases:

- a)  $X$  and  $Y$  are finite dimensional.
- b)  $X = \ell_1(I)$  and Y is any Banach space.

c)  $X$  and  $Y$  are complex Hilbert spaces.

Sketch of the proof.

a) Compactness

Dantas, Jung, Roldán, Rueda Zoca (2022)

 $NA(X\widehat{\otimes}_{\pi} Y) = X\widehat{\otimes}_{\pi} Y$  in the following cases:

- a)  $X$  and  $Y$  are finite dimensional.
- b)  $X = \ell_1(I)$  and Y is any Banach space.

c)  $X$  and  $Y$  are complex Hilbert spaces.

Sketch of the proof.

- a) Compactness
- b)  $\ell_1(I)\widehat{\otimes}_\pi Y = \ell_1(I, Y)$

Dantas, Jung, Roldán, Rueda Zoca (2022)

 $NA(X\widehat{\otimes}_{\pi} Y) = X\widehat{\otimes}_{\pi} Y$  in the following cases:

- a)  $X$  and  $Y$  are finite dimensional.
- b)  $X = \ell_1(I)$  and Y is any Banach space.

c)  $X$  and  $Y$  are complex Hilbert spaces.

Sketch of the proof.

- a) Compactness
- b)  $\ell_1(I)\widehat{\otimes}_\pi Y = \ell_1(I, Y)$
- c) Diagonalization

Example (Dantas, Jung, Roldán, Rueda Zoca (2022))

Consider  $u = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} e_n \otimes e_n \in \ell_1 \widehat{\otimes}_{\pi} \ell_2$ .

Example (Dantas, Jung, Roldán, Rueda Zoca (2022))

Consider  $u = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} e_n \otimes e_n \in \ell_1 \widehat{\otimes}_{\pi} \ell_2$ . Then  $||u||_{\pi} \le \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} = 1$ .

#### Example (Dantas, Jung, Roldán, Rueda Zoca (2022))

Consider  $u = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} e_n \otimes e_n \in \ell_1 \widehat{\otimes}_\pi \ell_2$ . Then  $||u||_{\pi} \le \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} = 1$ . Take  $T: \ell_2 \to \ell_{\infty}$  given by  $T(x) = x$ . We have

$$
\langle T, u \rangle = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle T, \frac{1}{2^n} e_n \otimes e_n \rangle = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} T(e_n)(e_n) = 1
$$

#### Example (Dantas, Jung, Roldán, Rueda Zoca (2022))

Consider  $u = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} e_n \otimes e_n \in \ell_1 \widehat{\otimes}_\pi \ell_2$ . Then  $||u||_{\pi} \le \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} = 1$ . Take  $T: \ell_2 \to \ell_{\infty}$  given by  $T(x) = x$ . We have

$$
\langle T, u \rangle = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle T, \frac{1}{2^n} e_n \otimes e_n \rangle = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} T(e_n)(e_n) = 1
$$

Thus,  $||u||_{\pi} = 1$  and u attains its projective norm.
### Example (Dantas, Jung, Roldán, Rueda Zoca (2022))

Consider  $u = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} e_n \otimes e_n \in \ell_1 \widehat{\otimes}_\pi \ell_2$ . Then  $||u||_{\pi} \le \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} = 1$ . Take  $T: \ell_2 \to \ell_{\infty}$  given by  $T(x) = x$ . We have

$$
\langle T, u \rangle = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle T, \frac{1}{2^n} e_n \otimes e_n \rangle = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} T(e_n)(e_n) = 1
$$

Thus,  $||u||_{\pi} = 1$  and u attains its projective norm.

Indeed, a representation  $u = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n \otimes y_n \in X \hat{\otimes}_{\pi} Y$  is optimal if and only if there is  $T: X \to Y^*$  such that  $T(x_n)(y_n) = ||x_n|| ||y_n|| \forall n$ 

### Example (Dantas, Jung, Roldán, Rueda Zoca (2022))

Consider  $u = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} e_n \otimes e_n \in \ell_1 \widehat{\otimes}_\pi \ell_2$ . Then  $||u||_{\pi} \le \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} = 1$ . Take  $T: \ell_2 \to \ell_{\infty}$  given by  $T(x) = x$ . We have

$$
\langle T, u \rangle = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle T, \frac{1}{2^n} e_n \otimes e_n \rangle = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} T(e_n)(e_n) = 1
$$

Thus,  $||u||_{\pi} = 1$  and u attains its projective norm.

Indeed, a representation  $u = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n \otimes y_n \in X \hat{\otimes}_{\pi} Y$  is optimal if and only if there is  $T: X \to Y^*$  such that  $T(x_n)(y_n) = ||x_n|| ||y_n|| \forall n$ 

### Dantas, Jung, Roldán, Rueda Zoca (2022)

If every tensor on  $X\hat{\otimes}_{\pi}Y$  attains its projective norm, then every operator  $T: X \rightarrow Y^*$  can be approximated by norm-attaining ones.

### Example (Dantas, Jung, Roldán, Rueda Zoca (2022))

Consider  $u = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} e_n \otimes e_n \in \ell_1 \widehat{\otimes}_\pi \ell_2$ . Then  $||u||_{\pi} \le \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} = 1$ . Take  $T: \ell_2 \to \ell_{\infty}$  given by  $T(x) = x$ . We have

$$
\langle T, u \rangle = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle T, \frac{1}{2^n} e_n \otimes e_n \rangle = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} T(e_n)(e_n) = 1
$$

Thus,  $||u||_{\pi} = 1$  and u attains its projective norm.

Indeed, a representation  $u = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n \otimes y_n \in X \hat{\otimes}_{\pi} Y$  is optimal if and only if there is  $T: X \to Y^*$  such that  $T(x_n)(y_n) = ||x_n|| ||y_n|| \forall n$ 

### Dantas, Jung, Roldán, Rueda Zoca (2022)

If every tensor on  $X\hat{\otimes}_{\pi}Y$  attains its projective norm, then every operator  $T: X \rightarrow Y^*$  can be approximated by norm-attaining ones.

Thus, there are tensors not attaining its projective norm in  $L_1(\mathbb{T})\widehat{\otimes}_\pi\ell_2^2$ ,  $L_1[0, 1]\hat{\otimes}_{\pi}L_1[0, 1], \ell_p\hat{\otimes}_{\pi}G (1 < p < +\infty)$ ....

## Dantas, G.L., Jung, Rueda Zoca (2023)

Let  $X$  be a finite-dimensional polyhedral Banach space and  $Y$  is any dual Banach space. Then  $NA_{\pi}(X\widehat{\otimes}_{\pi}Y) = X\widehat{\otimes}_{\pi}Y$ 

### Dantas, G.L., Jung, Rueda Zoca (2023)

Let  $X$  be a finite-dimensional polyhedral Banach space and  $Y$  is any dual Banach space. Then  $NA_{\pi}(X\widehat{\otimes}_{\pi}Y) = X\widehat{\otimes}_{\pi}Y$ 

Sketch of the proof.

$$
B_{X\hat{\otimes}_{\pi}Y} = \overline{\text{conv}}(B_X \otimes B_Y)
$$

### Dantas, G.L., Jung, Rueda Zoca (2023)

Let  $X$  be a finite-dimensional polyhedral Banach space and  $Y$  is any dual Banach space. Then  $NA_{\pi}(X\widehat{\otimes}_{\pi}Y) = X\widehat{\otimes}_{\pi}Y$ 

Sketch of the proof.

$$
B_{X \hat{\otimes}_{\pi} Y} = \overline{\text{conv}}(B_X \otimes B_Y)
$$
  
= 
$$
\overline{\text{conv}}\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n \{x_i\} \otimes B_Y\right)
$$

## Dantas, G.L., Jung, Rueda Zoca (2023)

Let  $X$  be a finite-dimensional polyhedral Banach space and  $Y$  is any dual Banach space. Then  $NA_{\pi}(X\widehat{\otimes}_{\pi}Y) = X\widehat{\otimes}_{\pi}Y$ 

Sketch of the proof.

$$
B_{X \hat{\otimes}_{\pi} Y} = \overline{\text{conv}}(B_X \otimes B_Y)
$$
  
= 
$$
\overline{\text{conv}}\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n \{x_i\} \otimes B_Y\right)
$$
  
= 
$$
\text{conv}\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n \{x_i\} \otimes B_Y\right)
$$

Note that  $B_X$  is a polytope if and only if  $B_{X^*}$  is a polytope.

Note that  $B_X$  is a polytope if and only if  $B_{X*}$  is a polytope. If  $B_{X^*} = \text{conv}\{x_1^*, \ldots, x_n^*\}$  then the mapping

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}\nX & \longrightarrow & \ell_{\infty}^n \\
x & \longmapsto & (x_1^*(x), \ldots, x_n^*(x))\n\end{array}
$$

is a linear into isometry since

$$
||x|| = \sup\{|x^*(x)| : x \in B_{X^*}\} = \max\{|x_i^*(x)| : i \in \{1, ..., n\}\}\
$$

Note that  $B_x$  is a polytope if and only if  $B_{x*}$  is a polytope. If  $B_{X^*} = \text{conv}\{x_1^*, \ldots, x_n^*\}$  then the mapping

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}\nX & \longrightarrow & \ell_{\infty}^n \\
x & \longmapsto & (x_1^*(x), \ldots, x_n^*(x))\n\end{array}
$$

is a linear into isometry since

$$
||x|| = \sup\{|x^*(x)| : x \in B_{X^*}\} = \max\{|x_i^*(x)| : i \in \{1, ..., n\}\}\
$$

Therefore, X is a subspace of Z with  $Z^* = \ell_1^n$ .

#### G.L., Guerrero-Viu, Rueda Zoca

Let Z be such that  $Z^* = \ell_1(I)$ ,  $X \subset Z$  and Y be any dual space. If either  $X^*$  or Y has the approximation property, then  $\mathsf{NA}_\pi(X^* \widehat{\otimes}_\pi Y) = X^* \widehat{\otimes}_\pi Y$ .

### G.L., Guerrero-Viu, Rueda Zoca

Let Z be such that  $Z^* = \ell_1(I)$ ,  $X \subset Z$  and Y be any dual space. If either  $X^*$  or *Y* has the approximation property, then  $NA_{\pi}(X^* \widehat{\otimes}_{\pi} Y) = X^* \widehat{\otimes}_{\pi} Y$ .

These hypotheses are satisfied for  $X \subset C(K)$  with K compact and scattered, such that  $X^*$  or Y has the AP.

### G.L., Guerrero-Viu, Rueda Zoca

Let Z be such that  $Z^* = \ell_1(I)$ ,  $X \subset Z$  and Y be any dual space. If either  $X^*$  or *Y* has the approximation property, then  $NA_{\pi}(X^* \widehat{\otimes}_{\pi} Y) = X^* \widehat{\otimes}_{\pi} Y$ .

These hypotheses are satisfied for  $X \subset C(K)$  with K compact and scattered, such that  $X^*$  or Y has the AP.

The hypothesis of K being scattered cannot be removed, since

 $NA(C(\mathbb{T})^*\hat{\otimes}_{\pi}\ell_2^2) \neq C(\mathbb{T})^*\hat{\otimes}_{\pi}\ell_2^2$ 

### G.L., Guerrero-Viu, Rueda Zoca

Let Z be such that  $Z^* = \ell_1(I)$ ,  $X \subset Z$  and Y be any dual space. If either  $X^*$  or *Y* has the approximation property, then  $NA_{\pi}(X^* \widehat{\otimes}_{\pi} Y) = X^* \widehat{\otimes}_{\pi} Y$ .

These hypotheses are satisfied for  $X \subset C(K)$  with K compact and scattered, such that  $X^*$  or Y has the AP.

The hypothesis of  $K$  being scattered cannot be removed, since

$$
\mathsf{NA}(C(\mathbb{T})^* \widehat{\otimes}_{\pi} \ell_2^2) \neq C(\mathbb{T})^* \widehat{\otimes}_{\pi} \ell_2^2
$$

We can take  $X^*$  the  $\sf Lipschitz\text{-}free$  space  $\mathcal{F}(M)$  for a metric space  $M$  satisfying one of the following conditions:

- a) M is countable and compact.
- b)  $M$  is uniformly discrete, countable, and there is a compact Hausdorff topology  $\tau$  on M such that d is  $\tau$ -lower semicontinuous, and  $V = \{d(x, y) : (x, y) \in M^2\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}_0^+$  is a compact set.

### G.L., Guerrero-Viu, Rueda Zoca

Let Z be such that  $Z^* = \ell_1(I)$ ,  $X \subset Z$  and Y be any dual space. If either  $X^*$  or *Y* has the approximation property, then  $NA_{\pi}(X^* \widehat{\otimes}_{\pi} Y) = X^* \widehat{\otimes}_{\pi} Y$ .

### G.L., Guerrero-Viu, Rueda Zoca

Let Z be such that  $Z^* = \ell_1(I)$ ,  $X \subset Z$  and Y be any dual space. If either  $X^*$  or *Y* has the approximation property, then  $NA_{\pi}(X^* \widehat{\otimes}_{\pi} Y) = X^* \widehat{\otimes}_{\pi} Y$ .

#### Lemma

Let X, Y be normed spaces and  $T: X \rightarrow Y$  a linear isometry (into). Then, the operator  $\mathcal{T}^{*} \colon Y^{*} \to X^{*}$  is a quotient operator. In fact, given  $x^{*} \in X^{*}$ , there exists  $y^* \in Y^*$  such that  $T^*(y^*) = x^*$  and  $||y^*|| = ||x^*||$ .

### G.L., Guerrero-Viu, Rueda Zoca

Let Z be such that  $Z^* = \ell_1(I)$ ,  $X \subset Z$  and Y be any dual space. If either  $X^*$  or *Y* has the approximation property, then  $NA_{\pi}(X^* \widehat{\otimes}_{\pi} Y) = X^* \widehat{\otimes}_{\pi} Y$ .

#### Lemma

Let X, Y be normed spaces and  $T: X \rightarrow Y$  a linear isometry (into). Then, the operator  $\mathcal{T}^{*} \colon Y^{*} \to X^{*}$  is a quotient operator. In fact, given  $x^{*} \in X^{*}$ , there exists  $y^* \in Y^*$  such that  $T^*(y^*) = x^*$  and  $||y^*|| = ||x^*||$ .

#### Sketch of the proof of the theorem.

Say  $Y = E^*$ . Then  $T: X \widehat{\otimes}_{\varepsilon} E \to Z \widehat{\otimes}_{\varepsilon} E$  given by  $T(u) = u$  is a linear isometry.

### G.L., Guerrero-Viu, Rueda Zoca

Let Z be such that  $Z^* = \ell_1(I)$ ,  $X \subset Z$  and Y be any dual space. If either  $X^*$  or *Y* has the approximation property, then  $NA_{\pi}(X^* \widehat{\otimes}_{\pi} Y) = X^* \widehat{\otimes}_{\pi} Y$ .

#### Lemma

Let X, Y be normed spaces and  $T: X \rightarrow Y$  a linear isometry (into). Then, the operator  $\mathcal{T}^{*} \colon Y^{*} \to X^{*}$  is a quotient operator. In fact, given  $x^{*} \in X^{*}$ , there exists  $y^* \in Y^*$  such that  $T^*(y^*) = x^*$  and  $||y^*|| = ||x^*||$ .

#### Sketch of the proof of the theorem.

 $\textsf{Say}\,\,Y=E^*\,.$  Then  $\,\mathcal{T}\colon X\widehat{\otimes}_\varepsilon E\to Z\widehat{\otimes}_\varepsilon E$  given by  $\,\mathcal{T}(u)=u$  is a linear isometry.  $T^*: Z^* \widehat{\otimes}_{\pi} Y \to X^* \widehat{\otimes}_{\pi} Y$ .

### G.L., Guerrero-Viu, Rueda Zoca

Let Z be such that  $Z^* = \ell_1(I)$ ,  $X \subset Z$  and Y be any dual space. If either  $X^*$  or *Y* has the approximation property, then  $NA_{\pi}(X^* \widehat{\otimes}_{\pi} Y) = X^* \widehat{\otimes}_{\pi} Y$ .

#### Lemma

Let X, Y be normed spaces and  $T: X \rightarrow Y$  a linear isometry (into). Then, the operator  $\mathcal{T}^{*} \colon Y^{*} \to X^{*}$  is a quotient operator. In fact, given  $x^{*} \in X^{*}$ , there exists  $y^* \in Y^*$  such that  $T^*(y^*) = x^*$  and  $||y^*|| = ||x^*||$ .

#### Sketch of the proof of the theorem.

 $\textsf{Say}\,\,Y=E^*\,.$  Then  $\,\mathcal{T}\colon X\widehat{\otimes}_\varepsilon E\to Z\widehat{\otimes}_\varepsilon E$  given by  $\,\mathcal{T}(u)=u$  is a linear isometry.  $T^*: Z^* \widehat{\otimes}_{\pi} Y \to X^* \widehat{\otimes}_{\pi} Y$ . Given  $u \in X^* \widehat{\otimes}_{\pi} Y$  there is  $\tilde{u} \in Z^* \widehat{\otimes}_{\pi} Y = \ell_1(I) \widehat{\otimes}_{\pi} Y$  with  $T^*(\tilde{u}) = u$  and the same norm.

### G.L., Guerrero-Viu, Rueda Zoca

Let Z be such that  $Z^* = \ell_1(I)$ ,  $X \subset Z$  and Y be any dual space. If either  $X^*$  or *Y* has the approximation property, then  $NA_{\pi}(X^* \widehat{\otimes}_{\pi} Y) = X^* \widehat{\otimes}_{\pi} Y$ .

#### Lemma

Let X, Y be normed spaces and  $T: X \rightarrow Y$  a linear isometry (into). Then, the operator  $\mathcal{T}^{*} \colon Y^{*} \to X^{*}$  is a quotient operator. In fact, given  $x^{*} \in X^{*}$ , there exists  $y^* \in Y^*$  such that  $T^*(y^*) = x^*$  and  $||y^*|| = ||x^*||$ .

#### Sketch of the proof of the theorem.

 $\textsf{Say}\,\,Y=E^*\,.$  Then  $\,\mathcal{T}\colon X\widehat{\otimes}_\varepsilon E\to Z\widehat{\otimes}_\varepsilon E$  given by  $\,\mathcal{T}(u)=u$  is a linear isometry.  $T^*: Z^* \widehat{\otimes}_{\pi} Y \to X^* \widehat{\otimes}_{\pi} Y$ . Given  $u \in X^* \widehat{\otimes}_{\pi} Y$  there is  $\tilde{u} \in Z^* \widehat{\otimes}_{\pi} Y = \ell_1(I) \widehat{\otimes}_{\pi} Y$  with  $T^*(\tilde{u}) = u$  and the same norm.

 $\tilde{u}$  admits an optimal representation

### G.L., Guerrero-Viu, Rueda Zoca

Let Z be such that  $Z^* = \ell_1(I)$ ,  $X \subset Z$  and Y be any dual space. If either  $X^*$  or *Y* has the approximation property, then  $NA_{\pi}(X^* \widehat{\otimes}_{\pi} Y) = X^* \widehat{\otimes}_{\pi} Y$ .

#### Lemma

Let X, Y be normed spaces and  $T: X \rightarrow Y$  a linear isometry (into). Then, the operator  $\mathcal{T}^{*} \colon Y^{*} \to X^{*}$  is a quotient operator. In fact, given  $x^{*} \in X^{*}$ , there exists  $y^* \in Y^*$  such that  $T^*(y^*) = x^*$  and  $||y^*|| = ||x^*||$ .

#### Sketch of the proof of the theorem.

 $\textsf{Say}\,\,Y=E^*\,.$  Then  $\,\mathcal{T}\colon X\widehat{\otimes}_\varepsilon E\to Z\widehat{\otimes}_\varepsilon E$  given by  $\,\mathcal{T}(u)=u$  is a linear isometry.  $T^*: Z^* \widehat{\otimes}_{\pi} Y \to X^* \widehat{\otimes}_{\pi} Y$ . Given  $u \in X^* \widehat{\otimes}_{\pi} Y$  there is  $\tilde{u} \in Z^* \widehat{\otimes}_{\pi} Y = \ell_1(I) \widehat{\otimes}_{\pi} Y$  with  $T^*(\tilde{u}) = u$  and the same norm.

 $\tilde{u}$  admits an optimal representation  $\Rightarrow$  the same holds for u.

Indeed, we have shown:

### G.L., Guerrero-Viu, Rueda Zoca

Let Z be an Asplund space,  $X \subset Z$  and Y be any dual space such that  $\mathsf{NA}_\pi(Z^*\widehat{\otimes}_\pi Y)=Z^*\widehat{\otimes}_\pi Y.$  If either  $X^*$  or  $Y$  has the approximation property, then  $\mathsf{NA}_{\pi}(X^*\widehat{\otimes}_{\pi} \mathsf{Y}) = X^*\widehat{\otimes}_{\pi} \mathsf{Y}$ .

Indeed, we have shown:

### G.L., Guerrero-Viu, Rueda Zoca

Let Z be an Asplund space,  $X \subset Z$  and Y be any dual space such that  $\mathsf{NA}_\pi(Z^*\widehat{\otimes}_\pi Y)=Z^*\widehat{\otimes}_\pi Y.$  If either  $X^*$  or  $Y$  has the approximation property, then  $\mathsf{NA}_{\pi}(X^*\widehat{\otimes}_{\pi} \mathsf{Y}) = X^*\widehat{\otimes}_{\pi} \mathsf{Y}$ .

Also, in the above results the hypothesis of Y being a dual space can be weakened to Y being 1-complemented in its bidual.

### G.L., Guerrero-Viu, Rueda Zoca

Let X, Y be Banach spaces such that  $NA_{\pi}(X\widehat{\otimes}_{\pi}Y) = X\widehat{\otimes}_{\pi}Y$ . Assume  $Z \subset Y$  is a 1-complemented subspace. Then,  $NA_{\pi}(X\widehat{\otimes}_{\pi}Z) = X\widehat{\otimes}_{\pi}Z$ .

Recall that every tensor in  $\ell_1(I)\widehat{\otimes}_\pi Y$  attains its projective norm for any Y.

Recall that every tensor in  $\ell_1(I)\widehat{\otimes}_\pi Y$  attains its projective norm for any Y.

What are the spaces X such that  $NA_{\pi}(X\widehat{\otimes}_{\pi}Y) = X\widehat{\otimes}_{\pi}Y$  for every Y?

Recall that every tensor in  $\ell_1(I)\widehat{\otimes}_\pi Y$  attains its projective norm for any Y.

What are the spaces X such that  $NA_{\pi}(X\widehat{\otimes}_{\pi}Y) = X\widehat{\otimes}_{\pi}Y$  for every Y?

### G.L., Guerrero-Viu, Rueda Zoca

Assume that  $X$  is separable and  $\mathsf{NA}_\pi(X^*\widehat{\otimes}_\pi Y)=X^*\widehat{\otimes}_\pi Y$  for any  $Y.$ Then  $B_X = \overline{\text{conv}}(\exp B_X)$ .

Dantas, Jung, Roldán, Rueda Zoca (2022)

There exist X, Y such that  $NA_{\pi}(X\widehat{\otimes}_{\pi}Y)$  is **NOT** dense in  $X\widehat{\otimes}_{\pi}Y$ .

### Dantas, Jung, Roldán, Rueda Zoca (2022)

There exist X, Y such that  $NA_\pi(X\hat{\otimes}_\pi Y)$  is **NOT** dense in  $X\hat{\otimes}_\pi Y$ .

## Dantas, Jung, Roldán, Rueda Zoca (2022)

Assume X has the metric  $\pi$ -property. If Y has the metric  $\pi$ -property or Y is uniformly convex, then  $NA_\pi(X\widehat{\otimes}_\pi Y)$  is dense in  $X\widehat{\otimes}_\pi Y$ .

### Dantas, Jung, Roldán, Rueda Zoca (2022)

There exist X, Y such that  $NA_\pi(X\widehat{\otimes}_\pi Y)$  is **NOT** dense in  $X\widehat{\otimes}_\pi Y$ .

## Dantas, Jung, Roldán, Rueda Zoca (2022)

Assume X has the metric  $\pi$ -property. If Y has the metric  $\pi$ -property or Y is uniformly convex, then  $NA_\pi(X\widehat{\otimes}_\pi Y)$  is dense in  $X\widehat{\otimes}_\pi Y$ .

A space X is said to have the **metric**  $\pi$ -**property** if given  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\} \subset S_X$ , we can find a finite-dimensional 1-complemented subspace  $M \subset X$  and points  $x'_i \in M$  with  $||x_i - x'_i|| < \varepsilon \ \forall i$ .

### Dantas, Jung, Roldán, Rueda Zoca (2022)

There exist X, Y such that  $NA_{\pi}(X\widehat{\otimes}_{\pi}Y)$  is **NOT** dense in  $X\widehat{\otimes}_{\pi}Y$ .

## Dantas, Jung, Roldán, Rueda Zoca (2022)

Assume X has the metric  $\pi$ -property. If Y has the metric  $\pi$ -property or Y is uniformly convex, then  $NA_\pi(X\widehat{\otimes}_\pi Y)$  is dense in  $X\widehat{\otimes}_\pi Y$ .

A space X is said to have the **metric**  $\pi$ -**property** if given  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\} \subset S_X$ , we can find a finite-dimensional 1-complemented subspace  $M \subset X$  and points  $x'_i \in M$  with  $||x_i - x'_i|| < \varepsilon \ \forall i$ . Equivalently, there are finite-rank projections  $P_{\alpha} : X \to X$  with  $||P_{\alpha}|| = 1$  and  $P_{\alpha}x \to x$  for every  $x \in X$ .

### Dantas, Jung, Roldán, Rueda Zoca (2022)

There exist X, Y such that  $NA_{\pi}(X\widehat{\otimes}_{\pi}Y)$  is **NOT** dense in  $X\widehat{\otimes}_{\pi}Y$ .

## Dantas, Jung, Roldán, Rueda Zoca (2022)

Assume X has the metric  $\pi$ -property. If Y has the metric  $\pi$ -property or Y is uniformly convex, then  $NA_\pi(X\widehat{\otimes}_\pi Y)$  is dense in  $X\widehat{\otimes}_\pi Y$ .

A space X is said to have the **metric**  $\pi$ -**property** if given  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  ${x_1, \ldots, x_n} \subset S_X$ , we can find a finite-dimensional 1-complemented subspace  $M \subset X$  and points  $x'_i \in M$  with  $||x_i - x'_i|| < \varepsilon \ \forall i$ . Equivalently, there are finite-rank projections  $P_{\alpha} : X \to X$  with  $||P_{\alpha}|| = 1$  and  $P_{\alpha}x \to x$  for every  $x \in X$ .

monotone FDD  $\Rightarrow$  metric  $\pi$  property  $\Rightarrow$  metric approximation property

### Dantas, Jung, Roldán, Rueda Zoca (2022)

There exist X, Y such that  $NA_{\pi}(X\widehat{\otimes}_{\pi}Y)$  is **NOT** dense in  $X\widehat{\otimes}_{\pi}Y$ .

## Dantas, Jung, Roldán, Rueda Zoca (2022)

Assume X has the metric  $\pi$ -property. If Y has the metric  $\pi$ -property or Y is uniformly convex, then  $NA_\pi(X\widehat{\otimes}_\pi Y)$  is dense in  $X\widehat{\otimes}_\pi Y$ .

A space X is said to have the **metric**  $\pi$ -**property** if given  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\} \subset S_X$ , we can find a finite-dimensional 1-complemented subspace  $M \subset X$  and points  $x'_i \in M$  with  $||x_i - x'_i|| < \varepsilon \ \forall i$ . Equivalently, there are finite-rank projections  $P_{\alpha} : X \to X$  with  $||P_{\alpha}|| = 1$  and  $P_{\alpha}x \to x$  for every  $x \in X$ .

monotone FDD  $\Rightarrow$  metric  $\pi$  property  $\Rightarrow$  metric approximation property

**Key point:** If M is 1-complemented in X then  $M\hat{\otimes}_{\pi}Y$  is (isometrically) a subspace of  $X\widehat{\otimes}_{\pi}Y$ .

Dantas, G.L., Jung, Rueda Zoca (2023)

 $NA_{\pi}(c_0\hat{\otimes}_{\pi}Y)$  is dense in  $c_0\hat{\otimes}_{\pi}Y$  for any dual space Y.

## Dantas, G.L., Jung, Rueda Zoca (2023)

 $NA_{\pi}(c_0\hat{\otimes}_{\pi}Y)$  is dense in  $c_0\hat{\otimes}_{\pi}Y$  for any dual space Y. More generally,  $NA_\pi(X\widehat{\otimes}_\pi Y)$  is dense if X is a polyhedral space with the metric  $\pi$ -property and Y is any dual space.

### Dantas, G.L., Jung, Rueda Zoca (2023)

 $NA_{\pi}(c_0\widehat{\otimes}_{\pi}Y)$  is dense in  $c_0\widehat{\otimes}_{\pi}Y$  for any dual space Y. More generally,  $NA_\pi(X\widehat{\otimes}_\pi Y)$  is dense if X is a polyhedral space with the metric  $\pi$ -property and Y is any dual space.

### G.L., Guerrero-Viu, Rueda Zoca

Suppose that for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in X$ , there exists a finite dimensional 1-complemented subspace  $\underline{M} \subseteq X$  and  $x'_i \in M$  with  $||x_i - x'_i|| < \varepsilon$  for each  $i = 1, \ldots, n$ . Assume that  $NA_{\pi}(M\hat{\otimes}_{\pi}Y) = M\hat{\otimes}_{\pi}Y$ . Then,

$$
\overline{\operatorname{NA}_{\pi}(X\widehat{\otimes}_{\pi}Y)}=X\widehat{\otimes}_{\pi}Y.
$$

## Dantas, G.L., Jung, Rueda Zoca (2023)

 $NA_{\pi}(c_0\widehat{\otimes}_{\pi}Y)$  is dense in  $c_0\widehat{\otimes}_{\pi}Y$  for any dual space Y. More generally,  $NA_\pi(X\widehat{\otimes}_\pi Y)$  is dense if X is a polyhedral space with the metric  $\pi$ -property and Y is any dual space.

### G.L., Guerrero-Viu, Rueda Zoca

Suppose that for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in X$ , there exists a finite dimensional 1-complemented subspace  $\underline{M} \subseteq X$  and  $x'_i \in M$  with  $||x_i - x'_i|| < \varepsilon$  for each  $i = 1, \ldots, n$ . Assume that  $NA_{\pi}(M\hat{\otimes}_{\pi}Y) = M\hat{\otimes}_{\pi}Y$ . Then,

$$
\overline{\operatorname{NA}_{\pi}(X\widehat{\otimes}_{\pi}Y)}=X\widehat{\otimes}_{\pi}Y.
$$

 $NA_{\pi}(X\widehat{\otimes}_{\pi}Y)$  is dense in  $X\widehat{\otimes}_{\pi}Y$  in the following cases:

- a)  $X = L_1(\mu)$  for some measure  $\mu$ , and Y is any Banach space.
- b)  $X^* = L_1(\mu)$  and Y is 1-complemented in Y<sup>\*\*</sup>.
- c) X has the metric  $\pi$ -property and Y is a dual space with the RNP.
#### Dantas, Jung, Roldán, Rueda Zoca (2022)

Let X, Y be reflexive Banach spaces. Is  $NA_\pi(X\hat{\otimes}_\pi Y)$  dense in  $X\hat{\otimes}_\pi Y$ ?

#### Dantas, Jung, Roldán, Rueda Zoca (2022)

Let X, Y be reflexive Banach spaces. Is  $NA_\pi(X\widehat{\otimes}_\pi Y)$  dense in  $X\widehat{\otimes}_\pi Y$ ?

#### Dantas, G.L., Jung, Rueda Zoca (2023)

#### Dantas, Jung, Roldán, Rueda Zoca (2022)

Let X, Y be reflexive Banach spaces. Is  $NA_\pi(X\widehat{\otimes}_\pi Y)$  dense in  $X\widehat{\otimes}_\pi Y$ ?

#### Dantas, G.L., Jung, Rueda Zoca (2023)

Sketch of the proof  
Given 
$$
u \in B_{X \hat{\otimes}_{\pi} Y}
$$
 with  $||u||_{\pi} = 1$ , take  $A \in (X \hat{\otimes}_{\pi} Y)^*$  with  $\langle A, u \rangle = 1$ .

#### Dantas, Jung, Roldán, Rueda Zoca (2022)

Let X, Y be reflexive Banach spaces. Is  $NA_\pi(X\widehat{\otimes}_\pi Y)$  dense in  $X\widehat{\otimes}_\pi Y$ ?

#### Dantas, G.L., Jung, Rueda Zoca (2023)

Sketch of the proof  
\nGiven 
$$
u \in B_{X \hat{\otimes}_{\pi} Y}
$$
 with  $||u||_{\pi} = 1$ , take  $A \in (X \hat{\otimes}_{\pi} Y)^*$  with  $\langle A, u \rangle = 1$ .  
\nConsider  $F = \{z \in B_{X \hat{\otimes}_{\pi} Y} : \langle A, z \rangle = 1\}$ .

#### Dantas, Jung, Roldán, Rueda Zoca (2022)

Let X, Y be reflexive Banach spaces. Is  $NA_\pi(X\widehat{\otimes}_\pi Y)$  dense in  $X\widehat{\otimes}_\pi Y$ ?

#### Dantas, G.L., Jung, Rueda Zoca (2023)

Sketch of the proof  
\nGiven 
$$
u \in B_{X \hat{\otimes}_{\pi} Y}
$$
 with  $||u||_{\pi} = 1$ , take  $A \in (X \hat{\otimes}_{\pi} Y)^*$  with  $\langle A, u \rangle = 1$ .  
\nConsider  $F = \{z \in B_{X \hat{\otimes}_{\pi} Y} : \langle A, z \rangle = 1\}$ .  
\n $F = \overline{\text{conv}}(\text{ext } F)$ .

#### Dantas, Jung, Roldán, Rueda Zoca (2022)

Let X, Y be reflexive Banach spaces. Is  $NA_\pi(X\hat{\otimes}_\pi Y)$  dense in  $X\hat{\otimes}_\pi Y$ ?

#### Dantas, G.L., Jung, Rueda Zoca (2023)

Assume  $X$  and  $Y$  are dual spaces with the RNP and at least one of them has the approximation property. Then  $NA_\pi(X\widehat{\otimes}_\pi Y)$  dense in  $X\widehat{\otimes}_\pi Y$ .

#### Sketch of the proof

Sketch of the proof<br>Given  $u \in B_{X \hat{\otimes}_{\pi} Y}$  with  $||u||_{\pi} = 1$ , take  $A \in (X \hat{\otimes}_{\pi} Y)^*$  with  $\langle A, u \rangle = 1$ .<br>Consider  $F = \{ z \in B_{X \hat{\otimes}_{\pi} Y} : \langle A, z \rangle = 1 \}.$ Consider  $F = \{ z \in B_{X \hat{\otimes}_- Y} : \langle A, z \rangle = 1 \}.$ Consider  $F = \{z \in B_{X \hat{\otimes}_{\pi} Y} : \langle A, z \rangle = 1\}.$ <br>  $F = \overline{\text{conv}}(\text{ext } F)$ . Since F is a face of  $B_{X \hat{\otimes}_{\pi} Y}$ ,  $\text{ext } F \subset \text{ext } B_{X \hat{\otimes}_{\pi} Y}$ .

#### Dantas, Jung, Roldán, Rueda Zoca (2022)

Let X, Y be reflexive Banach spaces. Is  $NA_\pi(X\hat{\otimes}_\pi Y)$  dense in  $X\hat{\otimes}_\pi Y$ ?

#### Dantas, G.L., Jung, Rueda Zoca (2023)

Assume  $X$  and  $Y$  are dual spaces with the RNP and at least one of them has the approximation property. Then  $NA_\pi(X\widehat{\otimes}_\pi Y)$  dense in  $X\widehat{\otimes}_\pi Y$ .

#### Sketch of the proof

Sketch of the proof<br>Given  $u \in B_{X \hat{\otimes}_{\pi} Y}$  with  $||u||_{\pi} = 1$ , take  $A \in (X \hat{\otimes}_{\pi} Y)^*$  with  $\langle A, u \rangle = 1$ .<br>Consider  $F = \{ z \in B_{X \hat{\otimes}_{\pi} Y} : \langle A, z \rangle = 1 \}.$ Consider  $F = \{ z \in B_{X \hat{\otimes}_- Y} : \langle A, z \rangle = 1 \}.$ Consider  $F = \{z \in B_{X \hat{\otimes}_{\pi} Y} : \langle A, z \rangle = 1\}.$ <br>  $F = \overline{\text{conv}}(\text{ext } F)$ . Since F is a face of  $B_{X \hat{\otimes}_{\pi} Y}$ ,  $\text{ext } F \subset \text{ext } B_{X \hat{\otimes}_{\pi} Y}$ . Under  $Y = \{Z \in B_{X \otimes_{\pi} Y} : \forall i, Z \in \pi\}$ <br>  $F = \overline{\text{conv}}(\text{ext } F)$ . Since F is a face of  $B_{X \hat{\otimes}_{\pi} Y}$ ,  $\text{ext } F \subset \text{ext } B_{X \hat{\otimes}_{\pi} Y}$ .<br>
Under these hypotheses,  $\text{ext}(B_{X \hat{\otimes}_{\pi} Y}) \subset B_X \otimes B_Y$  (Collins-Ruess (1983))

#### Dantas, Jung, Roldán, Rueda Zoca (2022)

Let X, Y be reflexive Banach spaces. Is  $NA_\pi(X\hat{\otimes}_\pi Y)$  dense in  $X\hat{\otimes}_\pi Y$ ?

#### Dantas, G.L., Jung, Rueda Zoca (2023)

Assume  $X$  and  $Y$  are dual spaces with the RNP and at least one of them has the approximation property. Then  $NA_\pi(X\widehat{\otimes}_\pi Y)$  dense in  $X\widehat{\otimes}_\pi Y$ .

#### Sketch of the proof

Sketch of the proof<br>Given  $u \in B_{X \hat{\otimes}_{\pi} Y}$  with  $||u||_{\pi} = 1$ , take  $A \in (X \hat{\otimes}_{\pi} Y)^*$  with  $\langle A, u \rangle = 1$ .<br>Consider  $F = \{ z \in B_{X \hat{\otimes}_{\pi} Y} : \langle A, z \rangle = 1 \}.$ Consider  $F = \{ z \in B_{X \hat{\otimes}_- Y} : \langle A, z \rangle = 1 \}.$ Consider  $F = \{z \in B_{X \hat{\otimes}_{\pi} Y} : \langle A, z \rangle = 1\}.$ <br>  $F = \overline{\text{conv}}(\text{ext } F)$ . Since F is a face of  $B_{X \hat{\otimes}_{\pi} Y}$ ,  $\text{ext } F \subset \text{ext } B_{X \hat{\otimes}_{\pi} Y}$ . Under  $Y = \{Z \in B_{X \otimes_{\pi} Y} : \forall i, Z \in \pi\}$ <br>  $F = \overline{\text{conv}}(\text{ext } F)$ . Since F is a face of  $B_{X \hat{\otimes}_{\pi} Y}$ ,  $\text{ext } F \subset \text{ext } B_{X \hat{\otimes}_{\pi} Y}$ .<br>
Under these hypotheses,  $\text{ext}(B_{X \hat{\otimes}_{\pi} Y}) \subset B_X \otimes B_Y$  (Collins-Ruess (1983)) Thus,

$$
u\in \overline{\text{conv}}\{x\otimes y\in S_X\otimes S_Y: A(x,y)=1\}
$$

#### Some related questions

# Is every extreme point of  $B_{\boldsymbol{\chi}_{\widehat{\otimes}_\pi\boldsymbol{Y}}}$  of the form  $\boldsymbol{\mathsf{x}}\otimes\boldsymbol{\mathsf{y}}$  with  $\boldsymbol{\mathsf{x}}\in B_{\boldsymbol{\mathsf{X}}}$ ,  $\boldsymbol{\mathsf{y}}\in B_{\boldsymbol{\mathsf{Y}}}$ ?

#### Some related questions

Is every extreme point of  $B_{\boldsymbol{\chi}_{\widehat{\otimes}_\pi\boldsymbol{Y}}}$  of the form  $\boldsymbol{\mathsf{x}}\otimes\boldsymbol{\mathsf{y}}$  with  $\boldsymbol{\mathsf{x}}\in B_{\boldsymbol{\mathsf{X}}}$ ,  $\boldsymbol{\mathsf{y}}\in B_{\boldsymbol{\mathsf{Y}}}$ ?

Are there  $X, Y$  such that the set of operators attaining its nuclear norm is **NOT** dense in  $\mathcal{N}(X, Y)$ ?

#### Some related questions

Is every extreme point of  $B_{\boldsymbol{\chi}_{\widehat{\otimes}_\pi\boldsymbol{Y}}}$  of the form  $\boldsymbol{\mathsf{x}}\otimes\boldsymbol{\mathsf{y}}$  with  $\boldsymbol{\mathsf{x}}\in B_{\boldsymbol{\mathsf{X}}}$ ,  $\boldsymbol{\mathsf{y}}\in B_{\boldsymbol{\mathsf{Y}}}$ ?

Are there  $X, Y$  such that the set of operators attaining its nuclear norm is **NOT** dense in  $\mathcal{N}(X, Y)$ ?

Is there  $X$  such that the set of symmetric tensors attaining its projective norm is **NOT** dense in  $\hat{\otimes}_{\pi, s, N} X$ ?

Thank you for your attention!